Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2015

Randomized controlled trial to determine the impact of probiotic administration on colonization with multidrug-resistant organisms in critically ill patients

Jennie H. Kwon

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Kerry M. Bommarito

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Kimberly A. Reske

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Sondra M. Seiler

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Tiffany Hink

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

See next page for additional authors

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Kwon, Jennie H.; Bommarito, Kerry M.; Reske, Kimberly A.; Seiler, Sondra M.; Hink, Tiffany; Babcock, Hilary M.; Kollef, Marin H.; Fraser, Victoria J.; Burnham, Carey-Ann D.; and Dubberke, Erik R., "Randomized controlled trial to determine the impact of probiotic administration on colonization with multidrug-resistant organisms in critically ill patients." *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*. 36, 12. 1451-1454. (2015).

https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/4680

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Authors

Jennie H. Kwon, Kerry M. Bommarito, Kimberly A. Reske, Sondra M. Seiler, Tiffany Hink, Hilary M. Babcock, Marin H. Kollef, Victoria J. Fraser, Carey-Ann D. Burnham, and Erik R. Dubberke

Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology

<http://journals.cambridge.org/ICE>

Additional services for *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*:

Email alerts: [Click here](#)

Subscriptions: [Click here](#)

Commercial reprints: [Click here](#)

Terms of use : [Click here](#)

Randomized Controlled Trial to Determine the Impact of Probiotic Administration on Colonization With Multidrug-Resistant Organisms in Critically Ill Patients

Jennie H. Kwon, Kerry M. Bommarito, Kimberly A. Reske, Sondra M. Seiler, Tiffany Hink, Hilary M. Babcock, Marin H. Kollef, Victoria J. Fraser, Carey-Ann D. Burnham and Erik R. Dubberke

Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology / Volume 36 / Issue 12 / December 2015, pp 1451 - 1454
DOI: 10.1017/ice.2015.195, Published online: 27 August 2015

Link to this article: http://journals.cambridge.org/abstract_S0899823X15001956

How to cite this article:

Jennie H. Kwon, Kerry M. Bommarito, Kimberly A. Reske, Sondra M. Seiler, Tiffany Hink, Hilary M. Babcock, Marin H. Kollef, Victoria J. Fraser, Carey-Ann D. Burnham and Erik R. Dubberke (2015). Randomized Controlled Trial to Determine the Impact of Probiotic Administration on Colonization With Multidrug-Resistant Organisms in Critically Ill Patients. *Infection Control & Hospital Epidemiology*, 36, pp 1451-1454 doi:10.1017/ice.2015.195

Request Permissions : [Click here](#)

CONCISE COMMUNICATION

Randomized Controlled Trial to Determine the Impact of Probiotic Administration on Colonization With Multidrug-Resistant Organisms in Critically Ill Patients

Jennie H. Kwon, DO;¹ Kerry M. Bommarito, PhD, MPH;¹ Kimberly A. Reske, MPH;¹ Sondra M. Seiler, BA;¹ Tiffany Hink, BS;¹ Hilary M. Babcock, MD, MPH;¹ Marin H. Kollef, MD;² Victoria J. Fraser, MD;¹ Carey-Ann D. Burnham, PhD;³ Erik R. Dubberke, MD, MSPH¹ for the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC) Prevention Epicenters

This was a randomized controlled pilot study of *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG versus standard of care to prevent gastrointestinal multidrug-resistant organism colonization in intensive care unit patients. Among 70 subjects, there were no significant differences in acquisition or loss of any multidrug-resistant organisms ($P > .05$) and no probiotic-associated adverse events.

Infect. Control Hosp. Epidemiol. 2015;36(12):1451–1454

Infections with multidrug-resistant organisms (MDROs) are a serious threat to critically ill patients, leading to increased morbidity and mortality.^{1,2} Gastrointestinal colonization with MDROs increases patients' risk of infection, and colonized patients are the major reservoir for MDRO transmission to other hospitalized patients.³ One potential strategy to prevent MDRO colonization is to use probiotics to promote healthy intestinal flora, but data on probiotics in intensive care unit (ICU) patients are limited. We conducted a prospective, randomized controlled pilot study to determine whether *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG could safely prevent intestinal colonization with MDROs in a critically ill population.

METHODS

This study was conducted at Barnes-Jewish Hospital in St. Louis, Missouri, a 1,250-bed university-affiliated hospital, from February 2012 through October 2013 and was approved by the Washington University Human Research Protection Office. The primary outcome was the acquisition of gastrointestinal MRDO colonization. The secondary end points were safety and loss of MDRO colonization.

Inpatients aged at least 18 years admitted to the medical or coronary ICUs with anticipated length of stay greater than 48 hours were eligible. Exclusion criteria included pregnancy, immunosuppression, human immunodeficiency

virus infection with CD4 less than 200 cells/mcL, absolute neutrophil count less than 500 K/mm³, transplant recipients, ongoing chemotherapy, prosthetic valve or valvuloplasty, vascular graft, left ventricular assist device, balloon pump, cardiac arrest, cardiac trauma, pancreatitis, endocarditis, history of rheumatic fever, congenital cardiac abnormality, tracheostomy, gastrointestinal bleeding or injury, esophageal varices, oropharyngeal mucosal injury, diarrhea, and unwillingness or inability to consent.

Subjects were randomly assigned to the probiotic or standard of care (SOC) group in a 1:1 ratio using permutation blocks (4 per block) by Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II scores. Study assignment was not masked. Subjects randomized to the probiotic group received 1 capsule containing 1×10^{10} cells of *L. rhamnosus* GG (Culturelle; i-Health) twice a day. If subjects were unable to swallow owing to intubation or presence of a nasogastric tube, the probiotic was administered in a saline slurry via syringe through the tube after removal of the gelatin capsule. Subjects in the probiotic group received probiotic for 14 days or until study exit (death or hospital discharge), whichever came first.

Stool samples or rectal swab samples were obtained at study enrollment (prior to the first dose of probiotic), study day 3, and every 3 days until study exit. Study exit was defined as death or day 14 after enrollment, whichever came first. Patients were included in outcomes analyses if they had at least 3 samples. Acquisition of MDRO was defined as negative culture results on enrollment and positive culture results on day 3 and/or at study exit. Loss of MDRO colonization was defined as positive culture results on enrollment and negative culture results on day 3 and study exit.

Selective media were used to isolate MDROs as follows: for carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae, HardyCHROM CRE Agar (Hardy Diagnostics); for vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus* (VRE), ChromID VRE Agar (bioMérieux); for extended-spectrum beta-lactamase (ESBL), HardyCHROM ESBL Agar (Hardy Diagnostics); and for *Pseudomonas*, HardyCHROM ChromID *Pseudomonas* Agar (bioMérieux). Cycloserine-cefoxitin mannitol broth with taurocholate lysozyme cysteine (Anaerobe Systems) was used for *Clostridium difficile* culture as previously published.⁴ Organisms recovered from selective media were identified using the Vitek MS matrix-assisted laser desorption ionization–time of flight mass spectrometry system, IVD version 2.0 (bioMérieux).

Data collected included demographic characteristics, medical history, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II scores, length of stay, type of ICU, inpatient medication exposures, ventilation status, hospital mortality, and diagnosis of infections due to *L. rhamnosus* GG. The χ^2 test, univariate logistic regression, and Mann-Whitney test were performed as appropriate. $P \leq .05$ was considered significant. SPSS, version 21 (IBM), was used.

RESULTS

One hundred three patients were enrolled and randomized to probiotics or SOC. Seventy patients had at least 3 specimens available for analyses: 30 (43%) in the probiotic group and 40 (57%) in the SOC group (Figure 1). There were no significant differences between groups in demographic characteristics, pre-enrollment length of stay, or severity of illness (Table 1). There was a trend towards older age in the probiotic group (median age, 65 vs 59 y; $P=.06$). Patients in the probiotic group were more likely to have received aztreonam before enrollment (17% vs 0%; $P=.01$) (Table 1).

Colonization status throughout enrollment is summarized in Table 2. There was no significant difference in colonization with any MDROs on enrollment (43% of probiotic group vs 33% of SOC group; $P=.35$). Only 1 subject was colonized with an ESBL and 1 with *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* at enrollment. More patients were colonized with VRE and *C. difficile*, and rates were similar between groups ($P=.34$ and $P=.80$, respectively).

There was no significant difference in overall acquisition of any MDROs between the 2 groups (10% of probiotic group vs 15% of SOC group; $P=.72$). Two patients (7%) in the probiotic group acquired ESBL colonization ($P=.19$). Seventeen percent of the probiotic group vs 9% in the SOC group acquired VRE ($P=.42$). Seven percent of the probiotic group and 8% of the SOC group acquired *P. aeruginosa* ($P>.99$). No patients in the probiotic group and 6% in the SOC group acquired *C. difficile* ($P=.50$).

The single patient colonized with an ESBL-producing Enterobacteriaceae on enrollment (SOC group) remained colonized throughout hospitalization. No patients in any group lost colonization with VRE or *P. aeruginosa*. One SOC patient lost *C. difficile* colonization ($P>.99$).

All 103 patients were included in the safety assessment. There were no significant differences between probiotic and SOC patients in the number of patients who died (22% probiotic group vs 21% SOC; $P=.88$). There were no infections due to probiotic or clinical cultures positive for *L. rhamnosus* GG in either group. No adverse events associated with the probiotic occurred.

DISCUSSION

No differences in acquisition or loss of MDRO colonization between the probiotic and SOC group were identified in this study. These results may indicate that either our sample size was not large enough to detect a difference between groups, our study duration was too short, or that *L. rhamnosus* GG at the dose used did not affect MDRO colonization. There were no infections related to probiotics, suggesting that probiotics may be safe in a select cohort of critically ill patients, with care to minimize probiotic contamination when administered by tube.

Previous studies evaluating probiotics have had conflicting results.^{5–8} A meta-analysis found that probiotics in critically ill

TABLE 1. Pre-enrollment Patient Characteristics

Variable	Probiotic (n = 30)	Standard of care (n = 40)	P value
Age, median (range), y	65 (29–82)	59 (32–82)	.06
Female sex	18 (60)	20 (50)	.41
Nonwhite race	11 (37)	16 (40)	.78
Pre-enrollment hospital LOS, median (range), d	6.0 (1–17)	4.5 (1–23)	.31
Pre-enrollment ICU LOS, median (range), d	4.5 (1–16)	3.5 (1–22)	.18
Patient location			
Cardiac ICU	13 (43)	14 (35)	Reference
Medical ICU	17 (57)	26 (65)	.48
Pre-enrollment mechanical ventilation	20 (67)	20 (50)	.16
APACHE II			
1–17	12 (40)	17 (43)	Reference
18–24	12 (40)	15 (38)	.82
≥25	6 (20)	8 (20)	.93
Pre-enrollment medication exposures ≥12 hours			
Aztreonam	5 (17)	0 (0)	.01
Carbapenems	4 (13)	6 (15)	>.99
Cephalosporins	12 (40)	20 (50)	.41
Metronidazole PO/IV	5 (17)	2 (5)	.13
Penicillins	2 (7)	3 (8)	>.99
Vancomycin IV	13 (43)	18 (45)	.89
Any antibiotic	22 (73)	25 (63)	.34
PPI/H2 blocker	20 (67)	25 (63)	.72

NOTE. Data are no. (%) of subjects unless otherwise indicated. APACHE II, Acute Physiology and Chronic Health Evaluation II; H2 blocker, H2 receptor antagonists; ICU, intensive care unit; IV, intravenous; LOS, length of stay; PO, by mouth; PPI, proton pump inhibitor.

TABLE 2. Colonization Status Throughout Enrollment

Organism	Probiotic (n = 30)	Standard of Care (n = 40)	P value
Colonization at enrollment			
ESBL/CRE	0 (0)	1 (3) ^a	>.99
VRE	7 (23)	5 (13)	.34
<i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i>	1 (3)	0 (0)	.43
<i>Clostridium difficile</i>	6 (20)	9 (23)	.80
Acquisition of colonization^b			
ESBL/CRE	2/30 (7)	0/39 (0)	.19
VRE	4/23 (17)	3/35 (9)	.42
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	2/29 (7)	3/40 (8)	>.99
<i>C. difficile</i>	0/24 (0)	2/31 (6)	.50
Loss of colonization^c			
ESBL/CRE	N/A	0/1 (0)	
VRE	0/7 (0)	0/5 (0)	
<i>P. aeruginosa</i>	0/1 (0)	N/A	
<i>C. difficile</i>	0/6 (0)	1/9 (11)	>.99

NOTE. CRE, carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae; ESBL, extended-spectrum beta-lactamase; N/A, not applicable; VRE, vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus*.

^aThis was ESBL.

^bNegative culture results on enrollment and positive culture results on day 3 and/or study exit, excluding those colonized at day 0.

^cPositive culture results on enrollment and negative culture results on day 3 and/or study exit.

adults did not significantly reduce mortality but did reduce ICU-acquired pneumonia and ICU length of stay.⁹ Another meta-analysis indicated probiotics were associated with reductions in infectious complications but had no effect on mortality or length of stay.¹⁰ These differences may be due to varying sample size, rates of MDRO carriage, types and doses of probiotic used, or the underlying complexity of the microbiome.

This study has limitations, including small sample size, duration of follow-up, and inclusion of a single type and dose of probiotic. We did not survey for gastric or upper airway colonization, which may be an important site for MDRO colonization. Finally, our extensive exclusion criteria may limit the generalizability of this study.

There are unresolved controversies regarding probiotics, including the type of patients who may benefit most from probiotics, the ideal probiotic organism(s), and the dose. The effect of prolonged probiotic administration on the gut microbiome is an area for further investigation. Larger studies are needed to evaluate the effectiveness of probiotics in preventing intestinal colonization due to MDROs in critically ill patients.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

Financial support. CDC Prevention Epicenter (grant U54CK000162). The probiotic *Lactobacillus rhamnosus* GG (Culturelle) was provided free by iHealth. iHealth took no part in study design, data collection, management, analysis, interpretation, presentation of data, description of findings, or final manuscript.

Potential conflicts of interest. C.-A.D.B. reports that she has received grants from bioMérieux, Cepheid, and Accelerate Diagnostics, and personal fees from bioMérieux, Thermofisher, and Nanosphere, outside the submitted work. V.J.F. reports that she has received grants from the CDC and nonfinancial support from iHealth during the conduct of the study. All other authors report no conflicts of interest relevant to this article.

Affiliations: 1. Division of Infectious Diseases, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri; 2. Division of Pulmonary and Critical Care Medicine, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri; 3. Departments of Pathology & Immunology and Pediatrics, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri

Address correspondence to Jennie H. Kwon, DO, Washington University School of Medicine, Division of Infectious Diseases, 6600 S Euclid Ave, Campus Box 8051, St. Louis, MO 63110 (JKwon@dom.wustl.edu).

Received May 20, 2015; accepted July 18, 2015; electronically published August 27, 2015

© 2015 by The Society for Healthcare Epidemiology of America. All rights reserved. 0899-823X/2015/3612-0012. DOI: 10.1017/ice.2015.195

REFERENCES

- Lambert ML, Suetens C, Savey A, et al. Clinical outcomes of health-care-associated infections and antimicrobial resistance in patients admitted to European intensive-care units: a cohort study. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2011;11:30–38.
- Brusselsaers N, Vogelaers D, Blot S. The rising problem of antimicrobial resistance in the intensive care unit. *Ann Intensive Care* 2011;23:47.
- Donskey CJ. The role of the intestinal tract as a reservoir and source for transmission of nosocomial pathogens. *Clin Infect Dis* 2004;39:219–226.

FIGURE 1. Flowchart of study protocol.

#Submitted < 3 samples.

*Submitted ≥ 3 samples.

4. Hink T, Burnham CA, Dubberke ER. A systematic evaluation of methods to optimize culture-based recovery of *Clostridium difficile* from stool specimens. *Anaerobe* 2013; 19:39–43.
5. de Regt MJ, Willems RJ, Hene RJ, et al. Effects of probiotics on acquisition and spread of multiresistant enterococci. *Antimicrob Agents Chemother* 2010;54:2801–2805.
6. Allen SJ, Wareham K, Wang D, et al. Lactobacilli and bifidobacteria in the prevention of antibiotic-associated diarrhoea and *Clostridium difficile* diarrhoea in older inpatients (PLACIDE): a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, multicentre trial. *Lancet* 2013; 382:1249–1257.
7. Goldenberg JZ, Ma SS, Saxton JD, et al. Probiotics for the prevention of *Clostridium difficile*-associated diarrhea in adults and children. *Cochrane Database Syst* 2013;5:CD006095.
8. Plummer S, Weaver MA, Harris JC, et al. *Clostridium difficile* pilot study: effects of probiotic supplementation on the incidence of *C. difficile* diarrhoea. *Int Microbiol* 2004;7:59–62.
9. Barraud E, Bollaert PE, Gibot S. Impact of the administration of probiotics on mortality in critically ill adult patients: a meta-analysis of randomized controlled trials. *Chest* 2013;143:646–655.
10. Petrof EO, Dhaliwal R, Manzanares W, et al. Probiotics in the critically ill: a systematic review of the randomized trial evidence. *Crit Care Med* 2012;40:3290–3302.