

Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

---

Open Access Publications

---

2015

## Awareness of the association between obesity and peri-operative risk among newly diagnosed patients with complex atypical hyperplasia and endometrial cancer

Lindsay M. Kuroki

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Teri E. Benn

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Jonathan L. Dukes

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Andrea R. Hagemann

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

Premal H. Thaker

*Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis*

*See next page for additional authors*

Follow this and additional works at: [https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open\\_access\\_pubs](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs)

---

### Recommended Citation


Kuroki, Lindsay M.; Benn, Teri E.; Dukes, Jonathan L.; Hagemann, Andrea R.; Thaker, Premal H.; Powell, Matthew A.; Mutch, David G.; Massad, L. Stewart; and Zigelboim, Israel, "Awareness of the association between obesity and peri-operative risk among newly diagnosed patients with complex atypical hyperplasia and endometrial cancer." *Gynecologic Oncology Reports*. 12,. 41-44. (2015).  
[https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open\\_access\\_pubs/4756](https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/4756)

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact [vanam@wustl.edu](mailto:vanam@wustl.edu).

---

**Authors**

Lindsay M. Kuroki, Teri E. Benn, Jonathan L. Dukes, Andrea R. Hagemann, Premal H. Thaker, Matthew A. Powell, David G. Mutch, L. Stewart Massad, and Israel Zigelboim



## Survey Article

# Awareness of the association between obesity and peri-operative risk among newly diagnosed patients with complex atypical hyperplasia and endometrial cancer



Lindsay M. Kuroki <sup>a,\*</sup>, Teri E. Benn <sup>a,1</sup>, Jonathan L. Dukes <sup>b,2</sup>, Andrea R. Hagemann <sup>a</sup>, Premal H. Thaker <sup>a</sup>, Matthew A. Powell <sup>a</sup>, David G. Mutch <sup>a</sup>, L. Stewart Massad <sup>a</sup>, Israel Zigelboim <sup>a,3</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Division of Gynecologic Oncology, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Washington University School of Medicine and Alvin J. Siteman Cancer Center, St. Louis, MO, United States

<sup>b</sup> Division of Clinical Research, Department of Obstetrics and Gynecology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, MO, United States

## ARTICLE INFO

## Article history:

Received 8 January 2015

Accepted 22 February 2015

Available online 28 February 2015

## Keyword:

Knowledge of obesity-related surgical risks

## ABSTRACT

**Objectives:** The aim of this study is to evaluate knowledge of obesity-related peri-operative risks in women newly diagnosed with complex atypical hyperplasia and endometrial cancer.

**Methods:** We conducted a cross sectional study of patients newly diagnosed with complex atypical hyperplasia or endometrial cancer who underwent preoperative counseling between 2011 and 2014, using a 17-item questionnaire. Obesity was defined as body mass index (BMI) of 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or greater. Bivariate analysis was conducted using Pearson's Chi-Square or Fisher's Exact tests where appropriate and Mann–Whitney *U* for continuous variables.

**Results:** Of 98 patients recruited, mean age was 58 years, 87% were obese, 83% white, and 51% had grade 1 endometrioid adenocarcinomas. Sixty-four percent of obese women reported that their physicians had discussed surgical risks related to obesity. However, 17% of obese and 42% of non-obese patients responded that they were unsure of the peri-operative risks associated with obesity. There was a substantial lack of understanding among obese patients regarding their increased risks of respiratory problems (29%), thromboembolism (29%), heart attack (35%), or longer operating time (35%) and hospital stay (47%). However, obese patients were more aware of wound infection risks associated with obesity compared to their non-obese counterparts (72% vs. 31%,  $p = 0.004$ ).

**Conclusions:** Pre-operative counseling for obese women with newly diagnosed endometrial cancer should incorporate more focused education about obesity-related risks. They report being knowledgeable about the risks associated with their surgery; however, more than a quarter are unaware of the impact obesity has on respiratory problems, thromboembolism, wound infection, heart attack or longer operating time and hospital stay.

© 2015 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

## Background

Obesity is a growing problem in the United States over the past 20 years with the prevalence remaining high despite new regulations and interventions implemented by the U.S. Department of Health and Human Services, the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (CDC), the Institute of Medicine, and the U.S. Surgeon General (Calle

et al., 2003). Results from the 2011–2012 National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) estimated that 34% of U.S. adults are overweight, 35% are obese, and 6% are extremely obese (Fryar and Ogden, 2014).

Obese women are a vulnerable population who face not only economic hardships and social isolation, but medical comorbidities as well. They are at increased risk of heart disease, diabetes, hypertension, stroke, hyperlipidemia, osteoarthritis, sleep apnea, and certain cancers such as endometrial, breast, and colon cancer. Among these, endometrial cancer has the highest association with obesity with up to a 9-fold increased risk of mortality in women with body mass index (BMI) > 40 compared to women of normal weight (RR 6.25,  $p < 0.001$ , 95%CI 3.75–10.42) (Calle et al., 2003; Chia et al., 2007).

Unfortunately, obesity predisposes women not only to pre-invasive and invasive uterine cancer, but it also places them at higher risk for

\* Corresponding author at: Department of Obstetrics & Gynecology, 4911 Barnes Jewish Hospital Plaza, Box 8064, St. Louis, MO 63110, United States. Fax: +1 314 362 2893.

E-mail address: [kurokil@wudosis.wustl.edu](mailto:kurokil@wudosis.wustl.edu) (L.M. Kuroki).

<sup>1</sup> Current affiliation: FemCare OB-GYN, Baptist Hospital, Miami, FL, United States.

<sup>2</sup> Current affiliation: Advanced Performance Solutions, Mercy, Chesterfield, MO, United States.

<sup>3</sup> Current affiliation: St. Luke's Cancer Care Associates/Temple University School of Medicine, Bethlehem, PA, United States.

complications related to surgical treatment options. The integration of minimally invasive surgical techniques in gynecologic oncology has improved peri-operative morbidity and length of hospital stay (Walker et al., 2009; Seamon et al., 2009). However, there remain specific risks related to obesity that warrant pre-operative discussion such as longer operative time, diminished tissue oxygenation and immune function, and increased risks for deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, respiratory failure and need for mechanical ventilation, cardiac complications, nerve injury, infection and bleeding (Bamgbade et al., 2007; Choban et al., 1995; Dossett et al., 2008).

Despite a growing medical interest establishing the link between obesity it is unclear how much information is effectively communicated to patients to heighten their understanding. Given that obesity is a modifiable risk factor with widespread implications in cancer prognosis and treatment options, it is imperative to first ascertain where gaps in knowledge exist in order to design effective interventions to guide patient education. There is limited but growing evidence that women are unaware that obesity increases the risk for complex atypical hyperplasia (CAH) and endometrial cancer (Ligibel et al., 2014; Ackermann et al., 2005). However, to our knowledge, there are no studies to date that focus on their understanding of the impact obesity has on surgical management options and potential complications. Therefore, we aimed to evaluate knowledge of obesity-related peri-operative risks in women with newly-diagnosed CAH or endometrial cancer and to identify areas for improvement in peri-operative counseling.

## Materials and methods

We performed a single institution survey study of 98 women newly-diagnosed with CAH or endometrial cancer between January 2011 and July 2014. Prior to the initiation of the study, all procedures were reviewed and approved by Washington University's Human Research Protection Office (HRPO#201101905). All participants were at least 18 years of age, able to read and write in English and provide signed informed consent. We included patients with CAH given that this disease is part of a continuum of uterine pathology with shared risk factors for endometrial cancer and evidence to suggest that up to 43% of women with CAH have occult grade 1 endometrial cancer (Trimble et al., 2006). Diagnoses were established by pre-operative endometrial tissue sampling and all specimens underwent central review by the Department of Pathology at Barnes Jewish Hospital. Furthermore, both obese and non-obese patients were included to assess for differences in knowledge of surgical risks related to obesity based on body mass index (BMI). Obesity was defined as BMI of 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> or greater.

We excluded patients with recurrent disease and confirmed all diagnoses by documented histology on pathology reports. All patients invited to participate were under the care of gynecologic oncologists at Washington University School of Medicine and Siteman Cancer Center, a National Cancer Institute-designated comprehensive cancer center. No patients were eligible or approached after surgery.

During their preoperative-planning, outpatient appointment, patients were approached if eligible and were assured of anonymity and confidentiality. They were allowed to complete the questionnaire at the time of their appointment in a private room, or later at home returning forms in a self-addressed envelope provided. Those who did not return the survey after 2 weeks were followed up with a telephone call as a courtesy reminder.

A 17-item questionnaire was administered. We did not identify a validated questionnaire of this type. However each question in the survey was supported by prior studies citing specific surgical complications related to obesity and was validated by review by a panel of gynecologic oncologists. The questionnaire also obtained sociodemographic information including age, race, history of smoking, alcohol and illicit drug use, and highest level of education. We reviewed electronic medical records to confirm each patient's past medical and surgical

history, as well as obtain their American Society of Anesthesiologists (ASA) score.

Demographic information was summarized with descriptive statistics. Bivariate analysis was conducted using Pearson's Chi-Square or Fisher's Exact tests where appropriate for categorical variables. The Anderson–Darling test along with visual assessment of histograms were used to determine whether the distribution of continuous variables was Gaussian. The variable, “age” was found to be normally distributed and bivariate analysis was conducted with the Student's *t*-test. All other non-parametric, continuous variables were analyzed using the Mann–Whitney *U* test. Analysis was performed using Stata 10 (College Station, Texas) and an association was considered statistically significant if  $p < 0.05$ .

## Results

Between 2011 and 2014, 107 women met eligibility criteria and consented for study enrollment, of whom 98 women (92%) completed the self-administered questionnaire. Eight-five had a BMI > 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup> and of these women, 79 (93%) acknowledged their obesity while 6 (7%) were unsure if they met criteria for obesity. Overall, the mean age was 58 years, 83% were white, 54% had achieved a college education or higher, and half had grade 1 endometrioid adenocarcinoma (51%) on preoperative endometrial biopsy. Compared to patients with BMI < 30 kg/m<sup>2</sup>, obese patients had more comorbidities such as hypertension ( $p = 0.009$ ), diabetes ( $p = 0.004$ ), and hyperlipidemia ( $p = 0.04$ ). There were no significant differences between obese and non-obese women with regards to ASA score or number of prior surgeries (Table 1).

While the overwhelming majority of women correctly recognized obesity as a risk factor for high blood pressure, diabetes, and heart attacks, they had more limited appreciation of the association between obesity and cancer. Nearly half of obese patients and 31% of non-obese patients answered that endometrial cancer is more common in overweight or obese people.

Next we explored patients' understanding of their surgical risks. Among obese patients, 92% reported that their physician had discussed specific risks associated with the indicated procedure versus only 64% recalled obtaining information about obesity-related surgical risks. Among all patients, 75% understood that the risks of surgery are increased in obese patients compared to patients of normal weight. However, 16% of obese and 38% of non-obese women responded that they were unsure of the specific peri-operative risks associated with obesity. There was a substantial gap in understanding among obese patients regarding their increased risks of respiratory problems (29%), thromboembolism (29%), heart attack (35%), or longer operating time (35%) and hospital stay (47%) (Table 2). However, although a significant minority of obese women were unaware of the link, obese patients were more aware of wound infection risks associated with obesity than their non-obese counterparts (72% vs. 31%,  $p = 0.004$ ).

## Conclusion

Patients with CAH and endometrial cancer appear to be well-informed that obesity is a risk factor for medical comorbidities such as hypertension, diabetes, and heart disease, but their scope of knowledge beyond this is limited. Only half of obese patients recognized that their weight places them at increased risk for endometrial cancer and 36% were not aware of obesity-related surgical risks. Furthermore, they report being knowledgeable about the risks associated with their planned operative procedure; however, more than a quarter are unaware of the impact obesity has on respiratory problems, thromboembolism, wound infection, heart attack or longer operating time and hospital stay.

Our findings provide new insight into patients' limited awareness of the peri-operative risks related to obesity and reinforce the need for

**Table 1**  
Patient demographics by body mass index.

Characteristics	Obese N = 85	Non-obese N = 13	P
Age, year (mean)	58(9.5)	53(14.5)	0.536
Race			0.701
White	71(84)	12(92)	
Black	13(15)	1(8)	
Other	1(1)	0(0)	
Body mass index			N/A
<30	0	13	
30–34	16	0	
35–39	20	0	
40–44	23	0	
45–50	12	0	
>50	14	0	
Education <sup>a</sup>			0.423
High school/GED	34(42)	7(54)	
College or higher	47(58)	6(46)	
Smoker <sup>a</sup>			0.155
No	77(92)	11(85)	
<1 pack per day	3(4)	2(15)	
≥1 pack per day	4(5)	0(0)	
Alcohol consumption per week <sup>a</sup>			0.078
None	59(69)	5(39)	
Occasionally	25(29)	8(62)	
>3 drinks	1(1)	0(0)	
Illicit drug use			1.000
Yes	2(2)	0(0)	
No	83(98)	13(100)	
Comorbidities			
Diabetes	43(51)	1(7)	0.004
Hypertension	63(74)	5(39)	0.009
Cardiac problems <sup>b</sup>	16(19)	2(15)	0.766
Respiratory problems <sup>c</sup>	22(26)	4(31)	0.710
Hyperlipidemia	39(46)	2(15)	0.038
ASA score, median (IQR)	3(1)	2(1)	0.188
Number of prior surgeries, median (IQR)	2(2)	2(3)	0.309
Preoperative grade <sup>d</sup>			0.141
1	44(67)	6(55)	
2	10(15)	2(18)	
3	12(18)	2(18)	
Preoperative histology			0.100
Complex atypical hyperplasia	1(1)	0(0)	
Endometrioid	73(86)	8(62)	
Serous	4(5)	1(8)	
Other	7(8)	4(31)	

GED = general educational development; ASA = American Society of Anesthesiologists; IQR = interquartile range.

<sup>a</sup> Missing data.

<sup>b</sup> Includes coronary artery disease, myocardial infarction, arrhythmias, congestive heart failure, and valvular abnormalities.

<sup>c</sup> Includes asthma, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, or obstructive sleep apnea.

<sup>d</sup> Preoperative grade only includes patients with endometrial cancer and excludes the one case of complex atypical hyperplasia.

more focused education about obesity-related risks. In 2003, Harvard University's Interfaculty Program for Health System's Improvement conducted a national poll of 1,002 men and women aged 18 or older to explore their knowledge about obesity. Similar to our findings, most Americans knew that obesity increases the risk of hypertension (86%), diabetes (78%), and heart disease (86%), but only half of participants were aware that obesity also increases the risk of some cancers (*Obesity as a Public Health Issue: A look at Solutions, 2003*). More recently, Soliman et al. (2008) conducted a study among women in the Houston community assessing their knowledge of obesity and endometrial cancer risk. Again, consistent with our results, 58% were not aware that obesity increased the risk for endometrial cancer. There was no association between personal weight and knowledge of obesity-associated risks; however, they did find that black women were least knowledgeable about the relationship between obesity and cancer.

**Table 2**  
Patient responses to obesity awareness questionnaire.

Survey questions	Obese N = 85	Non-obese N = 13	P
Did your doctor discuss with you the specific risks associated with your procedure?			0.100
Yes	78(92)	10(77)	
No/not sure	7(8)	3(23)	
Missing	0(0)	0(0)	
Did your doctor discuss with you the specific risks associated with surgery in overweight or obese?			0.058
Yes	54(64)	4(31)	
No/not sure	30(35)	8(62)	
Missing	1(1)	1(8)	
The risks of surgery in overweight or obese patients are:			0.218
Higher	64(75)	7(54)	
Same	4(5)	0(0)	
Lower	1(1)	0(0)	
Not sure	14(16)	5(38)	
Missing	2(2)	1(8)	
Do you consider yourself overweight or obese?			<0.001
Yes	79(93)	5(39)	
No/not sure	6(7)	8(62)	
Missing	0(0)	0(0)	
Is endometrial cancer more common in overweight or obese people?			0.196
Yes	42(49)	4(31)	
No/not sure	42(49)	9(69)	
Missing	1(1)	0(0)	
Does obesity increase the risk of... breathing problems?			0.529
Yes	60(71)	8(62)	
No/not sure	25(29)	5(39)	
Missing	0(0)	0(0)	
wound infection?			0.004
Yes	61(72)	4(31)	
No/not sure	24(28)	9(69)	
Missing	0(0)	0(0)	
clots in leg and/or lungs?			0.238
Yes	59(69)	7(54)	
No/not sure	25(29)	6(46)	
Missing	1(1)	0(0)	
heart attacks?			0.199
Yes	55(65)	6(46)	
No/not sure	30(35)	7(54)	
Missing	0(0)	0(0)	
longer and more difficult surgery?			0.321
Yes	55(65)	6(46)	
No/not sure	30(35)	7(54)	
Missing	0(0)	0(0)	
length of hospital stay after surgery?			0.922
Yes	44(52)	7(54)	
No/not sure	40(47)	6(46)	
Missing	1(1)	0(0)	
Does obesity lead to... diabetes?			1.000
Yes	84(99)	13(100)	
No/not sure	1(1)	0(0)	
Missing	0(0)	0(0)	
high blood pressure?			1.000
Yes	83(98)	13(100)	
No/not sure	2(2)	0(0)	
Missing	0(0)	0(0)	
heart attacks?			1.000
Yes	78(92)	13(100)	
No/not sure	5(6)	0(0)	
Missing	2(2)	0(0)	

These gaps in knowledge are clinically significant, as obesity is a modifiable risk factor that is becoming a central challenge in cancer prevention and care with widespread impact on diagnosis, available treatment options, and ultimately survival outcomes (Ligibel et al., 2014). A landmark study by Calle et al. (2003) heightened awareness

of the magnitude of this problem. They reported that women with a BMI > 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup> have a 60% higher death rates from all cancer compared to women of normal weight. Furthermore, they predicted the proportion of deaths from cancer that is attributable to overweight and obesity in U.S. adults aged 50 or older may be as high as 20% in women (Calle et al., 2003).

Appropriately, obesity has become a pivotal issue in women's health (ACOG committee opinion, 2005). The American Society of Clinical Oncology (ASCO) recently released a policy statement (Ligibel et al., 2014) identifying 4 priorities to address the obesity–cancer link including: 1) increasing providers' and patients' core knowledge about the role of energy balance in cancer risk and prevention; 2) developing clinical guidance and resources to help providers educate their patients; 3) research promotion; and 4) improving access to evidence-based obesity treatment services for cancer patients and survivors.

The ASCO policy statement also highlights that a cancer diagnosis may serve as a teachable moment to discuss risk-reducing or health-protective behaviors. A survey of U.S. gynecologic oncology providers affirmed this window of opportunity, stating that 85% agreed or strongly agreed on the importance of addressing obesity with cancer survivors (Jernigan et al., 2013). Historically, oncologists have played a limited role in weight loss management for their patients, as the direct implications of obesity on treatment options may not have been fully realized in the past. However with time, the growing obesity epidemic has pushed not only the upper limits of BMI values, but also the number of safe management options we can offer our patients. For many obese women with CAH or endometrial cancer, robotic surgery has provided a feasible surgical approach, but for others with extreme obesity (BMI ≥ 40 kg/m<sup>2</sup>), the risks of surgery may outweigh the benefits, and alternative treatment options such as radiation, chemotherapy and/or hormonal therapy should be discussed.

While our findings provide insight to women's knowledge regarding obesity-related risks as they pertain to medical comorbidities, endometrial cancer, and peri-operative risks, this study is not without limitations. We acknowledge our small sample size and the potential for selection and recall bias that is inherent to any survey study. Time constraints in the clinic and uneasiness with the topic are potential reasons more patients were not enrolled or were not enrolled more quickly over our 4-year study period. Furthermore, physicians were not blinded and the amount of discussion time spent in the pre-operative visit regarding obesity-related risks could have directly influenced providers' decisions to enroll eligible patients into this survey study. Despite these limitations, we also recognize that potential selection biases would likely favor inclusion of more knowledgeable patients, suggesting that 36% may be an underestimation of women's awareness of obesity-related peri-operative risks. Regardless, our findings are in congruence with prior published reports and provide evidence that pre-operative counseling for obese women with newly diagnosed CAH or endometrial cancer should incorporate more focused education about obesity-related risks.

Our knowledge of the link between obesity and cancer risk and survival outcomes continues to expand and gain momentum. Obesity is a multifactorial disease that warrants a concerted action at both the individual and societal levels, beginning first with improved patient awareness and education. Our study highlights the need for focused discussions with CAH and endometrial cancer

patients. These discussions should not only address the link between obesity and cancer, but also should incorporate the impact of weight on surgical management and specific obesity-related peri-operative risks. Gynecologic oncologists are in a pivotal position to positively impact survival outcomes by recognizing and seizing teachable moments about obesity and lifestyle modifications throughout our life-long relationship with our cancer patients.

#### Conflict of interest statement

The authors have no significant financial disclosures. The Siteman Cancer Center is supported by NCI Cancer Center Support Grant P30 CA91842. This publication was supported by the Washington University Institute of Clinical and Translational Sciences (ICTS) grant UL1 TR000448 from the National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences. The content is solely the responsibility of the authors and does not necessarily represent the official views of the National Institute of Health. Dr. Bradley Evanoff is the PI for the Clinical and Translational Science Award that supports all Washington University ICTS and Clinical Research Training Center activities.

#### References

- Ackermann, S., Renner, S.P., Fasching, P.A., Poehls, U., Bender, H.G., Beckmann, M.W., 2005. Awareness of general and personal risk factors for uterine cancer among healthy women. *Eur. J. Cancer Prev.* 14, 519–524.
- ACOG committee opinion, 2005. The role of obstetrician–gynecologist in the assessment and management of obesity. (Number 319, October 2005). *Obstet. Gynecol.* 106, 895–899.
- Bamgbade, O.A., Rutter, T.W., Nafiu, O.O., Dorje, P., 2007. Postoperative complications in obese and nonobese patients. *World J. Surg.* 31, 556–560 (discussion 561).
- Calle, E.E., Rodriguez, C., Walker-Thurmond, K., Thun, M.J., 2003. Overweight, obesity, and mortality from cancer in a prospectively studied cohort of U.S. adults. *N. Engl. J. Med.* 348, 1625–1638.
- Chia, V.M., Newcomb, P.A., Trentham-Dietz, A., Hampton, J.M., 2007. Obesity, diabetes, and other factors in relation to survival after endometrial cancer diagnosis. *Int. J. Gynecol. Cancer* 17, 441–446.
- Choban, P.S., Heckler, R., Burge, J.C., Flancbaum, L., 1995. Increased incidence of nosocomial infections in obese surgical patients. *Am. Surg.* 61, 1001–1005.
- Dossett, L.A., Heffernan, D., Lightfoot, M., Collier, B., Diaz, J.J., Sawyer, R.G., May, A.K., 2008. Obesity and pulmonary complications in critically injured adults. *Chest* 134, 974–980.
- Fryar, C.C.M., Ogden, C., 2014. Prevalence of Overweight, Obesity, and Extreme Obesity Among Adults: United States, 1960–1962 Through 2011–2012.
- Jernigan, A.M., Tergas, A.I., Satin, A.J., Fader, A.N., 2013. Obesity management in gynecologic cancer survivors: provider practices and attitudes. *Am. J. Obstet. Gynecol.* 208, e1–e8 (408).
- Ligibel, J.A., Alfano, C.M., Courneya, K.S., Demark-Wahnefried, W., Burger, R.A., Chlebowski, R.T., Fabian, C.J., Gucalp, A., Hershman, D.L., Hudson, M.M., Jones, L.W., Kakarala, M., Ness, K.K., Merrill, J.K., Wollins, D.S., Hudis, C.A., 2014. American society of clinical oncology position statement on obesity and cancer. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 32, 3568–3574.
- Obesity as a Public Health Issue: A look at Solutions, 2003. Obesity as a public health issue: a look at solutions. (Available from). [http://www.phsi.harvard.edu/health\\_reform/poll\\_results.pdf](http://www.phsi.harvard.edu/health_reform/poll_results.pdf).
- Seamon, L.G., Bryant, S.A., Rheaume, P.S., Kimball, K.J., Huh, W.K., Fowler, J.M., Phillips, G.S., Cohn, D.E., 2009. Comprehensive surgical staging for endometrial cancer in obese patients: comparing robotics and laparotomy. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 114, 16–21.
- Soliman, P.T., Bassett Jr., R.L., Wilson, E.B., Boyd-Rogers, S., Schmeler, K.M., Milam, M.R., Gershenson, D.M., Lu, K.H., 2008. Limited public knowledge of obesity and endometrial cancer risk: what women know. *Obstet. Gynecol.* 112, 835–842.
- Trimble, C.L., Kauderer, J., Zaino, R., Silverberg, S., Lim, P.C., Burke II, J.J., Alberts, D., Curtin, J., 2006. Concurrent endometrial carcinoma in women with a biopsy diagnosis of atypical endometrial hyperplasia: a Gynecologic Oncology Group study. *Cancer* 106, 812–819.
- Walker, J.L., Piedmonte, M.R., Spirtos, N.M., Eisenkop, S.M., Schlaerth, J.B., Mannel, R.S., Spiegel, G., Barakat, R., Pearl, M.L., Sharma, S.K., 2009. Laparoscopy compared with laparotomy for comprehensive surgical staging of uterine cancer: Gynecologic Oncology Group Study LAP2. *J. Clin. Oncol.* 27, 5331–5336.