

Washington University School of Medicine

Digital Commons@Becker

Open Access Publications

2018

Tracheal intubation awake or under anesthesia for potential difficult airway: Look before you leap

Fu-Shan Xue

Capital Medical University

Qian-Jin Liu

Washington University School of Medicine in St. Louis

Follow this and additional works at: https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs

Please let us know how this document benefits you.

Recommended Citation

Xue, Fu-Shan and Liu, Qian-Jin, "Tracheal intubation awake or under anesthesia for potential difficult airway: Look before you leap." *Chinese Medical Journal*. 131, 6. (2018).

https://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/open_access_pubs/6692

This Open Access Publication is brought to you for free and open access by Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Open Access Publications by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact vanam@wustl.edu.

Comments

Tracheal Intubation Awake or Under Anesthesia for Potential Difficult Airway: Look Before You Leap

Fu-Shan Xue¹, Qian-Jin Liu²

¹Department of Anesthesiology, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital Medical University, Beijing 100050, China

²Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri, USA

Securing a patent airway remains a pivotal point in clinical anesthesia, while failure to establish airway in anesthetized patients can cause severe outcomes in a few minutes.^[1] According to the closed claims analysis conducted by the American Society of Anesthesiologists, a leading cause of anesthesia-related patient injury is the inability to intubate the trachea and secure the airway. As consequences, 85% of those are either death or brain damage.^[2] In a review of litigation related to anesthesia in the National Health Service hospitals in the UK from 1995 to 2007, airway- and respiratory-related events account for 12% of all anesthesia claims, 53% of deaths, and 27% of reparation, and are involved in ten out of the fifty most expensive claims.^[3] In addition, about half of the incidents reported to the fourth National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society describe that airway complications are related to primary problems with tracheal intubation, including failed intubation, delayed intubation, and “cannot intubate cannot oxygenate” situation.^[4] These facts have greatly facilitated the progress of clinical airway management and resulted in the development of new related strategies and techniques.^[5] Furthermore, in numerous nations, practice guidelines have been developed to assist anesthesiologists for safe airway management in perioperative period.^[6-12] Due to those tremendous efforts, the incidence of serious complications related to airway management has been significantly decreased.^[1]

As airway management specialists in a hospital, anesthesiologists successfully manage airway relying on a wide range of knowledge, including the ability to predict difficult airway, to formulate plans for airway management, and to possess the skills for using all kinds of airway devices.^[13] The expert panel that reviewed 184 cases of major airway complications in the Fourth National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society concludes that poor judgment (59%) and education/training (49%) are the second

and third most frequent causal and contributory factors (next to patient factors; 77%), respectively. Furthermore, deficiencies in airway assessment, underutilization of awake tracheal intubation, inappropriate use of supraglottic airway devices, and evidence of poor airway management planning were also noted.^[4] These findings indicate that current strategies for airway management are not ideal and further improvements are required.

Awake tracheal intubation is often considered as one of the safest performants for patients with known or predicted difficult airways,^[14] but the technique itself is a tremendous stimulating and uncomfortable procedure. Some patients even refuse awake tracheal intubation and thereafter abandon surgical treatment because of anxiety and trepidation, especially for those who had this experience in the past. In addition, awake tracheal intubation is impossible to be carried out successfully in some cases, such as younger children and psychopathic patients with difficult airways due to their lack of cooperation.^[15] For these cases, tracheal intubation under general anesthesia becomes necessary. When the tracheal intubation is performed under general anesthesia in patients with known or predicted difficult airways, the next thing that the anesthesiologists eager to know is whether or not the facemask ventilation is difficult. If facemask ventilation is not difficult, the airway is manageable by using the facemask ventilation even if the larynx proves difficult to be visualized or tracheal intubation is a failure.^[16] When the tracheal intubation under general anesthesia is planned

Address for correspondence: Prof. Fu-Shan Xue,
Department of Anesthesiology, Beijing Friendship Hospital, Capital
Medical University, NO.95 Yong-An Road, Xi-Cheng District,
Beijing 100050, China
E-Mail: xuefushan@aliyun.com

This is an open access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 License, which allows others to remix, tweak, and build upon the work non-commercially, as long as the author is credited and the new creations are licensed under the identical terms.

For reprints contact: reprints@medknow.com

© 2018 Chinese Medical Journal | Produced by Wolters Kluwer - Medknow

Received: 25-01-2018 **Edited by:** Ning-Ning Wang
How to cite this article: Xue FS, Liu QJ. Tracheal Intubation Awake or Under Anesthesia for Potential Difficult Airway: Look Before You Leap. Chin Med J 2018;131:753-6.

Access this article online

Quick Response Code:



Website:
www.cmj.org

DOI:
10.4103/0366-6999.226891

on patients with known or predicted difficult airways, it is imperative to know whether adequate facemask ventilation can be obtained after anesthetic induction.

As far, many preoperative approaches and tests in predicting difficult facemask ventilation after anesthetic induction have been proposed.^[17] Ideally, a screening test for difficult facemask ventilation should allow diagnosis of all problem cases (sensitivity = 100%), without false positives in patients who are easy to ventilate (specificity = 100%). As difficult facemask ventilation is a low incidence and high-risk event by many reasons, such a guaranteed test does not exist, and it seems unlikely that one will be developed in the future. The currently available evidence indicates that most of the preoperative airway assessment tests only have a low predictive performance for difficult facemask ventilation after anesthetic induction.^[1] Thus, the new tests and evidences for prediction of difficult facemask ventilation are still needed.

In this issue of CMJ, Wang *et al.*^[18] reported their findings from a multicentric prospective randomized study which had tested a new approach, fast difficult airway evaluation (FDAE), for difficult facemask ventilation after anesthetic induction. The authors randomly assigned 302 patients with potential difficult facemask ventilation and difficult intubation undergoing elective surgeries into the FDAE and control groups. In the FDAE group, patients were gradually induced with sevoflurane, and adequacy of facemask ventilation during spontaneous breathing was assessed at various anesthetic levels. If facemask ventilation was adequate, tracheal intubation was performed using direct or videolaryngoscopy under anesthesia. Otherwise, sevoflurane anesthesia will be stopped and awake tracheal intubation would be performed as the standard care. In the control group, difficulty in tracheal intubation was evaluated under local anesthesia. Their results showed that the FDAE significantly reduced the need for awake tracheal intubation and improved the efficiency of tracheal intubation and satisfaction levels of patients without comprising patient safety. The study by Wang *et al.*^[18] has demonstrated the growing wealth of information regarding the applicability of airway management under general anesthesia in patients with known or predicted difficult airways.

Many things were well done in this study. Especially, a prospective, randomized, controlled design was applied and a large sample of patients with potential difficult airways was included. Furthermore, airway obstruction, a mostly important concern by anesthesiologists when managing difficult airways under general anesthesia, was used as the primary goal of airway assessment.^[1] In addition, the FDAE approach designed by Wang *et al.*^[18] has some logical features. First, during the FDAE process, anesthetic depth is gradually increased with sevoflurane inhalation while maintaining spontaneous breathing. Sevoflurane concentration is kept at 3% until loss of consciousness. Second, the first decision-marking point of the FDAE approach depends on whether or not facemask ventilation is adequate. If severe airway obstruction occurs during

sevoflurane inhalation and cannot be relieved by routine airway maneuvers, sevoflurane is turned off and the patient is woken up for awake tracheal intubation. Third, for patients with adequate facemask ventilation under sevoflurane anesthesia, direct laryngoscopy attempt is allowed and then second decision-marking point of the FDAE approach depends on whether or not laryngoscopic view is adequate. If the laryngoscopic view is good, and the chances of achieving successful tracheal intubation are high, intravenous muscle relaxants are allowed before tracheal intubation. If the laryngoscopic view is bad, video-assisted intubation is performed. These features of the FDAE approach provide the high yield of securing the airway.

It must be emphasized that the final goal of airway management is oxygenation, rather than successful tracheal intubation. Failure of tracheal intubation does not directly lead to adverse outcomes, such as death or brain injury as a result of oxygenation failure, if facemask ventilation is adequate.^[19] Importantly to point out that nearly one-third of difficult facemask ventilation is actually accompanied by difficult or impossible tracheal intubation. Difficult facemask ventilation is, therefore, a more critical situation to be avoided and resolved in anesthetized patients than tracheal intubation failure.^[20] Most of the current guidelines for difficult airway management during anesthetic induction begin with unsuccessful intubation attempts.^[7-10] These guidelines aim to solve the intubation problems and to prevent adverse outcomes. This FDAE approach significantly differs from those of practice guidelines. The investigators mainly focus on the assessment of difficult facemask ventilation after anesthetic induction and immediately use the real-time assessment results to make decision for subsequent airway management. Although usefulness of the FDAE approach was validated by successful intubation in most anesthetized patients (94.2%, 149/155) without adverse outcomes, they were unable to completely prevent the development of hypoxemia throughout airway management. In this study, one patient developed laryngospasm during the FDAE process and his SpO₂ briefly dropped to 50%. That is, as we mentioned above, the development of an airway strategy for always maintaining oxygenation throughout each step of airway security in all anesthetized patients has not yet been succeeded. Furthermore, more large-sample clinical studies are needed to validate clinical safety of the FDAE approach before adoption into routine practice.

In our view, there are several issues in their study design and findings that deserve attention. Since the main aim of designing the FDAE approach was to assess the ability to obtain adequate facemask ventilation after anesthetic induction in patients with potential difficult airways, supraglottic airway devices were not used as the tools to manage difficult facemask ventilation. In fact, the supraglottic airway devices play an important role in the management of patients with difficult airways, as the devices enable ventilation in patients with difficult facemask ventilation and simultaneous use as a conduit for tracheal intubation.^[21,22]

Moreover, use of supraglottic airway devices during difficult airway management has been widely recommended in many practice guidelines.^[6-12] In addition, this study showed that the FDAE approach significantly decreased the need for awake tracheal intubation in patients with predicted difficult airways. We would like to remind the readers that this result does not mean that awake tracheal intubation is no longer a necessary technique for difficult airway management. In fact, this study has excluded the patients who truly require awake tracheal intubation for airway management, such as patients with severe airway obstruction, severe respiratory diseases, and a high risk of aspiration. Despite these exclusion criteria, 5.8% of patients (9/155) in the FDAE group still developed obvious airway obstruction after anesthetic induction and received awake tracheal intubation. As the safest option for managing difficult airways, we believe that awake tracheal intubation will still be needed in patients with uniquely altered anatomy.^[23] Especially, awake fiberoptic tracheal intubation, a “gold standard” technique in managing difficult airways, can be performed with a high degree of success and a very low complication rate in very large number of patients over a long period of time.^[24] We would also like to mention that other techniques, though they might not be used often but could be life saving, should be taken into consideration for a comprehensive airway management strategy. Those include surgical airway^[8,9] and, for rare cases, extracorporeal membrane oxygenation implantation.^[25]

In conclusion, the factors for continuous improvement in anesthesiologists’ successfully managing difficult airway situations are manifold, including comprehension of the problems; use of assessment tests with good predictive ability; evolution of universally applicable management strategies and acquisition of practical skills; and development of new airway devices with better performance characteristics.^[1,26] Patient safety during airway management should not rely on a single specific technique/strategy. Anesthesiologists should be encouraged to explore techniques or methods for safe management of difficult airway. To our knowledge, this study is the first randomized controlled trial evaluating performance of a predesigned approach for decision-marking of subsequent airway management strategies based on the development of difficult facemask ventilation after anesthetic induction. The findings of this study challenge the classic recommendation that patients with difficult airways must be managed by an awake tracheal intubation technique. Due to a decreased use of awake intubation, this approach might at least be very valuable for the management of potential difficult airways while balances patients’ comfortableness and anesthesiologists’ efficiency. This has important significance to improve the quality of clinical anesthesia and satisfy patients’ needs and expectations.

Financial support and sponsorship

Nil.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest.

REFERENCES

1. Cook TM. Strategies for the prevention of airway complications – A narrative review. *Anaesthesia* 2018;73:93-111. doi: 10.1111/anae.14123.
2. Caplan RA, Posner KL, Ward RJ, Cheney FW. Adverse respiratory events in anesthesia: A closed claims analysis. *Anesthesiology* 1990;72:828-33.
3. Cook TM, Scott S, Mihai R. Litigation related to airway and respiratory complications of anaesthesia: An analysis of claims against the NHS in England 1995-2007. *Anaesthesia* 2010;65:556-63. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2044.2010.06331.x.
4. Cook TM, Woodall N, Frerk C; Fourth National Audit Project. Major complications of airway management in the UK: Results of the Fourth National Audit Project of the Royal College of Anaesthetists and the Difficult Airway Society. Part 1: Anaesthesia. *Br J Anaesth* 2011;106:617-31. doi: 10.1093/bja/aer058.
5. Xue FS, Yang BQ, Liu YY, Li HX, Yang GZ. Current evidences for the use of UEscope in airway management. *Chin Med J* 2017;130:1867-75. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.211536.
6. Law JA, Broemling N, Cooper RM, Drolet P, Duggan LV, Griesdale DE, *et al.* The difficult airway with recommendations for management – Part 1 – Difficult tracheal intubation encountered in an unconscious/induced patient. *Can J Anaesth* 2013;60:1089-118. doi: 10.1007/s12630-013-0019-3.
7. Law JA, Broemling N, Cooper RM, Drolet P, Duggan LV, Griesdale DE, *et al.* The difficult airway with recommendations for management – Part 2 – The anticipated difficult airway. *Can J Anaesth* 2013;60:1119-38. doi: 10.1007/s12630-013-0020-x.
8. Apfelbaum JL, Hagberg CA, Caplan RA, Blitt CD, Connis RT, Nickinovich DG, *et al.* Practice guidelines for management of the difficult airway: An updated report by the American Society of Anesthesiologists Task Force on Management of the Difficult Airway. *Anesthesiology* 2013;118:251-70. doi: 10.1097/ALN.0b013e31827773b2.
9. Frerk C, Mitchell VS, McNarry AF, Mendonca C, Bhagath R, Patel A, *et al.* Difficult Airway Society 2015 guidelines for management of unanticipated difficult intubation in adults. *Br J Anaesth* 2015;115:827-48. doi: 10.1093/bja/aev371.
10. Japanese Society of Anesthesiologists. JSA airway management guideline 2014: To improve the safety of induction of anesthesia. *J Anesth* 2014;28:482-93. doi: 10.1007/s00540-014-1844-4.
11. Mushambi MC, Kinsella SM, Popat M, Swales H, Ramaswamy KK, Winton AL, *et al.* Obstetric Anaesthetists’ Association and Difficult Airway Society guidelines for the management of difficult and failed tracheal intubation in obstetrics. *Anaesthesia* 2015;70:1286-306. doi: 10.1111/anae.13260.
12. Difficult Airway Society Extubation Guidelines Group, Popat M, Mitchell V, Dravid R, Patel A, Swampillai C, *et al.* Difficult Airway Society guidelines for the management of tracheal extubation. *Anaesthesia* 2012;67:318-40. doi: 10.1111/j.1365-2044.2012.07075.x.
13. Grande B, Kolbe M, Biro P. Difficult airway management and training: Simulation, communication, and feedback. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2017;30:743-7. doi: 10.1097/ACO.0000000000000523.
14. Xu Z, Ma W, Hester DL, Jiang Y. Anticipated and unanticipated difficult airway management. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2018;31:96-103. doi: 10.1097/ACO.0000000000000540.
15. Xue FS, Liao X, Li CW, Xu YC, Yang QY, Liu Y, *et al.* Clinical experience of airway management and tracheal intubation under general anesthesia in patients with scar contracture of the neck. *Chin Med J* 2008;121:989-97.
16. Artine CA, Hagberg CA. Is there a gold standard for management of the difficult airway? *Anesthesiol Clin* 2015;33:233-40. doi: 10.1016/j.anclin.2015.02.011.
17. Baker P. Assessment before airway management. *Anesthesiol Clin* 2015;33:257-78.
18. Wang JM, Ma EL, Wu QP, Tian M, Sun YY, Lin J, *et al.* Effectiveness and Safety of a Novel Approach for Management of Patients with Potential Difficult Mask Ventilation and Tracheal Intubation: A multi-center randomized trial. *Chin Med J* 2018;131:631-7. doi: 10.4103/0366-6999.226897.
19. Myatra SN, Kalkundre RS, Divatia JV. Optimizing education in difficult airway management: Meeting the challenge. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2017;30:748-54. doi: 10.1097/ACO.0000000000000515.
20. Langeron O, Masso E, Huraux C, Guggiari M, Bianchi A, Coriat P,

- et al.* Prediction of difficult mask ventilation. *Anesthesiology* 2000;92:1229-36.
21. Huang AS, Hajduk J, Jagannathan N. Advances in supraglottic airway devices for the management of difficult airways in children. *Expert Rev Med Devices* 2016;13:157-69. doi: 10.1586/17434440.2016.1136210.
 22. Rosenberg MB, Phero JC, Becker DE. Essentials of airway management, oxygenation, and ventilation: Part 2: Advanced airway devices: Supraglottic airways. *Anesth Prog* 2014;61:113-8. doi: 10.2344/0003-3006-61.3.113.
 23. Law JA, Morris IR, Brousseau PA, de la Ronde S, Milne AD. The incidence, success rate, and complications of awake tracheal intubation in 1,554 patients over 12 years: An historical cohort study. *Can J Anaesth* 2015;62:736-44. doi: 10.1007/s12630-015-0387-y.
 24. Karlik J, Aziz M. Recent trends in airway management. *F1000Res* 2017;6:159. doi: 10.12688/f1000research.10311.1.
 25. Liou JY, Chow LH, Chan KH, Tsou MY. Successful anesthetic management of a patient with thyroid carcinoma invading the trachea with tracheal obstruction, scheduled for total thyroidectomy. *J Chin Med Assoc* 2014;77:496-9. doi: 10.1016/j.jcma.2014.06.006.
 26. Mushambi MC, Jaladi S. Airway management and training in obstetric anaesthesia. *Curr Opin Anaesthesiol* 2016;29:261-7. doi: 10.1097/ACO.0000000000000309.