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Poly-ADP-ribosylation-mediated degradation of ARTD1 by the NLRP3 inflammasome is a prerequisite for osteoclast maturation

C Wang1,4, C Qu1,4, Y Alippe1, SL Bonar1, R Civitelli1, Y Abu-Amer2, MO Hottiger3 and G Mbalaviele*,1

Evidence implicates ARTD1 in cell differentiation, but its role in skeletal metabolism remains unknown. Osteoclasts (OC), the bone-resorbing cells, differentiate from precursors of the monocyte/macrophage lineage under the influence of systemic and local factors present in the bone microenvironment.1 These factors funnel their inputs through the essential OC regulators, macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), which generates mitogenic and survival signals,2,3 and receptor activator of NF-κB ligand (RANKL), whose actions promote OC differentiation and function.4,5 M-CSF and RANKL signaling cascades not only promote the expression of pro-osteoclastogenic transcription factors such as microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (Mitf),6 NF-κB,7 c-Fos,8 NFATc19 and B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1 (Blimp1),10 but also suppress the expression of the repressors of OC formation, including inhibitors of differentiation,11 V-maf avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog B (MafB),12 interferon regulatory factor-8 (IRF8)13 and LIM homeobox 2 (Lhx2).14 Thus, OC differentiation is tightly regulated by redundant negative mechanisms to avoid unnecessary osteolysis.

Osteoclasts (OC) are mature myeloid cells, specialized in the removal of aged or damaged bone matrix, which is then replaced by new bone by the osteoblasts.1 OC differentiate from precursors of the monocyte/macrophage lineage under the influence of systemic and local factors present in the bone microenvironment.1 These factors funnel their inputs through the essential OC regulators, macrophage colony-stimulating factor (M-CSF), which generates mitogenic and survival signals,2,3 and receptor activator of NF-κB ligand (RANKL), whose actions promote OC differentiation and function.4,5 M-CSF and RANKL signaling cascades not only promote the expression of pro-osteoclastogenic transcription factors such as microphthalmia-associated transcription factor (Mitf),6 NF-κB,7 c-Fos,8 NFATc19 and B lymphocyte-induced maturation protein 1 (Blimp1),10 but also suppress the expression of the repressors of OC formation, including inhibitors of differentiation,11 V-maf avian musculoaponeurotic fibrosarcoma oncogene homolog B (MafB),12 interferon regulatory factor-8 (IRF8)13 and LIM homeobox 2 (Lhx2).14 Thus, OC differentiation is tightly regulated by redundant negative mechanisms to avoid unnecessary osteolysis.

Biochemical signals that regulate OC are amplified and propagated by post-translational protein modifications, mainly phosphorylation,1,2 ubiquitination15 and SUMOylation.16 Another biochemical modification with potential impact on OC biology is poly-ADP-ribosylation, termed PARylation. This reaction is catalyzed by ADP-ribosyltransferases (ARTDs) also known as poly(ADP-ribose) polymerases (PARPs).17 Some ARTDs cause the formation of poly-ADP-ribose (PAR) by transferring several ADP-ribose units from nicotinamide adenine dinucleotide (NAD+) onto acceptor proteins. ADP-ribosyltransferase diphtheria toxin-like 1 (ARTD1, also known as PARP1) is the prominent member of this family involved in DNA repair, cell proliferation and survival.18–20 Emerging evidence suggests that ARTD1 also plays an important role in cell fate determination through regulation of transcription.21 Indeed, ARTD1 can PARylate transcription factors, thereby affecting their transcriptional activity22–24 or affect gene expression through epigenetic regulation as the negatively charged PAR that it attaches to core and linker histones induce chromatin decondensation, thereby
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facilitating access of transcription factors to DNA sites.\textsuperscript{21} In addition, ARTD1 can influence chromatin modification by PARylating histones at residues that are also regulated by methylation or acetylation.\textsuperscript{21} Thus, through direct actions on transcription factors and indirect influence through epigenetic mechanisms, ARTD1 may represent a homeostatic mechanism that alters OC differentiation program.

ARTD1 is regulated by various post-translational modifications, including caspase-mediated proteolytic cleavage at aspartate 214,\textsuperscript{25} auto-PARylation,\textsuperscript{26} SUMOylation\textsuperscript{27} and ubiquitination,\textsuperscript{28} which was linked to ARTD1 degradation in osteoclastogenesis \textit{in vitro}.\textsuperscript{31–33} We found that ARTD1 was degraded during OC differentiation. Conversely, expression of ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N}, which was resistant to degradation, caused a high bone mass phenotype owing to increased expression of OC repressors, decreased OC differentiation and bone resorption, while bone formation was unaltered.

Results

ARTD1 is degraded during OC formation through PARylation-dependent mechanisms. M-CSF provides growth and survival signals for cells of the OC lineage through regulation of numerous pathways, including PI3K/Akt and MAPK.\textsuperscript{1} Here, we found that M-CSF contained in CMG media\textsuperscript{34} induced massive protein PARylation in mouse bone marrow macrophages (BMMs), an effect that was time- (Figure 1a, bracket) and concentration- (Supplementary Figure S1A) dependent, and was inhibited by two chemically different inhibitors of ARTD1 and ARTD2, olaparib (olap) and veliparib (velip) (Figure 1b, bracket) or Arabidopsis thaliana (Figure 1c, bracket). Whereas M-CSF treatment did not affect ARTD1 protein levels (Figure 1d, and Supplementary Figures S1B, S1C), RANKL treatment reduced ARTD1 protein abundance (Figure 1e and f). In addition, p89 kDa fragment (p89), a product of caspase-mediated cleavage of ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N}, was apparent at day 2 during the differentiation of RAW 264.7 cells (Figure 1e), but was not readily detectable in the differentiation of primary BMM (Figure 1f). Thus, ARTD1 is likely responsible for protein PARylation, which inversely correlates with OC differentiation.

Pull-down studies using A1521 macrodomains (Figure 1g), which have high specificity and affinity for PARylated proteins,\textsuperscript{36} and immunoprecipitation studies using anti-PAR antibody (Figure 1h) showed a decline in the levels of PARylated ARTD1 during OC differentiation. These results suggest that PARylation regulates ARTD1 protein levels. Indeed, in the 3-day OC cultures treated with olap, not only ARTD1 PARylation was inhibited (Figure 1g, top panel), but ARTD1 degradation was also prevented (Figure 1g, middle panel). ARTD1 was degraded through the proteasome pathway since a brief exposure for 3 h of OC cultures to MG-132, a proteasome inhibitor, was able to attenuate ARTD1 loss (Figure 1i). Unexpectedly, p89 remained undetectable even in the presence of MG-132, suggesting slow accumulation of this fragment in these experimental conditions. The protective effect of olap was not due to blockade of OC differentiation because if anything, the number of OC in inhibitor-treated cultures scored at day 4 was higher than that of vehicle-treated cultures counted at day 3 (data not shown). Collectively, these data suggest that ARTD1 auto-modification is required for its degradation during osteoclastogenesis.

ARTD1 cleavage at D214 is required for its degradation during OC formation. We hypothesized that caspase-mediated cleavage of ARTD1 at aspartate 214 is required for osteoclastogenesis to proceed. Hence, we studied the impact of ARTD1 rendered uncleavable by D214N substitution (ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N}) on skeletal homeostasis. First, to ensure that ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N} is indeed uncleavable, we exposed LPS-primed BMM to ATP, an activator of the NLRP3 inflammasome.\textsuperscript{30} Inflammasome activation caused a time-dependent decline in ARTD1 abundance in WT BMM, a response that inversely correlated with the levels of the cleaved p89 kDa ARTD1 fragment (p89) (Figure 2a). In contrast, activated inflammasome failed to induce the cleavage of ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N} (Figure 2b). ARTD1 processing did not occur in \textit{Nlrp3}-deficient mice (Figure 2c) as expected. Conversely, following LPS treatment, which up-regulated NLRP3 as reported,\textsuperscript{29} ARTD1 protein levels were reduced in BMM expressing constitutively activated NLRP3 (NLRP3\textsuperscript{ca}) inflammasome in the absence of exogenously added ATP, but not control cells (Figure 2d). Moreover, ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N} blocked NLRP3\textsuperscript{ca}-induced OC formation (Supplementary Figure S2). Thus, ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N} is resistant to cleavage in response to various stimuli, including pro-apoptotic cues as reported\textsuperscript{25} and NLRP3 inflammasome-induced signals.

We previously reported that the NLRP3 inflammasome is activated during RANKL-induced OC formation in the absence of exogenously added secondary inflammasome-activating signals.\textsuperscript{37} Although ARTD1 is degraded during osteoclastogenesis, it is still unclear whether ARTD1 cleavage at D214 is a prerequisite for its degradation during this process. To understand the relationship between these two non-mutually exclusive mechanisms, we monitored the fate of WT and ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N} during OC formation. WT and ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N} mRNA levels were unaltered during OC formation (Figure 2e), and ARTD1 protein levels were diminished by day 3 of cultures in WT cells whereas those of ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N} protein remained unchanged during OC formation (Figure 2f). Occasionally, a fragment of ~78 kDa was observed in cells expressing ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N}, suggesting that mutant ARTD1 is proteolitically processed to some extent at a different site to enable minimal osteoclastogenesis. Collectively, our results also suggest that WT, but not ARTD1\textsuperscript{D214N} is efficiently degraded during this process. Consistent with a role for the NLRP3 inflammasome in ARTD1 processing, ARTD1 was degraded at a slower pace in NLRP3-deficient cells compared with WT counterparts (Figure 2g).
ARTD1 levels consistently declined by day 3 of OC formation, yet the p89 fragment, which was detectable in RAW 264.7 cells (Figure 1e), remained elusive in primary BMM. Notably, we found that when cells were fed daily (starting at day 1.5) instead of every 2 days as in Figure 2f and g, p89 was readily detected 12 h after media replenishment in WT, but not Artd1D214N/D214N cells (Figure 2h). These data suggest that NLPR3 inflammasome-mediated ARTD1 cleavage at D214 is the first step in the processing of ARTD1, an event that generates protein fragments which are subjected to full proteolysis during osteoclastogenesis.

ARTD1 promotes the expression of repressors of OC differentiation through mechanisms involving epigenetic regulation. Given the emerging role of ARTD1 in transcriptional regulation, we analyzed its effects on the expression of transcription factors that regulate OC differentiation. The expression of NFATc1 (Figure 3a) and Mitf (Figure 3b) was up-regulated during the differentiation of WT cells as expected, but not of ARTD1D214N-expressing cells. Conversely, expression of the repressors of osteoclastogenesis, IRF8 (Figure 3c), Lhx2 (Figure 3d), MafB (Figure 3e) and Id2 (Figure 3f) declined during the differentiation of WT cells, but was remarkably up-regulated in cells expressing ARTD1D214N. Interestingly, the levels of Blimp1 mRNA, a presumed global negative regulator of anti-osteoclastogenic molecules, including IRF8 and MafB, were also lower in Artd1D214N/D214N BMM (Figure 3g). Whereas ARTD1D214N promoted the expression of the repressors of OC differentiation, inhibition of ARTD1 activity by olap resulted in increased Blimp1 expression (Figure 3h and i) and OC formation (Supplementary Figure S3A) whereas IRF8 expression was decreased (Figure 3j). These results, which are consistent with our recent findings, suggest that ARTD1 activity is an important mechanism in ARTD1 negative regulation of osteoclastogenesis.
We employed chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) to elucidate the mechanisms of ARTD1 regulation of gene expression during osteoclastogenesis. The expression profile of WT and ARTD1D214N was indistinguishable, suggesting that D214N substitution did not affect ARTD1 localization (Figure 4a and Supplementary Figure S3B). Blimp1 protein induction was also attenuated during the differentiation of ARTD1D214N-expressing cells compared with...
WT cells (Figure 4b, top panel) or RAW 264.7 cells (Supplementary Figure S3C); these data were consistent with the profile of Blimp1 mRNA expression (Figure 3g-i).

ARTD1 PARylation of histones can alter the modification of these proteins by methylation or acetylation.19 Here, we found that the patterns of global histone3lysine4 trimethylation (H3K4me3), a mark of transcriptionally active chromatin (Figure 4c), but not histone3lysine27 trimethylation (H3K27me3), repressive mark (Figure 4d), was apparently affected by ARTD1D214N expression at day 2 when we never found evidence of ARTD1D214N processing (i.e., generation of 78 or 89 kDa fragment). We therefore focused on the former modification to gain insight onto ARTD1 transcriptional regulation during OC formation. PU.1, the transcription factor that affects the early steps of OC formation,39 binds to Blimp1 promoter and positively regulates Blimp1 transcription.40 Given the key role of Blimp1 in osteoclastogenesis,10 we determined the effect of ARTD1D214N on PU.1 regulation of Blimp1 by focusing on BMM and OC precursors (pOC), which express ARTD1 in contrast to OC. We found that PU.1 expression was increased significantly in WT pOC compared with mutant pOC (Figure 4b, middle panel). Moreover, RANKL-induced PU.1 recruitment to Blimp1 promoter in pOC in WT cells was abolished in ARTD1D214N-expressing cells (Figure 4e), consistent with decreased H3K4me3 at the Blimp1 promoter in mutant cells (Figure 4f). Collectively, these results indicate that ARTD1 inhibits OC formation by promoting the expression of the repressors of this process while interfering with the expression of master pro-osteoclastogenic transcription factors such as Blimp1 through mechanisms involving histone methylation.

Uncleavable ARTD1 causes a high bone mass phenotype in mice. To determine the skeletal impact of constitutive expression of ARTD1D214N,25 we analyzed the femora of mice using micro-computed tomography (μCT). Bone mass was significantly higher in Artd1D214N/D214N male mice at age 2 weeks (Figure 5a and b) and 8 weeks (Figure 5c and d) of age compared with littermate WT male mice, consistent with higher bone mineral density (BMD), increased number (Tb.N) and thickness (Tb.Th) of the trabeculae, and decreased trabecular space (Tb.Sp) in Artd1D214N/D214N mice (Supplementary Figure S4A). This high bone mass phenotype was most likely related to defective bone resorption as OC number (Oc.N/BS, Figure 5e and f) and surface (Oc.S/BS, Figure 5g) were decreased in Artd1D214N/D214N compared with WT mice, consistent with attenuated expression of OC markers, tartrate-resistant acid phosphatase.
(TRAP) and cathepsin K (Supplementary Figure S4B). In contrast, neither the dynamic indices of bone formation, mineral apposition rate and bone formation rate (Supplementary Figure S5A-D), the number of osteoblasts (Supplementary Figure S5E) nor serum levels of the biomarker of bone formation, procollagen type 1 N-terminal propeptide (Supplementary Figure S5F) were different between the two tested genotypes. Thus, the high bone mass phenotype of Artd1D214N/D214N mice stems from diminished OC development, but not bone formation. 

Uncleavable ARTD1 causes defective osteoclast formation. The comparable gene expression levels of RANK, osteoprotegerin and RANKL (Supplementary Figure S5E) nor serum levels of the biomarker of bone formation, procollagen type 1 N-terminal propeptide (Supplementary Figure S5F) were different between the two tested genotypes. Thus, the high bone mass phenotype of Artd1D214N/D214N mice stems from diminished OC development, but not bone formation.

**Discussion**

The expression of ARTD1 mRNA is maintained during OC differentiation, yet WT ARTD1 protein is barely detectable in OC, suggesting either mRNA translation inhibition by noncoding RNA or ARTD1 protein degradation during OC formation. Although ARTD1 is a target of microRNA such as miR-223, our results strongly support the latter scenario as ARTD1D214N protein was consistently present in OC, and loss of WT ARTD1

---

**Figure 4** ARTD1 regulates Blimp1 expression through epigenetic mechanisms. (a) Western blot analysis of WT ARTD1 and ARTD1D214N expression in BMM (Artd1D214N/D214N, Artd1+/+). (b) Western blot analysis of Blimp1 and PU.1 expression in BMM, pOC (d2) or OC (d3). Results are from the same gels, but the lanes were cut and pasted. (c) Analysis of global H3K4me3. (d) Analysis of global H3K27me3. (e) ChIP analysis of PU.1 binding to the Blimp1 promoter using IgG or PU.1 antibody for immunoprecipitation. (f) ChIP analysis of H3K4me3 at the Blimp1 promoter. Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. *P < 0.05, BMM versus pOC, and are representative of three independent experiments.
in OC was attenuated upon acute pharmacological blockade of the proteasome pathway. The notion that ARTD1 is degraded in OC implies that this protein functions as an intrinsic inhibitor of OC development, a view that is consistent with the enhanced anti-osteoclastogenic potential of degradation-resistant ARTD1D214N, and our other observations indicating that OC formation and bone resorption were enhanced in Artd1-deficient mice.38 Thus, while ARTD1 is dispensable in non-stress states in certain tissues, it plays a non-redundant cell-context-dependent role in skeletal homeostasis. Although ubiquitination-mediated degradation of ARTD1 in cancer cells was reported,28 our findings unravel a novel concept in ARTD1 biology whereby degradation of this protein is a prerequisite for full execution of OC differentiation program.

ARTD1 PARylates itself in pOC in response to M-CSF stimulation, and was subsequently degraded upon RANKL exposure. Although further work is required to explore the link between PARylation and ubiquitination of ARTD1 during OC development, the fact that ARTD1 auto-PARylation occurs early in BMM suggests that this modification may be a switch that targets this protein for proteolysis. Consistent with this concept, inhibition of ARTD1 activity, not only prevented ARTD1 auto-modification, but also stopped its destruction. In addition, a small fraction of WT ARTD1 that escapes degradation is apparently not PARylated (Figure 2f and g,

Figure 5  ARTD1D214N causes high bone mass associated with decreased OC number. (a) Cross sections of 3 D μCT reconstruction of trabecular bones of distal femoral metaphyses, and (b) trabecular bone mass (BV/TV) from 2-week-old Artd1+/+ and Artd1D214N/D214N male mice. Scale bar, 250 μm. (c) Cross sections of 3 D μCT reconstruction of distal femoral metaphyses, and (d) BV/TV from 8-week-old Artd1+/+ and Artd1D214N/D214N male mice. Scale bar, 500 μm. (e) TRAP staining of bone sections; (i) and (ii) represent a higher view of the area highlighted by the red box. (f) Histomorphometric analysis of OC number/bone surface (Oc. N/BS) from WT and Artd1D214N/D214N mice. (g) OC surface/bone surface (Oc. S/BS). Quantitative data are from 8 Artd1+/+ mice and 5 Artd1D214N/D214N mice (b), and 4 Artd1+/+ mice and 4 Artd1D214N/D214N mice (d, f and g). Data are expressed as mean ± S.D. *P<0.05
On the other hand, ARTD1 auto-PARYlation followed by cleavage and subsequent release from DNA occurs in response to genotoxic insults, and is the presumed mechanism that prevents ARTD1 overreaction and excessive consumption of NAD+, an important source of cellular energy. Thus, it is reasonable to speculate that promotion of ARTD1 PARylation by osteoclastogenic factors triggers the destruction of this enzyme to preserve NAD+ for energy metabolism during osteoclastogenesis.

ARTD1 cleavage into p24 and p89 is a hallmark of apoptosis, though the underlying mechanisms have not been elucidated. Intriguingly, mice expressing ARTD1D214N develop normally as do mice lacking this protein, suggesting that ARTD1 cleavage is not essential for cell death. Thus, ARTD1 actions, which include modulation of the function of various transcription factors such as NF-κB and NFATc1, are more complex than originally thought. Here, we detected p89 during the early steps of osteoclastogenesis when ARTD1 was maximally PAR-conjugated, implying that PARylated ARTD1 may be a high affinity substrate for caspases, including caspase-7, which presumably cleaves ARTD1 in the nucleus in a non-apoptotic manner as proposed previously. Caspase-7 can be activated by caspase-1, the catalytic component of the NLRP3 inflammasome, a pathway that not only regulates OC differentiation and bone resorption, but is also critical in ARTD1 proteolytic processing during this process as demonstrated in this study. Consistent with an important role of the NLRP3 inflammasome-ARTD1 axis in the regulation of osteoclastogenesis, pharmacological inhibition or deletion of caspase-1, which attenuates ARTD1 degradation, inhibits OC formation. Thus, despite the lack of the specific details on the enzyme that cleaves ARTD1 in the OC lineage, our results suggest a sequence of events whereby ARTD1 is highly PARylated in BMM in response to M-CSF, cleaved during RANKL-induced BMM lineage commitment, and finally degraded in late pOC.

**Figure 6** Osteoclastogenesis is defective in cells expressing ARTD1D214N. (a) WT and Artd1D214N/D214N BMM were incubated with 2% CMG (top panels) or 2% CMG and 100 ng/ml RANKL (bottom panels) for 4 days (4 d) to generate OC, and stained for TRAP activity. (b) OC number. (c and d) Quantitative PCR analysis of TRAP and cathepsin K mRNA expression after treatment of cells with CMG (BMM) or CMG and RANKL for 2 and 4 days to generate pOC and OC, respectively. Data are representative of at least five (a and b) or two independent experiments (c and d), and are expressed as mean ± S.D. *P < 0.05, Artd1+/+ versus Artd1D214N/D214N at day 4.
elements is still unclear, it is not conceptually obvious to envision that ARTD1 directly regulates the expression of its numerous targets, which are either pro or anti-osteoclastogenic. A plausible alternative is that ARTD1 affects the function or accessibility to DNA response elements of master transcription factors of OC development through PARylation of these proteins and/or histones. A detailed elucidation of such mechanisms is important, but is outside of the scope of this manuscript. Nonetheless, consistent with this scenario, we found that ARTD1D214N decreased PU.1 binding to the promoter of the master repressor of anti-osteoclastogenic factors, Blimp1, 13 a response that correlated with H3k4me3. Although PU.1 was not consistently induced in WT pOC (data not shown) it cannot be ruled out that lack of PU.1 induction in ARTD1D214N-expressing cells contributed to the attenuated binding of PU.1 to Blimp1 promoter. Collectively, our findings indicate that ARTD1 functions to antagonize OC formation, an effect that is heightened in ARTD1D214N, owing to its enhanced stability (Figure 7).

Advanced knowledge on ARTD1 biology revolves around its role in cell survival and death in non-skeletal tissues. We have discovered that ARTD1 impacts bone remodeling through its ability to regulate OC differentiation, hence positioning ARTD1 as an important candidate to regulate bone loss in diseases.

Materials and Methods

Mice. Germline knock-in mice globally expressing an ARTD1 mutant rendered uncevable by D214N substitution (ArtD214N/D214N mice) have been previously described. 26 Nlrp32/− and Artd1−/− mice were purchased from the Jackson Laboratory (Bass Harbor, ME, USA), and mice expressing constitutively activated NLRP3 (NLRP3GA) inflammasome have also been previously described. 44 Briefly, Nlrp32/− mice were crossed with Lyso-M-Cre mice to obtain Nlrp32/− mice. Nlrp32/−; Artd1−/−; M-CSF−/− mice were mated with Lyso-M-Cre;Artd1D214N mice to generate Nlrp32/−; Artd1D214N mice. All mice were on the C57BL6 background, and mouse genotyping was performed by PCR. All procedures were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis.

Bone mass and microstructure. Femoral bone structure was analyzed by μCT system (μCT 40; Scanco Medical AG, Zurich, Switzerland) as described previously. 37 Briefly, femora from 2-week-old and 8-week-old male mice were stabilized in 2% agarose gel, and μCT scan at 55 kVp were taken along the length of the femur as described previously. 37 The volume of interest analyzed was located just proximal to the growth plate, spanning a height of 350 μm each for the metaphyseal region.

Histology and histomorphometry. Mice were labeled twice by injection of calcein (15 mg/kg i.p.; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) 5 and 2 days before euthanasia, which was performed under light anesthesia by exsanguination through dorsal aortic puncture. Blood was collected and the serum stored at −80 °C for later assays. Tissue samples were processed as described previously. 37 Briefly, long bones were fixed in 10% formalin, decalcified in 14% (w/v) EDTA pH 7.2 for 10–14 days at room temperature (RT), embedded in paraffin, sectioned at 5 μm thickness and mounted on glass slides. Stained sections with H&E or TRAP were analyzed by SYBR Green gene expression assay (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA). Complementary DNA was then synthesized with iScript reverse transcription kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and mRNA expression analysis. Total RNA was harvested from cells using RNeasy Plus Mini Kit (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany). Complementary DNA was then synthesized with iScript reverse transcription kit (Bio-Rad, Hercules, CA, USA) and quantified using primers listed in Supplementary Table 1. Gene expression was analyzed by SYBR Green gene expression assay (Applied Biosystems, Waltham, MA, USA).

Chromatin immunoprecipitation. Chromatin immunoprecipitation (ChIP) was carried out using standard procedures. Briefly, cells were washed, scraped with ice-cold PBS, centrifuged, and the pellets were sonicated to generate ChIP DNA fragments (200–600 bp), which were cross-linked using standard protocols. Samples were incubated with either normal rabbit IgG, H3 antibody (Abcam, Cambridge, UK), H3K4me3 (Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) or PU.1 antibody (Santa Cruz, Dallas, TX, USA) for overnight at 4 °C under rotation, followed by incubation with protein A/G plus agarose beads for 2–3 h at 4 °C. After several washes, precipitated chromatin complexes were eluted, and uncrosslinked overnight at 65 °C with in buffer containing 5 M NaCl, followed by treatment with RNase A and proteinase K. DNA was extracted with QiaQuick PCR purification kit (Qiagen), and quantified by qPCR using primers listed in Supplementary Table S1.

Figure 7 A model of ARTD1 regulation of OC formation. In the absence of M-CSF and RANKL, the NLRP3 inflammasome is minimally active in Artd1+/- and Artd1D214N/D214N cells (a and a′). As a result, the epigenetic action of ARTD1D is an important candidate to regulate bone loss in diseases.
Expression and purification of AF1521 macrodomains. AF1521 macrodomains were generated as described previously. Briefly, BL21 bacteria were transformed with the expression plasmid pGEX containing GST-tagged AF1521, which was purified using Glutathione Sepharose 4B (GE Healthcare). The purity of the fusion protein, resuspended in a buffer containing 50 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl and 1 mM DTT was monitored by staining the gel with coomassie blue after SDS-PAGE.

Pull-down, immunoprecipitation and Western blot analyses. Cells were lysed with RIPA buffer (50 mM Tris, 150 mM NaCl, 1 mM EDTA, 0.5% NaDOC, 0.1% SDS, 1% NP-40), and the proteins were quantified and used for immunoprecipitation and/or Western blot analysis. All lysis buffers were supplemented with phosphatase inhibitors (2 mM NaVO4, 10 mM NaF and 1 mM PMSF) and Complete Protease Inhibitor Cocktail (Roche, Basel, Switzerland). Protein concentrations were determined by the BioRad method. For the pull-down analysis, 800 μg proteins were incubated with 20 μg AF1521, followed by washing the beads four times. For the immunoprecipitation studies, 800 μg proteins were incubated with 10 μg PAR antibody followed by incubation with 60 μl protein A/G-agarose (Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and the pellets were washed four times. Proteins were subjected to SDS-PAGE on 4–12% NuPAGE gels (Invitrogen). Proteins were transferred onto nitrocellulose membranes, and incubated with anti-AF1521 antibody (1:1000, Cell Signaling Technologies, Danvers, MA, USA), NLRP3 antibody (1:1000, AdipoGen, San Diego, CA, USA), β-actin antibody (1:50,000, Sigma), HSP90 antibody (1:2000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology), tubulin antibody (1:1000, Sigma, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) or GAPDH antibody (1:1000, Santa Cruz Biotechnology) for 2 h at room temperature, followed by 1 h incubation with secondary goat anti-mouse IRDye 800 (Rockland, Limerick, PA, USA) or goat anti-rabbit Alexa-Fluor 680 (Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR, USA), respectively. The results were visualized using Li-Cor Odyssey Infrared Imaging System (Li-Cor, Lincoln, NE, USA).

Statistical analysis. Statistical significance was assessed by Student’s t test for independent samples, unless otherwise stated.
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