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Cellular/Molecular

Chimeric Glutamate Receptor Subunits Reveal the Transmembrane Domain Is Sufficient for NMDA Receptor Pore Properties but Some Positive Allosteric Modulators Require Additional Domains

Timothy J. Wilding, Melany N. Lopez, and James E. Huettner
Department of Cell Biology and Physiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110

NMDA receptors are ligand-gated ion channels that underlie transmission at excitatory synapses and play an important role in regulating synaptic strength and stability. Functional NMDA receptors require two copies of the GluN1 subunit coassembled with GluN2 (and/or GluN3) subunits into a heteromeric tetramer. A diverse array of allosteric modulators can upregulate or downregulate NMDA receptor activity. These modulators include both synthetic compounds and endogenous modulators, such as cis-unsaturated fatty acids, 24(S)-hydroxycholesterol, and various neurosteroids. To evaluate the structural requirements for the formation and allosteric modulation of NMDA receptor pores, we have replaced portions of the rat GluN1, GluN2A, and GluN2B subunits with homologous segments from the rat GluK2 kainate receptor subunit. Our results with these chimeric constructs show that the NMDA receptor transmembrane domain is sufficient to account for most pore properties, but that regulation by some allosteric modulators requires additional cytoplasmic or extracellular domains.
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Introduction

Vertebrate central neurons express multiple receptors for the excitatory neurotransmitter L-glutamate, including three different families of ionotropic receptors (iGluRs) named for the agonists NMDA, AMPA, and kainate (Traynelis et al., 2010). Distinct sets of subunits, with limited primary sequence identity, contribute to these three iGluR families (Traynelis et al., 2010), but they all share a similar modular organization that includes large extracellular amino-terminal and ligand-binding domains (ATDs and LBDs), a transmembrane domain (TMD) that forms the channel pore (Huettner, 2015), and a cytoplasmic carboxy terminal domain (CTD; Sobolevsky et al., 2009; Karakas and Furukawa, 2014; Lee et al., 2014). Functional iGluRs are tetramers that, in the case of NMDA receptors, require two GluN1 subunits com-
bined in a heterotetramer with GluN2 and/or GluN3 subunits, of which there are four (GluN2A–GluN2D) and two (GluN3A and GluN3B) subtypes, respectively (Paoletti et al., 2013). Additional diversity arises from alternate splicing of GluN1 and post-translational modifications, including phosphorylation and palmitoylation of specific residues in the subunit CTDs (Collingridge et al., 2013).

Conventional NMDA receptors, made up of GluN1 and GluN2 subunits, require coactivation by glutamate, which binds to the GluN2 subunit, and glycine or D-serine, which bind to GluN1 (Traynelis et al., 2010). This subunit combination forms channels that exhibit voltage-dependent block by magnesium but high permeability to monovalent cations and calcium. Because calcium entry through the channels requires both presynaptic transmitter release and postsynaptic depolarization to remove magnesium block, this unique permeation profile underlies the ability of NMDA receptors to regulate plasticity at excitatory synapses by detecting coincidence of presynaptic and postsynaptic activity (Paoletti et al., 2013).

NMDA receptors are subject to regulation by a wide variety of different compounds (Traynelis et al., 2010; Collingridge et al., 2013; Zhu and Paoletti, 2015), including several endogenous modulators derived from constituents of the plasma membrane. Both ω-6 and ω-3 cis-unaturated fatty acids, such as arachidonic acid (AA) and docosahexaenoic acid (DHA), potentiate neuronal and recombinant NMDA receptors (Miller et al., 1992; Nishikawa et al., 1994), whereas lysophospholipids are inhibitory (Casado and Ascher, 1998). In addition, a number of endogenous steroid compounds, including neurosteroids (Gibbs et al., 2006; Korinek et al., 2011) and cholesterol metabolites (Paul et al., 2013; Linsenbardt et al., 2014; Korinek et al., 2015), have been shown to exhibit selective potentiation or inhibition of NMDA receptor-mediated currents. Most of these compounds appear to upregulate or downregulate channel open probability; however, the molecular interactions that underlie modulation remain largely unknown. Indeed, for some of the compounds, it is not
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clear whether they bind to specific locations on the receptor or exert an indirect influence on channel gating via changes in bulk membrane properties (Casado and Ascher, 1998). Neurosteroids have recently become a focus of drug development and together with oxysterols and cis-unsaturated fatty acids may offer novel targets for therapeutic intervention to reduce excessive NMDA receptor activation.

In the present study we sought to determine which glutamate receptor domains are required for NMDA receptor channel function and allosteric modulation. We generated a series of chimeric subunits that swap domains between kainate receptor subunit GluK2 and NMDA receptor subunits GluN1 and GluN2A or GluN2B (Wilding et al., 2014). Our results show that the TMDs from GluN1 and GluN2, together with the short linkers that connect to their respective LBDs, are sufficient to form functional channels with characteristic features of NMDA receptors, including voltage-dependent block by magnesium and organic pore blockers, permeability to calcium, and positive allosteric modulation by 24(S)-hydroxycholesterol [24(S)-HCh; Paul et al., 2013]. In contrast, current potentiation by cis-unsaturated fatty acids required additional membrane proximal portions of the cytoplasmic CTD, whereas potentiation by the neurosteroid pregnenolone sulfate (PS; Wu et al., 1991) was only observed in chimeric receptors that included the LBD and TMD from NMDA receptor subunits.

### Materials and Methods

cDNA constructs, cell culture, and transfection. Rat subunit cDNAs were generously provided by Steve Heinemann, Peter Seeburg, Mark Mayer, Stefano Vicini, and Linda Nowak. Chimeric subunits were produced by a restriction enzyme-free PCR cloning method (Tillett and Neilan, 1999) or by ligation of PCR products with novel restriction sites inserted by silent mutations. All constructs were sequenced by the Washington University Protein Nucleic Acid Chemistry Laboratory. cDNAs were expressed by transient transfection in HEK 293 cells using lipofectamine 2000 (Invitrogen). The cells were propagated in 25 cm² flasks with MEM plus 10% fetal bovine serum and passaged once each week with protease XXIII (Sigma-Aldrich). Cells used for transfection were seeded onto 12-well plates and transfected the following day. Coexpression of GFP from a second vector was used to identify transfected cells. The day after transfection, cells were plated at low density on 35 mm plates coated with nitrocellulose; recordings were obtained on the following 2 d.

| Table 1. Boltzmann parameters for voltage-dependent magnesium block |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-----------------|
| Construct | Slope | $V_{1/2}$ | # |
| GluN2A | | | |
| Wild type | 14.7 ± 1.2 | -31.3 ± 4.3 | 8 |
| TMD | 16.7 ± 1.3 | -20.2 ± 2.6 | 4 |
| LBD + TMD | 15.3 ± 0.4 | -25.9 ± 3.0 | 7 |
| ATD + LBD + TMD | 14.6 ± 0.3 | -25.9 ± 6.8 | 7 |
| TMD + CTD | 17.1 ± 1.2 | -18.5 ± 4.9 | 6 |
| LBD + TMD + CTD | 13.7 ± 0.3 | -6.7 ± 1.6* | 14 |
| GluN2B | | | |
| Wild type | 12.1 ± 0.5 | -16.8 ± 1.5 | 16 |
| TMD | 13.7 ± 0.5 | -32.1 ± 4.9 | 14 |
| ATD + TMD | 15.0 ± 1.0 | -18.1 ± 5.2 | 6 |
| LBD + TMD | 15.0 ± 0.4 | -15.8 ± 3.9 | 15 |
| ATD + LBD + TMD | 14.9 ± 0.6 | -18.5 ± 1.9 | 3 |
| TMD + CTD | 14.4 ± 0.9 | -12.1 ± 3.0 | 3 |
| ATD + TMD + CTD | 12.8 ± 1.2 | -21.6 ± 4.0 | 3 |
| LBD + TMD + CTD | 13.8 ± 0.2 | -6.8 ± 1.8* | 14 |

Slope factors (a) and $V_{1/2}$ values were obtained from best fits of a Boltzmann function: $I = (a + V_{1/2})/[1 + \exp(-(V_s - V_{1/2})/a)]]$ to fit the presence of 1 μM magnesium. Each GluN2 construct was coexpressed with the homologous GluN1 construct. * denotes significant difference from wild type (ANOVA on ranks with post-hoc Dunn’s test).

Electrophysiology. Cultures were bath perfused with Tyrode’s solution containing the following (in mM): 150 NaCl, 4 KCl, 2 MgCl₂, 2 CaCl₂, 10 glucose, 10 HEPES, pH 7.4 with NaOH. Whole-cell electrodes were pulled from borosilicate tubing (WPI) and filled with an internal solution that contained the following (in mM): 140 Cs-glucuronate, 10 EGTA, 5 CsCl, 5 MgCl₂, 5 ATP, 1 GTP, and 10 HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.4 with CsOH. Agonists and antagonists were delivered in control extracellular solution (160 mM NaCl, 2 mM CaCl₂, and 10 mM HEPES, pH adjusted to 7.4 with NaOH) by local perfusion from a multibarreled pipette positioned near the recorded cell. Currents were recorded with an Axopatch 200A amplifier controlled by p-Clamp software (Molecular Devices) and are presented as mean ± SEM agonist-evoked current (current during agonist exposure minus holding current recorded in control solution before and/or after agonist superfusion). Chimeras with LBDs from GluK2 were treated with concanavalin A to increase steady-state agonist responses for most experiments (Wilding et al., 2005).

Current–voltage relations (I–Vs) were generated by averaging the currents recorded during a series of five ascending and descending membrane potential ramps (0.75 mV ms⁻¹). I–Vs recorded in the presence of magnesium were fit with a Boltzmann function: $I = (a + V_{1/2})/[1 + \exp(-(V_s - V_{1/2})/a)]]$ to compare the half-maximal voltage ($V_{1/2}$) and slope factor (k) for magnesium block. Statistical significance was assigned for $p < 0.05$.

Molecular modeling. Homology modeling was performed in Modeler (release 9.7; Eswar et al., 2008) using multiple templates (4PE5: Karakas and Furukawa, 2014; 4TLM: Lee et al., 2014) and twofold symmetry constraint for the A/C and B/D subunit pairs. Predictions for CTD secondary structure were made with JPred3 (Cole et al., 2008) and PEP-FOLD (Thévenet et al., 2012), with subsequent loop-refinement in Modeler.

### Results

Chimeric channels with heteromeric NMDA receptor pores

To determine the structural requirements for allosteric modulation of receptors with NMDA receptor pore properties (Traynelis et al., 2010; Huttner, 2015), we generated a number of chimeric subunit constructs that replaced domains of GluN1, GluN2A, and/or GluN2B with the homologous domain from the GluK2 kainate receptor subunit (Fig. 1A,B). All of our initial chimeric constructs contained the full NMDA receptor TMD, including the transmembrane M4 helix and the linkers that connect the TMD and LBD (Fig. 1A,B). Agonist applications failed to evoke significant current in HEK cells transfected with any of the chimeric subunits alone (Fig. 1C), indicating that they were unable to form functional homomeric channels. However, cotransfection of GluN1 and GluN2 TMD-containing subunits together resulted in substantial currents activated by the agonist(s) appropriate for the LBD: kainate for chimeric subunits that included the LBD from GluK2 or NMDA and glycine for subunit combinations that contained the LBDs from GluN1 and GluN2 (Fig. 1C). Each functional combination of homologous constructs displayed voltage-dependent block by magnesium (Fig. 1D; Table 1), a characteristic feature of intact NMDA receptors (Mayer et al., 1984; Nowak et al., 1984) mediated by adjacent asparagine residues near the apex of the GluN2 subunit pore loop (Burna- shev et al., 1992; Wollmuth et al., 1998). In addition, I–Vs recorded in normal and elevated calcium (2 and 10 mM) indicated substantial calcium permeability for the chimeric receptors with NMDA receptor pores (Fig. 2A). Based on the change in reversal potential between the two external solutions of 7.5 ± 0.5 mV ($a = 72$), the permeability ratio for calcium to sodium ($P_{Ca}/P_{Na}$) was estimated at 4.4 ± 0.4, which is consistent with previous work on recombinant wild-type receptors (Jatzke et al., 2002). Finally, chimeric receptors displayed voltage-dependent inhibition by ketamine (Fig. 2B), one of several organic blockers that can be trapped within the closed NMDA receptor channel.
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(Traynelis et al., 2010). Block by 30 μM ketamine was essentially complete at −80 mV (99.8 ± 2.3%, n = 90) but substantially weaker at +40 mV (65.5 ± 4.9%, n = 11). In addition, the potency of ketamine block was similar for wild-type NMDA receptors and constructs in which only the TMD and linkers came from NMDA receptors (Fig. 2C,D). Thus, the results in Figures 1 and 2 indicate that the TMD and linker regions from the GluN1 and GluN2 subunits are together sufficient to specify formation of a functional NMDA receptor pore. These results complement our earlier work (Wilding et al., 2014), which showed that chimeric subunits with the TMD and linkers from GluK2 transplanted into either GluN1 or GluN2B fail to function as homotramers but form functional heteromeric channels with kainate receptor pore properties upon coexpression. Together, these experiments support the idea that determinants for NMDA receptor subunit heteromerization are distributed across multiple iGluR domains (Ayalon and Stern-Bach, 2001).

Figure 2. Calcium permeability and ketamine block of chimeric channels. A, Whole-cell current evoked by 10 μM NMDA plus 10 μM glycine as a function of voltage as membrane potential was ramped from −110 to +60 mV at 0.75 mV ms⁻¹ in 160 mM NaCl, 10 mM HEPES with 2 mM CaCl₂ (black), or with 10 mM CaCl₂ (green), or with 2 mM CaCl₂ and 1 mM MgCl₂ (red). Note the rightward shift in reversal potential in elevated calcium. GluN1/2A TMD. B, Exposure to 30 μM ketamine (black bars) blocks current evoked by 10 μM kainate (open bars) at −80 mV, and to a lesser extent at +40 mV. GluN1/2A TMD. C, Whole-cell current evoked by 10 μM kainate is blocked progressively by 1, 3, 10, and 30 μM ketamine. GluN1/2A TMD. D, Current in the presence of ketamine as a fraction of control (mean ± SEM) is plotted as a function of ketamine concentration. Smooth curves are best fits of 1/(1 + ([ketamine]/IC₅₀)ⁿ) where IC₅₀ is the concentration producing half-maximal inhibition and n is the slope factor. Values for chimeric constructs (N1/2A: IC₅₀ = 2.6 ± 0.2 μM, n = 1.9 ± 0.3, 7 cells; N1/2B: IC₅₀ = 1.7 ± 0.1 μM, n = 2.0 ± 0.3, 9 cells) were not significantly different from those of the respective wild-type subunits (N1/2A: IC₅₀ = 2.0 ± 0.1 μM, n = 1.4 ± 0.2, 13 cells; N1/2B: IC₅₀ = 1.6 ± 0.2 μM, n = 1.7 ± 0.2, 10 cells) by t test.

Domain requirements for positive allosteric modulation

NMDA receptor activity can be potentiated by exposure to a number of different membrane-derived modulatory compounds including AA and DHA (Miller et al., 1992; Nishikawa et al., 1994), 24(S)-HC (Paul et al., 2013), and PS (Wu et al., 1991). To evaluate the structural basis for this modulation, we recorded from HEK cells transfected with chimeric subunits and compared the amplitude of agonist-evoked currents before and immediately after exposure to 24(S)-HC (Fig. 3), PS (Fig. 4), or DHA (Fig. 5). As shown in Figure 3, agonist-evoked whole-cell currents increased following exposure to 24(S)-HC in heteromeric chimeric combinations that included the NMDA receptor TMD, whereas treatment with 24(S)-HC had no effect on unedited homomeric wild-type GluK2 receptors or on heteromeric chimeric receptors with the extracellular ATD and LBD from NMDA receptors fused to the TMD and CTD from GluK2 (Wilding et al., 2014). Together, these results strongly suggest that the NMDA receptor TMD alone is necessary and sufficient to determine channel modulation by 24(S)-HC.

In contrast to 24(S)-HC, which potentiated constructs with an NMDA receptor TMD (Fig. 3), PS and DHA affected distinct subsets of the chimeric receptors depending upon the presence of additional NMDA receptor domains. Consistent with previous evidence that potentiation by PS involves interaction with the NMDA receptor LBD (Jang et al., 2004; Horak et al., 2006), we observed substantial potentiation by PS of chimeric receptors that included both the TMD and LBD from NMDA receptor subunits (Fig. 4), but significantly less effect on chimeric constructs that lacked the NMDA receptor LBD. In addition, PS did not potentiate currents mediated by edited or unedited GluK2 receptors. Importantly, exposure to PS did not cause significant potentiation of currents mediated by the chimeric construct with NMDA receptor ATD and LBD fused to the TMD and CTD from GluK2. Collectively, these results confirm earlier evidence that interaction with the LBD is required for allosteric potentiation of NMDA receptor channels by PS. Additional portions of the linkers and M4 helix may also be essential (Korinek et al., 2011; Kostakis et al., 2011) as chimeric receptors that included the kainate receptor TMD and linkers were not potentiated by PS even when activated by NMDA and glycine binding to the NMDA receptor LBD.

Unlike PS and 24(S)-HC, exposure to AA and DHA modulates activity of both NMDA and kainate receptors, potentiating NMDA-evoked currents in neurons (Miller et al., 1992) and inhibiting currents mediated by neuronal kainate receptors (Wilding et al., 1998). In addition, work on recombinant wild-type and mutant or chimeric subunits indicates that the kainate receptor...
TMD is sufficient to determine the effect of DHA exposure on pore operation (Wilding et al., 2014). Strong inhibition of GluK2 by DHA requires the presence of arginine at the glutamine/arginine (Q/R) editing site of all four subunits in the tetramer, both for wild-type full-length homomeric GluK2 (Wilding et al., 2005) and for chimeric receptors with the GluK2 TMD (Wilding et al., 2014). Moreover, an M3 helix Leu to Ala substitution at the level of the central cavity converts inhibition of edited (R) channels to potentiation, both for full-length GluK2(R) (Lopez et al., 2013) and for chimeric channels that include the TMD and linker segments from GluK2 combined with the ATD and LBD from NMDA receptor subunits (Wilding et al., 2014). In sharp contrast to the evidence that DHA modulation targets the kainate receptor TMD, potentiation of NMDA receptor channels by DHA was only observed for chimeric constructs that included both the TMD and CTD from NMDA receptors. As shown in Figure 5, exposure to DHA had no significant effect on constructs in which the CTDs of both the GluN1 and GluN2 subunits were replaced with the GluK2 CTD but potentiated currents mediated by constructs that included both the TMD and CTD from NMDA receptors.

Requirement for membrane proximal portions of the GluN2 CTD
To rule out the possibility that elements within the GluK2 CTD might be incompatible with NMDA receptor channel potentiation by DHA, we generated deletion constructs with stop codons immediately after M4 corresponding to position K841 in GluN1 and R846 or R847 in GluN2A or GluN2B, respectively. As shown in Figure 6, currents evoked by NMDA and glycine in cells cotransfected with both deletion constructs, or either deletion construct together with a full-length partner, were unaffected by exposure to DHA, suggesting a requirement for both NMDA receptor CTDs. However, we also tested combinations in which only the GluN1 CTD or only the GluN2 CTD was replaced with the kainate receptor CTD from GluK2 (Fig. 6B, C). DHA potentiated receptors that included full-length GluN2A or GluN2B together with chimeric GluN1 bearing the CTD from GluK2, albeit to a lesser extent than completely wild-type receptors. In contrast, DHA had no effect on receptors comprising full-length GluN1 paired with chimeric GluN2A or GluN2B subunits bearing the GluK2 CTD.
Cysteine replacement in the proximal CTD reduces potentiation by DHA

Previous biochemical studies have identified a number of post-translational modification sites within the minimal essential region of the GluN2 CTD identified in Figure 7, including a cluster of cysteine residues that can undergo palmitoylation (Hayashi et al., 2009; Mattison et al., 2012) and a conserved tyrosine that may be phosphorylated by Src kinase (Vissel et al., 2001). As an initial test for whether any of these potential modification sites are required for allosteric modulation by DHA, we generated mutant versions of GluN2A and GluN2B with Y-to-F substitution at the conserved tyrosine and C-to-A substitution at all three of the proximal cysteines. An additional cysteine (C838) located near the cytoplasmic end of M4 in GluN2B was substituted with Trp, the residue at the homologous position in GluN2A. As shown in Figure 8, full-length receptors bearing all of these substitutions were unaffected by exposure to DHA. Additional mutant full-length GluN2A constructs revealed that potentiation by DHA was reduced relative to wild-type for all of the single, double, or triple substitutions in the proximal cysteine cluster, but was least affected for the Y842F substitution, which exhibited significant increases in agonist-gated current after DHA exposure (Fig. 8A, B). Together, these results strengthen the evidence for involvement of the proximal CTD in allosteric modulation by DHA and raise the possibility that cysteine modification could be a contributory factor.

Although there is no x-ray crystal structure available for any of the iGluR CTDs, algorithms that predict secondary structure suggest that there may be several α-helical segments within the proximal CTD of each iGluR subunit (Ryan et al., 2008). The minimal GluN2 cytoplasmic domain essential for DHA potentiation includes a predicted helical region between C2 and C3 that encompasses a conserved SRGIYSC sequence (Fig. 7A) that is present in all four GluN2 subunits. Although the helical properties of this proximal CTD segment require structural confirmation, together our results suggest a hypothetical model for the GluN2 proximal CTD shown in Figure 9.

Discussion

Our results support several conclusions about iGluR structure, operation, and regulation by positive allosteric modulators. First, we show that GluN1 and GluN2 subunit TMDs and linkers include sufficient constraints for the assembly of functional hetero-
Functional NMDA/kainate receptor subunit chimeras

Although much remains to be learned about specification of specific subunit combinations, there is growing evidence that several distinct domain interactions contribute to production of heteromeric iGluRs (Traynelis et al., 2010; Herguedas et al., 2013). For NMDA receptors, assembly as obligate heterotrimers is thought to involve initial association controlled by the extracellular ATDs (Hansen et al., 2010). Indeed, our earlier work showed that chimeric subunits with the extracellular domains from NMDA receptors and a transmembrane and cytoplasmic portion from GluK2 kainate receptors fail to function as homotetramers but only form functional channels when coexpressed as N1/K2+N2B/K2 heteromers (Wilding et al., 2014). Thus, NMDA receptor extracellular domains are sufficient to impose a requirement for heteromerization onto the kainate receptor pore (Wilding et al., 2014), despite the fact that native full-length GluK2 forms functional homotetramers (Egebjerg et al., 1991).

In this study we found that interactions promoting heteromerization are also present within the NMDA receptor TMD and linkers (Ayalon and Stern-Bach, 2001). Previous work (Villmann et al., 1999; Liu and Nowak, 2000) showed that chimeric subunits in which only the M1–M3 pore domain from GluN1 was transplanted into GluK2 formed functional homomeric channels that were activated by kainate, suggesting the homomeric extracellular domains from GluK2 enabled assembly of a chimeric pore. However, similar constructs using M1–M3 from GluN2B failed to function as homomeric channels or when coexpressed with GluN1 pore-domain chimeras (Villmann et al., 2008). Moreover, chimeric GluN1 M1–M3 homomers lacked characteristic NMDA receptor features (Villmann et al., 1999), including voltage-dependent magnesium and MK-801 block, properties preserved in our constructs that swap the entire TMD including linkers to the LBD and the M4 helix. Previous studies have highlighted the importance of the M4 helix for iGluR assembly and function (Schorge and Colquhoun, 2003; Terhag et al., 2010; Salussolia et al., 2013). In addition, recent NMDA receptor crystal structures (Karakas and Furukawa, 2014; Lee et al., 2014) confirm extensive contact between GluN1 and GluN2 involving the M4 helix of each subunit with M1 and M3 helices of the adjacent heteromeric subunit. Our results suggest that this M4-mediated contact is sufficient to allow for heteromeric association of the M1–M3 pore domain into functional channels sensitive to magnesium and ketamine as expected for native NMDA receptors.
Domain requirements for positive allosteric modulation

In addition to competitive antagonists and channel blockers, a diverse assortment of allosteric regulators have been shown to modify the operation of NMDA receptors, including endogenous molecules, such as polyamines, znic, fatty acids, lysophospholipids, and cholesterol metabolites, as well as a growing repertoire of synthetic compounds (Collingridge et al., 2013; Zhu and Paoletti, 2015). Binding sites and mechanisms of modulation have been proposed for a number of these allosteric modulators (Zhu and Paoletti, 2015), but in many cases the basis for regulation remains incompletely understood. Our results using chimeric subunits to evaluate three positive allosteric modulators derived from components of the lipid bilayer revealed three distinct domain requirements: PS (Jiang et al., 2004; Horak et al., 2006) required the NMDA receptor LBD and TMD, whereas 24(S)-HC potentiated chimeras that only included the TMD and linkers from NMDA receptors combined with the ATD, LBD, and CTD from GluK2. Recent work suggests that 24(S)-HC (Paul et al., 2013) acts by a different mechanism than either PS or cis-unsaturated fatty acids as potentiation by 24(S)-HC does not occlude modulation by PS or AA. In addition, the 25-hydroxy derivative of cholesterol noncompetitively reduces potentiation by 24(S)-HC but does not prevent potentiation by PS or AA (Linsenbardt et al., 2014). Thus, our results suggest distinct structural requirements for allosteric modulation are broadly consistent with recent analysis of oxysterol pharmacology.

The finding that potentiation by fatty acid exposure requires a proximal segment of the GluN2 CTD was not expected. Our recent work on chimeric receptors with kainate receptor pores revealed that DHA produced similar modulation of the GluK2 CTD and linkers regardless whether the CTD came from GluK2 or from GluN1/2B (Wilding et al., 2014). In addition, earlier work by Casado and Ascher (1998) had suggested that NMDA receptor modulation by AA and lysophospholipids, as well as mechanosensitivity (Paoletti and Ascher, 1994), did not involve the CTD. They showed that channel modulation by mechanical deformation of the membrane was preserved in truncated mutants lacking either the GluN1 CTD or the distal CTD of GluN2A (terminated at residue 1082 or 1438). Channel inhibition by lysophosphatidyl inositol persisted in receptors with proximal GluN2A CTD truncation at position 844; however, the effect on mechanosensitivity or potentiation by AA was not reported (Casado and Ascher, 1998). In addition, modulation by lysophospholipids was only observed with extracellular application. Several groups have reported consistent potentiation of NMDA receptors by AA or DHA applied to outside-out patches (Miller et
philitic molecules often appear inactive when delivered by intracellular dialysis from the electrode (Jo and Bean, 2014). Our results in the present study do confirm earlier evidence that anchorage to cytoplasmic scaffolding proteins by the GluN2 distal C terminus is not essential for modulation (Casado and Ascher, 1998), but they also reveal an absolute requirement for the GluN2 proximal CTD in potentiation by DHA. Further work will be needed to determine the exact relationship between mechanosensitivity and allosteric regulation by fatty acids, lysophospholipids, oxysterols, or other lipophilic modulators.

Previous work identified several post-translational modifications within the proximal CTD of GluN2A and GluN2B, including tyrosine phosphorylation near the cytoplasmic end of M4 (Vissel et al., 2001) and palmitoylation of three conserved cysteine residues within the first 30–40 CTD amino acids (Hayashi et al., 2009). All of these modifications have been implicated in the regulation of receptor trafficking: the tyrosine residue is part of consensus binding motif for the clathrin adaptor protein AP-2 present in all four GluN2 subunits (Scott et al., 2004). Phosphorylation of this Tyr stabilizes receptors at the surface but is suppressed by serine substitution at the three proximal Cys residues of either GluN2A or GluN2B. Thus, modification of proximal cysteines by palmitate thioesterification has been suggested to reduce NMDA receptor internalization by enhancing phosphotyrosine levels (Hayashi et al., 2009). Because robust potentiation by AA (Casado and Ascher, 1998) or DHA (Nishikawa et al., 1994) persists in outside-out patches, it is likely to involve a direct allosteric effect rather than a change in receptor trafficking to and from the surface membrane (Linsenbardt et al., 2014). Indeed, our results in the present study indicate that allosteric potentiation by cis-unsaturated fatty acids depends on the three proximal cysteine residues but does not require the conserved tyrosine that directly regulates internalization.

In summary, our study demonstrates formation of functional heteromeric NMDA receptor pores by chimeric subunits constructed by replacing intracellular and extracellular portions of GluN1, GluN2A, and GluN2B with homologous segments from kainate receptor subunit GluK2. In addition, we demonstrate that distinct NMDA receptor domains underlie positive allosteric modulation by PS, 24(S)-hydroxycholesterol, and DHA. Although further work will be needed to understand the detailed mechanisms that underlie channel regulation, one possible inter-
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**Figure 8.** Proximal GluN2 CTD substitutions prevent DHA potentiation. A. Plot of current (mean ± SEM) evoked immediately after exposure to DHA as a fraction of control current before DHA treatment for NMDA receptors with wild-type GluN1 and the indicated substitutions to full-length GluN2. Residues highlighted in yellow are wild-type. *, Significant difference from wild-type after exposure to DHA as a fraction of control current before DHA treatment for NMDA receptors with wild-type GluN1 and the indicated substitutions to full-length GluN2. Residues highlighted in yellow are wild-type. # denotes that for the N1 wt/N2A Y842F combination I_{DHA}/I_{control} was significantly >1 but also significantly less than for wild-type receptors. B. Traces show the quadruple FAAA mutant lacked potentiation by DHA (top trace). Potentiation was preserved for the Y842F substitution, in some cases reaching the level observed for wild-type receptors (bottom trace).
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**Figure 9.** NMDA receptor model with GluN2A proximal CTD. Homology model for GluN1/GluN2A generated by Modeler (Eswar et al., 2008) using PDB files 4PE5 (Karakas and Furukawa, 2014) and 4TLM (Lee et al., 2014) as templates and with twofold symmetry constraint for the A/C and B/D subunits. Prediction for the proximal CTD generated by PEP-FOLD (Thévenet et al., 2012) with subsequent loop-refinement in Modeler. Palmitoylation at C1, 2, and 3 is expected to tether the proximal CTD to the membrane.
preparation is that our results implicate regions where each of the modulators directly interacts with the receptor. Alternately, these domains may be required for sensing specific changes in membrane mechanical properties or contacting other structural elements necessary for indirect modulation. Our results with DHA identify an unexpected requirement for three conserved cysteine residues in the proximal cytoplasmic tail, suggesting that palmitoylation of these cysteines may be important for fatty acid modulation. Establishing where and how endogenous allosteric modulators act should facilitate ongoing efforts (Collingridge et al., 2013; Zhu and Paoletti, 2015) to identify more potent and selective drugs capable of upregulating or downregulating specific iGluR subpopulations (Paoletti et al., 2013).
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