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RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) are expressed broadly during both development and malignant transformation, yet their mechanistic roles in epithelial homeostasis or as drivers of tumor initiation and progression are incompletely understood. Here we describe a novel interplay between RBPs LIN28B and IMP1 in intestinal epithelial cells. Ribosome profiling and RNA sequencing identified IMP1 as a principle node for gene expression regulation downstream from LIN28B. In vitro and in vivo data demonstrate that epithelial IMP1 loss increases expression of WNT target genes and enhances LIN28B-mediated intestinal tumorigenesis, which was reversed when we overexpressed IMP1 independently in vivo. Furthermore, IMP1 loss in wild-type or LIN28B-overexpressing mice enhances the regenerative response to irradiation. Together, our data provide new evidence for the opposing effects of the LIN28B–IMP1 axis on post-transcriptional regulation of canonical WNT signaling, with implications in intestinal homeostasis, regeneration and tumorigenesis.
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Post-transcriptional regulation of key growth and oncogenic pathways by RNA-binding proteins (RBPs) is an emerging hallmark of cancer (Chatterji and Rustgi 2018). Understanding the relative contribution and regulation of gene transcription and translation to functional and phenotypic outcomes is essential for generating effective therapeutics. Given the large number of putative binding targets and effector pathways downstream from RBPs, their evaluation in vivo is critical to understanding the prevailing effects of specific RBP:RNA target interactions in variable biological contexts. Intestinal epithelial cells exhibit remarkable plasticity in their ability to rapidly proliferate, differentiate, and undergo repair—processes that are aberrantly regulated during tumorigenesis. While the critical cellular pathways for epithelial injury repair and tumor development are well studied, the relative contribution of RBPs as key functional regulators of these pathways are just beginning to be understood. A primary role of RBPs is to bind to and regulate functionally interrelated target transcripts, forming functional units referred to as “regulons” (Keene 2007; Morris et al. 2010; Chatterji
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and Rustgi 2018). The integration of transcriptional and translational data has revealed that a significant number of genes are highly regulated at the translational level, underscoring the potential significant contribution of RBPs to numerous basic biological functions [Schafer et al. 2015]. Pathways critical to epithelial homeostasis, such as WNT signaling, are aberrantly activated in and can contribute to colorectal cancer (CRC) pathogenesis, resulting in challenges for direct therapeutic targeting.

As a master regulator of the let-7 family of microRNAs (miRs), LIN28B exerts its effects via suppression of let-7 processing and thus up-regulation of let-7 targets, including HMGA2 and IGF2BP1 [IMP1] (Boyerinas et al. 2008; Piskounova et al. 2011). In addition, LIN28B acts as a pluripotency factor and promotes tumorigenesis in multiple tissues [Viswanathan et al. 2009; Tu et al. 2015; Rahkonen et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2016]. We demonstrated previously that LIN28B overexpression promotes migration and invasion in vitro and that CRC cells overexpressing LIN28B exhibit smaller yet more metastatic tumors in subcutaneous xenograft mouse models. [King et al. 2011a,b]. In addition, we and others have established LIN28B is a bone fide oncogene in the intestinal epithelium [Madison et al. 2013; Tu et al. 2015]. Furthermore, tumorigenesis was accelerated in mice with specific deletion of let-7c2/let-7b as revealed by us, suggesting that the oncogenic effects of LIN28B are predominantly via its regulation of let-7. In support of this notion, deletion of a single allele of the let-7 target Hmga2 repressed Lin28b-driven tumorigenesis [Madison et al. 2015]. Other key let-7 targets up-regulated in VillinLin28b mice include Igf2bp1, Igf2bp2, E2f5, cyclinD1, and Acrv1c. Recognizing that LIN28B functions as an oncogene in CRC and blocks processing of pre/pri -let-7, we reasoned that let-7 mRNA targets may be effectors of LIN28B-mediated oncogenic transformation. Specifically, we hypothesized that IMP1 may be a critical effector of LIN28B’s actions.

IMP1 is a RBP with oncofetal functions that is expressed minimally in adult tissues under homeostatic conditions. We demonstrated previously in subcutaneous xenografts that IMP1 overexpression in SW480 CRC cells exhibit increased tumor volume and elevated levels of its mRNA-binding target, CD44 [Hamilton et al. 2013]. Conversely, loss of both Imp1 alleles in stromal cells led to enhanced tumor load in colitis-associated colon tumorigenesis [Hamilton et al. 2015], indicating a tumor-suppressive role for IMP1 in this nonepithelial compartment. Others have reported diverse findings in other cancers; for example, overexpression of the IMP1 mouse homolog CRD-BP in mammary epithelial cells resulted in mammary tumor formation, with a subset of mice exhibiting metastasis (Tessier et al. 2004). Conversely, studies in orthotopic breast fat pad xenografts demonstrated that IMP1 overexpression suppressed the growth of MDA231 cell-derived tumors and subsequent lung metastasis as well as an associated decrease in IMP1 mRNA-binding targets PTGS2, GDF15, and IGF2 [Wang et al. 2016]. Finally, ectopic Imp1 expression in the MMTV-PyMT breast cancer mouse model significantly reduced metastatic tumors [Nwokafor et al. 2016]. Based on these functional studies, we conclude that IMP1 may exhibit oncogenic or tumor-suppressive functions in a context-dependent manner that may vary from tissue to tissue and even within a tissue [epithelial vs. mesenchymal]. Furthermore, these studies demonstrate that IMP1 may enhance or suppress its mRNA-binding targets.

In the present study, we used the intestinal epithelium as a prototypical model for understanding the tissue-specific roles of two key RBPs—LIN28B and IMP1—in the context of fundamental homeostasis and tumorigenesis. We performed novel and unbiased transcriptome and translatome studies as a function of LIN28B expression and found that IMP1 is the top regulator of differentially expressed transcripts with LIN28B overexpression. We next evaluated the in vivo consequences of LIN28B expression with and without IMP1 expression, elucidating their functional relevance in intestinal homeostasis and tumorigenesis with the finding that WNT signaling is pivotal. Taken together, our studies reveal a LIN28B-IMP1 axis governing a post-transcriptional regulon capable of modulating intestinal epithelial cell growth and tumorigenesis via global control of the WNT pathway.

**Results**

**IMP1 loss is a significant regulator of translation in the context of LIN28B overexpression**

To understand how LIN28B may modulate translation of the transcriptome and how this is impacted by IMP1, we used ribosome profiling to obtain a genome-wide snapshot of translation in the context of LIN28B overexpression. First, we evaluated SW480 CRC cells overexpressing LIN28B [Supplemental Fig. 1A; King et al. 2011a,b]. We used translatome [Tebaldi et al. 2014] to identify putative regulatory factors upstream of differentially translated genes in LIN28B-overexpressing versus control cells. This method computes a Fisher test P-value indicating whether binding sites for each regulator are significantly enriched in differentially expressed genes lists. This analysis allows the user to identify possible regulatory factors responsible for the translational regulation of differentially expressed genes in the experiment under consideration. The list of genes regulated by each post-transcriptional element was obtained from the Atlas of UTR Regulatory Activity (AURA) database [Dassi et al. 2014]. Through this analysis, we identified IMP1 as a significant post-transcriptional regulator in LIN28B-overexpressing cells [Fig. 1A].

Next, we analyzed differential gene expression between LIN28B-overexpressing lines with and without CRISPR/Cas9-mediated IMP1 deletion [Supplemental Fig. 1A] and calculated fold changes between ribosome-bound RNAs (ribosome-protected fragments [RPFs]) and total mRNA. A total of 1284 genes was regulated by IMP1 exclusively at the translational level, whereas 264 genes were regulated only at the transcriptional level. Additionally, 458 genes were regulated via translational antagonism [where genes exhibited increased mRNA levels but lower translational efficiencies or vice versa] or translational
reinforcement (where translational changes amplified the changes at the mRNA level) (Fig. 1B; Supplemental Table 11). These data demonstrate that IMP1 is a major translational regulator of target genes. Translational regulation can occur through differential translation efficiencies (TEs) of transcripts, which were calculated as the ratio of RPF reads to total mRNA abundance. We observed several transcripts with significantly different TE values between cells overexpressing LIN28B with or without IMP1 deletion (Fig. 1C; Supplemental Table 9). Pathway enrichment analysis for TE genes differentially expressed in LIN28B cells with or without IMP1 deletion revealed a significant representation of pathways linked to cancer, including the WNT signaling pathway (Fig. 1D; Supplemental Tables 8, 10). Finally, gene set enrichment analysis (GSEA) of RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) data from the same cells suggest that IMP1 deletion up-regulates WNT signaling at the mRNA level as well [Supplemental Table 1], demonstrating IMP1 modulation of WNT at both transcript abundance/stability and TE levels in this context. We further validated this in the SW480 cells overexpressing LIN28B with and without IMP1 deletion [Supplemental Fig. 1C, D]. We saw a significant up-regulation of Wnt targets with IMP1 deletion via quantitative PCR (qPCR) and up-regulation of β-catenin protein levels via Western blot in these cells. Finally, to validate up-regulation of Wnt targets identified via RNA-seq, we used siRNA to knock down IMP1 in Caco2 cells [Supplementary Fig. 4A,B] that endogenously express LIN28B [Mizuno et al. 2018]. We observed significant up-regulation of multiple WNT targets with IMP1 knockdown, corroborating our findings in a second, independent cell line [Supplemental Fig. 4A,B].
In an attempt to elucidate whether direct binding may correlate with TEs, we compared binding intensities of IMP1 targets identified via previously published enhanced UV cross-linking and immunoprecipitation (eCLIP) in human pluripotent stem cells [hPSCs] (Conway et al. 2016) with differential TEs of these targets from the present study [in human CRC cells]. However, we found no correlation between binding intensities and TEs [Supplemental Fig. 1B]. In addition, a large number of targets identified in eCLIP studies did not change significantly in our TE data set and vice versa [Supplemental Table 2]. While we cannot exclude the possibility that differences between mRNA-binding efficiencies and TEs may be due in part to comparing hPSCs with CRC cells, these data nevertheless reinforce the concept that eCLIP-identified IMP1-bound targets may not necessarily correlate with gene expression changes [Conway et al. 2016].

**IMP1 loss enhances LIN28B-mediated tumorigenesis in vivo**

Gene expression and ribosome profiling data suggest that IMP1 loss causes dysregulation of several key signaling pathways that are involved in cellular proliferation and maintenance. To understand the phenotypic consequence of these changes, we used LIN28B-overexpressing CRC cells with and without IMP1 loss in subcutaneous xenograft experiments [Supplemental Fig. 2A]. Consistent with our prior published studies, LIN28B overexpression caused a significant decrease in tumor volume as compared with controls, irrespective of IMP1 status [Supplemental Fig. 2B; King et al. 2011a]. LIN28B-overexpressing tumors exhibited a more differentiated phenotype as compared with controls, which had poorly differentiated tumors. This differentiation phenotype was reversed with IMP1 loss in LIN28B-overexpressing cells [Supplemental Fig. 2C], suggesting that IMP1 loss may promote an increase in stemness markers and/or down-regulate differentiation pathways in CRC cells. We also observed an up-regulation of nuclear β-catenin staining with IMP1 loss and a significant increase in Wnt signature in these tumors [Supplemental Fig. 2C,D].

To confirm that LIN28B expression in transformed cells can promote differentiation, we evaluated LIN28B expression and differentiation status in human colon tumors in colon cancer tissue microarrays [King et al. 2011a]. We found that the majority of LIN28B overexpressing tumors was either moderately or well differentiated [Supplemental Fig. 2E]. The mechanistic explanation for this is not known; however, recent studies have demonstrated that, in some CRC cell lines, overexpression of pluripotency factors [OCT3/4, SOX2, and KLF4] can lead to tumors with increased differentiation [Oshima et al. 2014]. This is in line with our finding, since LIN28B is also a pluripotency factor [Rahkonen et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2016; Tsanov et al. 2017], although this does not mean that pluripotency and differentiation are necessarily linked in this context.

We next evaluated transgenic mice with intestinal epithelial overexpression of Lin28b [Villin-Lin28b] and intestinal epithelial-specific deletion of Imp1 [VillinCre; Imp1fl/fl] [Supplemental Fig. 2F; Hamilton et al. 2013; Madison et al. 2013]. Our prior work demonstrated de novo intestinal tumor growth in Villin-Lin28b mice, confirming that Lin28b can act as an oncogene in the intestine [Madison et al. 2013]. Imp1 expression is enhanced significantly in Villin-Lin28b mice and completely eliminated in the intestinal epithelium of Villin-Lin28b; VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice [Fig. 2A]. We found that, at 12 mo of age, 18 out of 25 Villin-Lin28b mice (72%) had at least one tumor, while 10 out of 12 [83.33%] Villin-Lin28b;VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice had tumors, the majority of which had multiple lesions. Aged mice overexpressing LIN28B exhibit a significant increase in the number of proliferating cells [Fig. 2B,C] in normal tissue. Villin-Lin28b;VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice exhibit a significant increase in intestinal tumor number per mouse compared with Villin-Lin28b mice [Fig. 2D]. We did not observe hyperplasia or tumor growth with Imp1 loss alone, evaluated out to 12 mo of age. Histological grading revealed a higher percentage of adenocarcinoma lesions in Villin-Lin28b; VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice compared with Villin-Lin28b mice [Fig. 2E,F], demonstrating that Imp1 loss promotes higher tumor number and grade in the context of Lin28b overexpression.

Our prior studies demonstrated that Lin28b overexpression results in increased expression of other let-7 targets, including Hmga2, Arid3a, Igf2bp2, and CycD1, as well as enhanced expression of stem cell-related genes [Madison et al. 2015]. We evaluated isolated epithelia from aged mice and found no significant change in Arid3a, Igf2bp2, Hmga2, and CycD1 expression in Villin-Lin28b;VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice compared with Villin-Lin28b mice [Fig. 2G]. We found a robust increase in stem cell and broader crypt cell markers, including Prom1, Hopx, Bmi1, Lgr5, and Olfm4 [van der Flier et al. 2009; Zhu et al. 2009; Takeda et al. 2011; Tian et al. 2011; de Sousa e Melo et al. 2017], suggesting an expansion of the stem cell compartment in Villin-Lin28b;VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice compared with Villin-Lin28b mice [Fig. 2H]. Consistent with an expansion of the crypt base stem cell compartment, we observed an increase in WNT target gene expression with Imp1 loss at both the mRNA and protein levels [Fig. 2I–K; Supplemental Fig. 3D]. This effect was also observed ex vivo in enteroids generated from isolated crypts, where Villin-Lin28b;VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl enteroids exhibit increased AXIN2 expression compared with wild-type or Villin-Lin28b mouse enteroids [Supplemental Fig. 2G]. Together, these data support the hypothesis that IMP1 may modulate stem cell signature and canonical WNT target gene expression in the intestine and that these effects persist in isolated crypt enteroid cultures.

To understand these findings in the context of patients with CRC, we evaluated The Cancer Genome Atlas [TCGA] [Cline et al. 2013] for LIN28B and IMP1 expression levels in colon and rectal adenocarcinoma (COADREAD) patients. We found a positive correlation between the two transcripts in patient tumors [Pearson’s coefficient = 0.266, P < 0.0001] [Supplemental Fig. 3A]. However, tumor stage-wise evaluation revealed higher IMP1 expression in...
all tumor stages, whereas LIN28B expression increased in stage IV, supporting the concept that IMP1 expression is regulated via additional mechanisms beyond LIN28B [Supplemental Fig. 3B].

**IMP1 contributes to intestinal epithelial regeneration**

Building on our observation that Imp1 loss up-regulates stem cell and WNT target genes in the context of LIN28B overexpression, we investigated the functional consequences of Imp1 loss in the context of LIN28B overexpression.

**Figure 2.** IMP1 loss enhances LIN28B-mediated tumorigenesis in vivo. Imp1^fl/fl (wild type), Villin-Cre;Imp1^fl/fl, Villin-Lin28b, and Villin-Cre;Villin-Lin28b;Imp1^fl/fl mice were aged up to 1 yr and then sacrificed to evaluate tumor growth. (A) Igf2bp1/Imp1 expression in the epithelia isolated from the jejunum of 12-mo-old mice. n > 4 mice per genotype. (B) Representative immunofluorescence staining for Edu incorporation in mouse intestinal epithelium. Bars, 500 µm. (C) Quantification of Edu+ve cells using flow cytometry. n = 3 mice per genotype. (D) The number of intestinal tumors in mice with or without Imp1 in the context of Lin28b overexpression. n > 9 mice per genotype. (E) The percentage of tumors classified as adenocarcinomas by histological scoring. (F) Representative hematoxylin and eosin (H&E) staining of intestinal epithelia in aged mice. Mice lacking Lin28b overexpression exhibited normal intestinal morphology at 12 mo of age. Mice with Lin28b overexpression exhibited tumor development that worsened with Imp1 loss. Bars, 500 µm. (G) Relative expression of different let-7 targets in the intestinal epithelium via qPCR. n > 5 mice per genotype. (H) Relative expression of stem cell markers in the intestinal epithelium via qPCR expressed as fold change with respect to Imp1^fl/fl mice. n > 5 mice per genotype. (I) Relative expression of Wnt target genes in the intestinal epithelium via qPCR expressed as fold change with respect to Imp1^fl/fl mice. n > 5 mice per genotype. (J) Representative immunohistochemical staining for β-catenin in mouse intestinal epithelium [magnified in the inset]. (K) Representative β-catenin protein levels in mouse intestinal crypts from the four genotypes. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. [*] P < 0.05; [**] P < 0.01; [***] P < 0.001; [****] P < 0.0001 by ordinary one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test. The significance is shown compared with control/wild-type samples unless indicated otherwise.
overexpression, we sought to understand broader roles for IMP1 in the context of intestinal homeostasis and repair from injury. Radiation-mediated injury has been increasingly used to study stem cell function and regeneration of the intestinal epithelium (Potten 2004; Zhou et al. 2013; Gregorieff et al. 2015). Imp1 expression is low in the adult intestinal crypt but is increased in the regenerating epithelium following whole-body irradiation at both the RNA and protein levels (Fig. 3A). We observed IMP1 protein expression specifically in regenerative foci 4 d following irradiation, a time point at which surviving stem cells are undergoing rapid proliferation to replenish the epithelium following whole-body irradiation at the adult intestinal crypt but is increased in the regenerative foci 4 d following irradiation, indicating less DNA damage at day 4 after radiation (Supplemental Fig. 3C). Thus, the beneficial effect may be due to both enhanced WNT signaling and WNT-independent protective effects.

Evaluating IMP1 separately from its function downstream from LIN28B, we observed that Villin-Cre,Imp1fl/fl mice exhibit less weight loss following irradiation compared with control Imp1fl/fl mice (Fig. 3E) and that the number of regenerative crypt foci is increased in Villin-Cre,Imp1fl/fl mice (Fig. 3F,G). Crypt regeneration in Villin-Cre,Imp1fl/fl mice was evaluated further by plating

**Figure 3.** IMP1 regulates intestinal epithelial regeneration following irradiation. Mice were evaluated for Imp1 expression in nonirradiated conditions and 4 d after 12 Gy of whole-body irradiation. (A) qRT-PCR and representative Western blot for Imp1 in isolated crypts from these mice. (A) P < 0.05 versus nonirradiated. n = 3–4 mice per genotype. (B) Representative immunohistochemical staining showing Imp1 increase in wild-type [Imp1fl/fl] mice following radiation. Villin-Cre, Imp1fl/fl mice were used as controls. Bars, 500 µm. (C) Villin-Lin28b;Villin-Cre,Imp1fl/fl mice lost significantly less weight at sacrifice following irradiation than Villin-Lin28b mice [22.3% ± 0.9905% mean weight loss in Villin-Cre;Villin-Lin28b,Imp1fl/fl mice [n = 5] vs. 26.68% ± 0.4076% in Villin-Lin28b [n = 5]]. The weight loss in Villin-Lin28b mice was significantly higher than in controls [22.34% ± 0.2556% mean weight loss]. (D) Analysis of Ki67* cells revealed a significant increase in Ki67* regenerative crypt foci in Villin-Cre Villin-Lin28b,Imp1fl/fl mice at 4 d following irradiation. n = 3–4 mice per genotype, n = 20–30 high-power fields (HPFs) per mouse. Representative immunohistochemical staining for Ki67* foci in the mouse intestinal epithelium is shown. Bars, 500 µm. (E) Villin-Cre,Imp1fl/fl mice lost significantly less weight at sacrifice following irradiation than controls [18.83% ± 0.98% in Villin-Cre, Imp1fl/fl mice vs. 23.34% ± 0.56% mean weight loss in controls], n = 14 Imp1fl/fl mice; n = 12 Villin-Cre,Imp1fl/fl mice. (F) Analysis of Ki67* cells revealed a robust increase in Ki67* regenerative crypt foci in Villin-Cre Villin-Lin28b,Imp1fl/fl mice at 4 d following irradiation. n = 4 mice per genotype; n = 20–30 HPFs per mouse. (G) Representative immunohistochemical staining for Ki67* foci in the mouse intestinal epithelium. Bars, 500 µm. (H) Representative pictures of the enterosphere and enteroid with buds. Enhanced growth of post-irradiation enteroids from Villin-Cre,Imp1fl/fl mice. Regenerative crypt units were plated in enteroid culture on the day of sacrifice to evaluate ex vivo survival and growth. (I) Evaluation of enterosphere growth 1 d following plating and enteroid growth 4 d after plating revealed a significant increase in Villin-Cre,Imp1fl/fl compared with Imp1fl/fl. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. [*] P < 0.05; [**] P < 0.01; [***] P < 0.001; [****] P < 0.0001 by ordinary one-way ANOVA test followed by Tukey’s multiple comparison test. The significance is shown compared with control/wild-type samples unless indicated otherwise.
post-irradiated crypts in three-dimensional (3D) enteroid culture (Fig. 3H; Fuller et al. 2012). Crypt enteroids from untreated Imp1fl/fl and VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice establish and grow at similar rates under homeostasis (Supplemental Fig. 4C), however, post-irradiated crypts from VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice exhibit a significant increase in enterosphere formation as well as growth into enteroids 4 d after plating (Fig. 3I).

Our data demonstrating that Imp1 deletion enhances Lin28b-mediated tumorigenesis and regeneration in both wild-type and Villin-Lin28b mice may be counterintuitive to current views of IMP1 function. We therefore generated mice in which Imp1 is overexpressed in the intestinal epithelium (VillinCre Imp1OE) (Supplemental Fig. 4D,E). We analyzed aged mice (10–12 mo) and found no tumors (Fig. 4A) or any significant difference in intestinal morphology in VillinCre Imp1OE or Villin-Lin28b; VillinCre Imp1OE mice compared with wild-type control mice (Fig. 4B). We did not observe a significant difference in Paneth, goblet, or enteroendocrine cell numbers (Supplemental Fig. 5A). Intriguingly, irradiated VillinCre; Imp1OE mice did not exhibit significant differences in weight loss compared with control mice at day 4, although there was a trend for increased weight loss in VillinCre Imp1OE mice (Supplemental Fig. 5B). Taken together, these data confirm in an independent manner that IMP1 overexpression by itself is insufficient to initiate tumors.

We observed up-regulation of Wnt targets in Lin28b; VillinCre;Imp1OE mice. To evaluate whether VillinCre; Imp1OE mice exhibit opposing effects, we analyzed gene expression in Villin-Lin28b; VillinCre;Imp1OE mice. Analysis of 10- to 12-mo-old mice revealed a decrease in Wnt target gene expression in Villin-Lin28b; VillinCre; Imp1OE mice compared with Villin-Lin28b mice. This supports the hypothesis that Imp1 loss may serve as a “switch” for canonical Wnt target gene regulation in the context of Lin28b overexpression (Fig. 4C). Finally, analysis of aged Villin-Lin28b; VillinCre;Imp1OE mice revealed a suppression of tumor incidence compared with Villin-Lin28b mice (Fig. 4A). In summary, the functional effects observed in mice with Imp1 loss or overexpression provide evidence that Imp1 acts as a suppressor of the epithelium’s proliferative response under nontransformed conditions, due in part to regulation of Wnt signaling. In this regard, high IMP1 in CRC patients is likely an “effect” rather than a “cause” of tumor initiation.

**IMP1 plays a functional role in reserve intestinal stem cells**

We performed RNA-seq followed by GSEA on isolated crypts from Imp1fl/fl and VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice to evaluate baseline changes in gene expression with Imp1 loss. Similar to Villin-Lin28b; VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice, crypt gene expression analysis in VillinCre;Imp1OE mice revealed an increase in intestinal stem cell and Wnt target gene expression (Fig. 5A; Supplemental Fig. 6A). GSEA revealed enrichment of gene targets involved in proliferation, regeneration, and Wnt signaling in VillinCre;Imp1OE mice compared with control mice (P-value < 0.001; false discovery rate [FDR] < 0.001) (Supplemental Table 6). We validated up-regulation of Wnt targets identified via RNA-seq using siRNA to knock out...
down IMP1 in Caco2 cells [Supplemental Fig. 4A,B], which endogenously express LIN2B [Mizuno et al. 2018]. We observed significant up-regulation of multiple WNT target genes when SCF and Wnt target genes in isolated crypts from VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice compared with Impfl/fl mice. \( n = 3–4 \) mice per genotype. [B] qPCR in Sox9-EGFP reporter mice, where epithelial cell populations are subclassified using a fluorescence-activated cell sorting (FACS) into Sox9-EGFP-negative, Sox9-EGFP sublow, Sox9-EGFP low, and Sox9-EGFP high cells. Imp1 is expressed at low levels in all represented cell types except Sox9-EGFP-negative cells [white bars]. Imp1 expression is significantly higher in Sox9-EGFP low and Sox9-EGFP high cells, which encompass intestinal stem cells, \( n = 5 \) animals per group. [C] HopsxCreERT2;Imp1F0/F0 mice lost significantly less weight at sacrifice following irradiation than controls [18.8% ± 1.951% mean weight loss in HopsxCreERT2;Imp1F0/F0 mice vs. 23.92% ± 1.015% in controls], \( n = 8 \) HopsxCreERT2;Imp1F0/F0 mice compared with control mice, \( n = 4 \) mice per genotype; \( n = 20–30 \) HPFs per animal. Bars, 500 µm. [D] Representative immunohistochemical staining for Ki67+ foci in the mouse intestinal epithelium quantified in D. \( \text{F} \) qPCR for Imp1 expression in Lgr5+ and Paneth (CD24/cKit/SSC high) cells sorted from Lgr5-eGFP-IRES-CreERT2 mouse crypts. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. \( * P < 0.05; \) \( ** P < 0.01; \) \( *** P < 0.001; \) \( **** P < 0.0001 \) by unpaired t-test.

Figure 5. IMP1 plays a functional role in reserve intestinal stem cells. (A) qRT-PCR for stem cell markers and Wnt target genes in isolated crypts from VillinCre;Imp1fl/fl mice compared with Impfl/fl mice. \( n = 3–4 \) mice per genotype. (B) qPCR in Sox9-EGFP reporter mice, where epithelial cell populations are subclassified using fluorescent-activated cell sorting (FACS) into Sox9-EGFP-negative, Sox9-EGFP sublow, Sox9-EGFP low, and Sox9-EGFP high cells. Imp1 is expressed at low levels in all represented cell types except Sox9-EGFP-negative cells [white bars]. Imp1 expression is significantly higher in Sox9-EGFP low and Sox9-EGFP high cells, which encompass intestinal stem cells, \( n = 5 \) animals per group. (C) HopsxCreERT2;Imp1F0/F0 mice lost significantly less weight at sacrifice following irradiation than controls [18.8% ± 1.951% mean weight loss in HopsxCreERT2;Imp1F0/F0 mice vs. 23.92% ± 1.015% in controls], \( n = 8 \) HopsxCreERT2;Imp1F0/F0 mice compared with control mice, \( n = 4 \) mice per genotype; \( n = 20–30 \) HPFs per animal. Bars, 500 µm. [D] Representative immunohistochemical staining for Ki67+ foci in the mouse intestinal epithelium quantified in D. [F] qPCR for Imp1 expression in Lgr5+ and Paneth (CD24/cKit/SSC high) cells sorted from Lgr5-eGFP-IRES-CreERT2 mouse crypts. All data are expressed as mean ± SEM. \( * P < 0.05; \) \( ** P < 0.01; \) \( *** P < 0.001; \) \( **** P < 0.0001 \) by unpaired t-test.

thermore, Imp1 expression is up-regulated in all cell populations following whole-body irradiation, with a significant increase in Sox9-EGFP high cells relative to other cell types [Supplemental Fig. 6B].

To determine whether the protective effect of Imp1 loss persists in a more restricted epithelial population, we crossed Imp1F0/F0 mice to mice harboring the HopsxCreERT2 knock-in allele [Li et al. 2016], which is expressed specifically in WntNegative quiescent reserve stem cells (Takeda et al. 2011; Li et al. 2014; Yousefi et al. 2016). Similar to VillinCre;Imp1F0/F0 mice, we found that HopsxCreERT2;Imp1F0/F0 mice exhibit less weight loss following irradiation compared with controls [Fig. 5C] and an increase in regenerative crypt foci, consistent with enhanced recovery following injury (Fig. 5D,E). To verify that Imp1 is enriched in the crypts using a second model, we used Lgr5-eGFP-IRES-CreERT2 mice. We performed gene expression analysis for Imp1 in Lgr5+ and Paneth (CD24/cKit/SSC high) cells sorted from Lgr5-eGFP-IRES-CreERT2 mouse crypts. We observed that Imp1 is enriched in both Lgr5+ and Paneth cells compared with unsorted crypts [Fig. 5F]. Taken together, these data support a role for IMP1 induction to negatively regulate WNT signaling during homeostasis [putatively in crypt cells with an inactive canonical Wnt signaling pathway during homeostasis].
In aggregate, we propose a model in which LIN28B overexpression promotes increased proliferation and tumorigenesis and enhances IMP1 expression, which may then feed back and suppress these pathways during homeostasis [Fig. 6]. This mechanism is reinforced by data in Villin-Lin28b;VillinCre;Imp1<sup>fl/fl</sup> mice (where Imp1 loss enhances Lin28b-mediated tumorigenesis and up-regulates Wnt signaling) and Villin-Lin28b;VillinCre;Imp1<sup>Cre</sup> mice (where high Imp1 expression reduces Lin28b-mediated tumorigenesis).

**Discussion**

In the present study, we used ribosome profiling to demonstrate that IMP1 acts as a pivotal regulator downstream from LIN28B and that IMP1 deletion promotes changes to both mRNA levels and TEs. Globally, there is partial overlap in genes that are regulated at the mRNA and translation levels, suggesting that IMP1 may regulate both homeostatic transcript abundance and TE for a subset of target transcripts. In addition, we found no correlation between the binding efficiencies of targets from eCLIP studies [Conway et al. 2016] and the changes in their TEs through ribosome profiling. This notion is supported by recent studies comparing eCLIP-identified IMP1-bound targets with gene expression changes in IMP1-depleted cells, where there was no significant correlation between binding and trends in gene expression changes [Conway et al. 2016]. Additionally, there are targets that are not revealed in the eCLIP studies but are found to be differentially regulated by ribosome profiling, highlighting the tissue-specific as well as potentially direct/indirect roles of IMP1 on gene expression. Our data support the significance of evaluating both the transcriptome and translome simultaneously to understand RBP function and the notion that evaluating translational reinforcement, antagonism, and buffering may uncover additional roles for RBPs to refine the functional outcome of a gene or pathway.

LIN28B is a critical pluripotency factor and is engaged in diverse cancer types, including CRC [Rahkonen et al. 2016; Zhang et al. 2016; Tsanov et al. 2017]. LIN28B acts to a major extent through the post-transcriptional down-regulation of the let-7 miR family. This enables the induction of let-7 mRNA targets, which are normally suppressed. In most contexts, this should lead to enhanced proliferation, growth, and, presumably, a pathway toward transformation. While the let-7 mRNA targets are many [e.g., CyclinD1, HMGA2, IMP1, and IMP2], it remains elusive how precisely LIN28B exerts its actions through the let-7 mRNA targets. Here, we found that IMP1 regulates the TEs of genes downstream from LIN28B, with one node through Wnt signaling pathway components. Pathway analyses highlight several pathways involved in cancer [affecting proliferation, migration, differentiation, and apoptosis], including WNT signaling, that are significantly enriched with Imp1 deletion and concurrent Lin28b expression. These genes are regulated by changes in translational efficiencies by differential binding of ribosomes on the transcripts—a finding that expands substantially our knowledge about IMP1 and WNT signaling, building significantly on a previous study showing that β-cat inenin induces IMP1 transcription and that IMP1 stabilizes β-catenin mRNA [Gu et al. 2008].

We demonstrate that Imp1 loss in Lin28b transgenic mice promotes a robust increase in high-grade tumors exhibiting phenotypically less differentiation as well as a more invasive phenotype, up-regulation of stem/progenitor cell genes, and enhanced nuclear β-catenin, suggesting that Imp1 loss may promote expansion of the intestinal stem cell compartment. This is in contrast to data in neural stem cells, where Imp1 deletion promotes differentiation [Nishino et al. 2013]. Similarly, the finding that Imp1 loss promotes tumorigenesis in the intestinal epithelium is in contrast to prior studies suggesting that IMP1 may act as an oncogene in different tissues [Tessier et al. 2004; Kobel et al. 2007; Mayr and Bartel 2009; Hamilton et al. 2013; Gutschner et al. 2014]. However, more recent studies have identified tumor-suppressive roles of IMP1 as well [Hamilton et al. 2015; Wang et al. 2016]. These divergences likely depend on numerous factors, including age, the compartment in which Imp1 is expressed [for example, epithelial vs. mesenchymal], the specific tissue type [intestinal, breast, brain, etc.], and whether Imp1 loss is acting alone or in concert with known oncogenes. IMP1 stabilizes β-catenin mRNA in breast cancer cells [Gu et al. 2008] and is activated by it in turn in a feedback
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mechanism [Gu et al. 2009]. In other studies, IMP1 was shown to bind and stabilize β-TRCP1, a β-catenin antagonist [Elcheva et al. 2009]. Hence, we used unbiased approaches (RNA-seq and ribosome profiling/sequencing) to evaluate global changes in pathways with IMP1 loss and then used in vivo mouse models to understand the net effects on WNT pathway signaling. However, our data do not necessarily exclude a role for IMP1 in tumor progression, where it may exhibit different roles based on target transcript abundance.

Although LIN28B has been reported to range from 30% to 75% of CRC tumors [Piskounova et al. 2011; Tu et al. 2015], LIN28B is overexpressed in up to 80% of CRCs [King et al. 2011; Mongroo et al. 2011]. This suggests that the LIN28B–Let-7–IMP1 axis may function under certain scenarios, but other LIN28B-independent factors yet to be identified in CRC may still regulate IMP1 expression. This is supported by our analysis using TCGA, where LIN28B and IMP1 expression do not positively correlate at all stages of colon cancer. Importantly, our finding that VillinCre;Imp1OE mice do not exhibit spontaneous tumor initiation suggests that IMP1 overexpression is itself insufficient to drive tumor initiation. To that end, it is possible that IMP1 overexpression may serve as a “brake” or “checkpoint” to the oncogenic effects of LIN28B. The “checkpoint” regulation may be achieved through a variety of mechanisms ascribed to IMP1, such as sequestration of transcripts in IMP1-containing ribonucleoprotein (RNP) granules [to avoid mRNA degradation and/or release the transcripts for translation at critical time points] [Jonson et al. 2007; Weidensdorfer et al. 2009], reduction of miR-mediated silencing of mRNA transcripts [Elcheva et al. 2009; Nishino et al. 2013; Busch et al. 2016; Degraue et al. 2016; for review, see van Komenove et al. 2011], or displacement of miR-containing RISCs [Elcheva et al. 2009]. IMP1 may also enhance the expression of LIN28B by shielding LIN28B mRNA from regulation by let-7 in vitro [Busch et al. 2016]. Additionally, Lin28b and Drosophila Imp1 and Imp1 cooperate in mitotic activity and oncogenesis [Narbonne-Reveau et al. 2016]. Furthermore, LIN28B and IMP1 may cooperate to augment cellular bioenergetics and, in the case of LIN28B, cell metabolism [Tu et al. 2015]. Nevertheless, the LIN28B–IMP1 post-transcriptional regulon may be viewed potentially as a node in CRCs that feature APC loss/β-catenin activation/Wnt signaling activation.

Targeting key pathways in CRC remains challenging, as so many of these pathways are important for normal epithelial homeostasis. The targeting of RBP:RNA transcript interactions. LIN28B and IMP1 exhibit low expression in most adult tissues, suggesting that targeting them may have few deleterious effects. Inhibitors for LIN28B would prevent aberrant blockade of tumor suppressor let-7, whereas a specific IMP1 inhibitor would require targeting of tumor-promoting interactions while sparing tumor suppressor functions. For example, a novel IMP1 inhibitor that targets c-Myc specifically has demonstrable effects on melanoma and ovarian cell proliferation; however, studies have been limited to in vitro assays thus far [Mahapatra et al. 2014, 2017]. In summary, our findings highlight the LIN28B/IMP1 signaling axis as a key regulon for normal homeostasis, radiation-mediated injury, and tumorigenesis in the intestinal epithelium, providing mechanistic insights into the basic biology of RBPs as well as underscoring the importance of understanding post-transcriptional regulation of principle oncogenic pathways in vivo.

Materials and methods

Cell lines and cell culture

CRC cell lines were obtained from American Type Culture Collection and authenticated by short tandem repeat (STR). Stable LIN28B expression in SW480 and LoVo cells was achieved using MSCV-PIG-LIN28B and empty vector control plasmids [gifts from Dr. Joshua Mendell] using the protocol described previously [King et al. 2011b]. IMP1 was knocked out by cotransfecting cells with IMP1-CRISPR/Cas9 knockout plasmid [b) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-401703) and IMP1 HDR plasmid [b) (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, sc-401703 HDR) followed by sorting and clonal expansion of RFP+ve cells. The knockout was verified by Western blotting for IMP1. IMP1 was knocked down in CaCo2 cells by siRNA transfection using Lipofectamine RNAiMax as per the manufacturer’s guidelines. Silencer select negative control #1 siRNA from Thermo Fisher was used as a negative control. qRT–PCR was used to validate knockdown. Cell lines were cultured in DMEM [Thermo Fisher Scientific] supplemented with 10% FBS [GE Healthcare Life Sciences] and 1% penicillin-streptomycin [Thermo Fisher Scientific] in a 37°C incubator with 5% CO2 under puromycin selection. Cells are tested for mycoplasma at least every 2 mo in the laboratory.

Animal models

VillinCre;Vil-Lin28b;Imp1OE mice were obtained by mating Villin28bMed and VillinCre;Imp1OE mice that have been described previously [Hamilton et al. 2013; Madison et al. 2013] and were maintained via backcrosses to C57BL/6J. Imp1OE mice were considered wild type and expressed LIN28B and IMP1 insignificantly different from wild-type mice. Lgr5-EGFP-IRES-creERT2 mice were purchased from Jackson Laboratories. The HopxCreERT2; Imp1OE mice were generated by crossing Imp1OE mice with tamoxifen-inducible HopxCreERT2 [JAX strain 017606] mice that were generated at the University of Pennsylvania in the laboratory of J. Epstein (Philadelphia, PA) and have been characterized previously [Yousefi et al. 2016]. Sox9-EGFP mice were maintained as described previously [Van Landeghem et al. 2012]. Cyagen was used to generate Imp1OE mice. Briefly, the knock-in construct was generated by amplification of mouse genomic fragments from a BAC clone using high-fidelity DNA polymerase. The targeting vector was assembled to include Flag-tagged Imp1 [lgg2bp1] cDNA targeted to the Rosa locus downstream from the EF1α promoter and a polA sequence flanked by loxp sites [Supplemental Fig. 4B]. In addition, we included an IRES;tdTomato,SV40EpA following the Imp1 cDNA. We crossed these mice with Villin-Cre mice in order to specifically overexpress Imp1 in intestinal epithelial cells. All experimental analyses were performed on three or more individual mice (male or female mice at 12-mo of age for tumor studies and 8- to 12-wk-old mice for irradiation studies). Controls and experimental groups were either sex-matched littersmates or age-matched sex-matched nonlittersmates. To ablate Imp1 in
HopxCreERT2,Imp1<sup>+/−</sup> mice, tamoxifen (Sigma-Aldrich) was dissolved in peanut oil at 10 mg/mL, and 200 μg of tamoxifen per gram of body weight was injected intraperitoneally for each dose. Two doses were administered 24 h apart before the irradiation experiments. Whole-body γ-irradiation (12 Gy) was administered to at least three mice in each group. For irradiation experiments using VillinCre::Imp1<sup>+/−</sup> mice, animals were given a whole-body single dose of 12 Gy using the Gammacell 40 cesium 137 irradiation unit. Irradiation of Sox9-EGFP mice was performed as described previously [Van Landeghem et al. 2012]. Body weights were recorded daily, and mice were euthanized before losing a maximum of 25% total body weight at day 4 after irradiation. All mouse protocols were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee at the University of Pennsylvania under protocol 804607.

**Ribosome profiling**

Ribosome profiling libraries from three pooled cell culture plates were prepared using a standard protocol [McClinchy and Ingolia 2017] with minor modifications. Separate 5' and 3' linkers were ligated to the RNA fragment instead of 3' linker followed by circularization [Subtelny et al. 2014]. 5' linkers contained four random nucleotide unique molecular identifiers (UMIs), similar to a 5-nucleotide (nt) UMI in 3' linkers. During size selection, we restricted the footprinting length to 18–34 nt. Matched RNA-seq libraries were prepared using RNA that was randomly fragmented by incubating for 15 min at 95°C in 1 mM EDTA, 6 mM Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, and 44 mM NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (pH 9.3). RNA-seq fragments were restricted to 18–50 nt. Ribosomal RNA (rRNA) were removed from pooled RNA-seq and footprinting samples using RiboZero (Epicenter, MRZH116). cDNA for the pooled library were PCR-amplified for 15 cycles.

**Ribosome profiling data processing and analysis**

RNA-seq and footprinting reads were mapped to the human transcriptome using the riboviz pipeline [Carja et al. 2017]. Sequencing adapters were trimmed from reads using Cutadapt 1.10 [Martin 2011] using parameters --trim-n -O 1 -m 10 -k 2 -u 4 -u -10. Trimmed reads from different samples were separated based on the barcodes in their 3' linkers using fastx_barcode_splitter [FASTX toolkit, Hannon laboratory] with one mismatch allowed at most. UMIs and barcodes were removed from reads in each sample using Cutadapt [--trim-n -m 10 -u 4 -u -10]. Trimmed reads that aligned to human/mouse rRNA were removed using Bowtie version 1.1.2 [Langmead et al. 2009]. Remaining reads were mapped to a set of 19,192 principal transcripts for each gene in the APPRIS database [Rodriguez et al. 2013] using HISAT2 version 2.1.0 [Kim et al. 2015]. Only reads that mapped uniquely were used for all downstream analyses. For genes with multiple principal transcripts, the first one in the list was chosen. For selecting these transcripts were obtained from the riboviz package [https://github.com/shahpr/riboviz] [Carja and Plotkin 2017]. For the radar map, the list of post-transcriptional regulators was obtained from the AURA database [Dassi et al. 2014], and the plot was generated using modified functions of the translatome (Tebaldi et al. 2014) package in R. The translatome package was modified to restrict the list of post-transcriptional regulators to the regulators of the 19,192 genes used for mapping reads. All analyses of ribosome profiling data sets were performed in R. We restricted analyses to genes with at least one data set (RNA-seq or footprinting across conditions) with 64 mapped reads, and genes with 0 read counts in any data set were ignored unless the mean read counts across all eight data sets were >64.

Differentially expressed genes were identified using the DEGseq package [Wang et al. 2010] using a false discovery rate (FDR) cutoff of 0.001. Gene ontology (GO) enrichment analyses and identification of post-transcriptional regulators of differentially expressed genes at the transcriptome and translatome levels were performed using the translatome package.

**RNA-seq**

RNA was isolated from freshly isolated mouse intestine crypts and cell lines using the GeneJet RNA purification kit according to the manufacturer’s instructions, with DNase treatment. The cDNA libraries were then generated using the Illumina TruSeq stranded mRNA library preparation kit with Ribo Zero treatment [RS-122-2201]. The cDNA was sequenced using HiSeq 50 cycle single-read sequencing version 4 by the High-Throughput Genomics Core at the Huntsman Cancer Institute, University of Utah, for a fee. All sequencing reads were first trimmed to remove 3' sequencing adapters and aligned to the hg19 human genome with STAR using the default parameters [Dobin et al. 2013]. Read counts for each gene were measured using HTSeq run in “intersection-strict” mode [Anders et al. 2015], and differential expression analysis was performed using DESeq2 [Love et al. 2014]. Taking all differentially expressed genes with an FDR of <0.05, GO analysis was performed using DAVID [Huang da et al. 2009a,b]. Genotypes were run in triplicates, and DESeq2 analysis was performed on them. GO analysis was performed on the differentially expressed genes. GSEA was performed according to previously established guidelines [Subramanian et al. 2005].

**TCGA analyses**

Publicly available gene expression data from TCGA were downloaded from the Genomic Data Commons (GDC) data portal, and graphs were generated using Graphpad Prism. Tumor type and stage data were acquired from the University of California at Santa Cruz (UCSC) Xena [http://xena.ucsc.edu]. Pooled COADREAD data contained 433 samples in different tumor stages. For staging, high expression and low expression groups were determined by cutoff at the 75th percentile.

Correlation of expression was determined via χ<sup>2</sup> analysis, and 95% confidence interval was calculated for confirmation of statistical significance in Graphpad Prism.

**Tumor tissue microarray analysis**

A tumor tissue microarray comprised of a uniform cohort of 228 (133 male and 95 female) patients with colon carcinoma (88 in stage 2 and 140 in stage 3) diagnosed between November 1993 and October 2006 was used. Rectal tumors were excluded [King et al. 2011a]. LIN28B staining intensity was scored by a pathologist [A.J.K.-S.]; 1 was used to signify low LIN28B intensity, 2 was used to signify intermediate intensity, and 3 was used to signify high intensity. We used the high-expressing samples and analyzed the differentiation status by the three-tier tumor grading system recommended by the American Joint Commission [Edge and Compton 2010].

**Enteroïd culture and analyses**

The proximal jejunum (6 cm) was flushed with cold PBS and cut lengthwise to expose the luminal surface. Tissue was placed in calcium-magnesium-free Hank’s buffered salt solution (CMF-HBSS) with 1 mM N-acetyl cysteine, vortexed briefly, and placed
on ice. The tissue was then transferred to CMF-HBSS containing 10 mM EDTA and 1 mM NAC and incubated for 45 min at 4°C with end-to-end rotation. Epithelial cells were then mechanically dissociated by vortexing for 30 sec following a 30-sec rest on ice; this was repeated a total of four times. The villi were removed by filtering over a 70-µm filter. Crypts were pelleted at 100 g for 4 min and resuspended in Basal+ medium [advanced DMEM/F12 containing 2 mM, 10 mM HEPES, 1× penicillin/streptomycin, 5 µM CHIR99021, 1 mM NAC, 1× N2 supplement, 1× B27 supplement for quantification]. Crypts were defined as small U-shaped structures (or partial U-shaped structures for irradiated mice). Crypts were plated in four wells of a 48-well plate per mouse at a density of 150 crypts per well in an 80/20 mixture of Matrigel matrix and Basal+ medium also containing 50 ng/mL mouse epidermal growth factor and 2.5% Noggin/R-spondin conditioned medium (Sato et al. 2009). After Matrigel solidified, crypt-containing patters were overlaid with ENR medium. The number of surviving crypt spheres [enteroids] was counted on days 1–4 following plating to determine enteroid plating efficiency. On day 4 after plating, the number of surviving enteroids was scored. All counting was performed at 10× magnification using the Olympus IX81 inverted microscope. A crypt sphere was defined as a 3D sphere or oval shape surrounding a lumen [i.e., enterosphere]. A “budded” enteroid was defined as a 3D structure with one or more de novo projections of any length representing crypts emerging from the spheroid body.

**qRT–PCR**

Small intestine crypt RNA was isolated using the GeneJet RNA purification kit. Equal amounts of total RNA were reverse-transcribed using the TaqMan RT reagent kit, and the resulting cDNA was used with Power SYBR Green PCR master mix and validated primer sets listed in Supplemental Table 3. Non-reverse-transcribed samples were used as negative controls, and gene expression was calculated using the \( R^{2(−ΔΔCt)} \) method, where changes in Ct values for the genes of interest were normalized to housekeeping genes Tbp, Rps6, or Gapdh. Gene expression data are expressed as fold change versus mean values for wild-type or untreated controls. All experiments were replicated in at least three independent experiments with technical replicates [duplicates] in each experiment. For analyses of Sox9-EGFP mice, FACS isolation of Sox9-EGFP cell populations was performed as described previously (Van Landeghem et al. 2012). Total RNA from all Sox9-EGFP populations and nonsorted epithelial cells was extracted and reverse-transcribed. qRT–PCR was performed using platinum qPCR SuperMix-UDG and the following TaqMan probes: Mm00501602_m1 [lg2bp1] and Mm02342456_g1 [Rsp6]. Samples were run in duplicate, and expression was determined by a standard curve of pooled nonsorted intestinal epithelial cells and normalized to the invariant control gene Rps6.

**Histology, immunohistochemistry, and tissue analyses**

Tissues were fixed in zinc formalin fixative overnight at 4°C, washed in PBS, and moved to 70% ethanol before paraffin embedding and sectioning. Hematoxylin and eosin staining was performed according to standard procedures in the Molecular Pathology and Imaging Core of the Penn Center for Molecular Studies in Digestive and Liver Diseases. For immunostaining, antigen retrieval was performed by heating slides in 10 mM citric acid buffer (2.1 g of citric acid monohydrate in 1 L of di-H2O at pH 6.0) in a pressure cooker. The images were taken using the Olympus IX81 inverted microscope. A crypt sphere was defined as a 3D sphere or oval shape surrounding a lumen (i.e., enterosphere). A “budded” enteroid was defined as a 3D structure with one or more de novo projections of any length representing crypts emerging from the spheroid body.

**Flow cytometry and sorting**

For analysis of EdU-positive cells, the mice were injected with 20 mg/kg EdU intraperitoneally. The mice were sacrificed 2 h after the injection. The single-cell suspensions of crypt-enriched intestinal epithelium from the small intestine [jejunum] of the mice were then stained for EdU following the manufacturer’s instructions. For sorting, mouse intestinal epithelial cells were isolated into single cells. CD24-PE [Biolegend] and cKit PE-Cy7 [eBioscience] staining was done for sorting as per the manufacturer’s instructions. Flow cytometry was performed using a FACS LSR [BD Biosciences] with a 100-µm nozzle. Sorting was done using a FACs Aria [BD Biosciences] sortor. Gating and compensation were performed using negative and single-color controls. Three biological replicates [and three technical replicates] for each genotype were used.

**Quantification and statistical analysis**

Statistical analysis was performed using Graphpad Prism software version 7.0. One-way ANOVA with Tukey’s post-hoc test was performed to compare the differences among groups in all studies. Unpaired t-test (two-tailed) was used when two groups were compared. For all experiments with error bars, the standard error of the mean [SEM] was calculated, and the data are presented as mean ± SEM. The sample size for each experiment is included in the figure legends.

**Data and software availability**

See “Ribosome profiling data processing and analysis” for software information. The accession numbers for all new RNA-seq data and ribosome-profiling data reported here have been deposited in Gene Expression Omnibus [accession no. GSE115647].
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