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Aspirin Use is Associated With Lower Risk of Barrett’s Esophagus in Women

Manol Jovani, MD, MPH1,2,11, Yin Cao, ScD, MPH2,4,11, Diane Feskanich, ScD5, David A. Drew, PhD1,2, Chin Hur, MD, MPH1,2,6, Charles S. Fuchs, MD, MPH7,8,9, Brian C. Jacobson, MD, MPH10,12, and Andrew T. Chan, MD, MPH1,2,3,12

OBJECTIVES: Barrett’s esophagus (BE) is the only known precursor to esophageal adenocarcinoma. Data examining the association of aspirin with the onset of BE, particularly for women, are scant and conflicting.

METHODS: We leveraged data from 121,700 women enrolled in the Nurses’ Health Study, a large prospective cohort study, who biennially provided detailed information regarding endoscopy and use of aspirin. We used unconditional logistic regression to obtain multivariable (MV)-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CI) to estimate the risk of BE in regular aspirin users (≥2 times/week) compared to non-regular users.

RESULTS: Among 27,881 women who had undergone upper GI endoscopy, we documented 667 BE cases over 18 years of follow-up. Compared to non-regular users, women who regularly used aspirin had a MV-adjusted OR for BE of 0.85 (95% CI: 0.72, 0.99). The corresponding OR was 0.73 (95% CI: 0.56, 0.96) for BE at least 1 cm long. Compared with women who did not use any aspirin, the MV-adjusted OR for any BE was 0.91 (95% CI, 0.69, 1.20) for women taking 0.5-1.5 tablets/week; 0.92 (95% CI 0.76, 1.11) for 2-5 tablets/week; and 0.71 (95% CI 0.55, 0.92) for ≥6 tablets/week (p-trend = 0.01). Compared with non-regular users, the MV-adjusted OR for BE risk was 0.90 (95% CI 0.67, 1.20) for women who regularly used aspirin for 1–5 years, 0.84 (95% CI 0.65, 1.09) for 6–10 years, and 0.81 (95% CI 0.67, 0.97) for >10 years (p-trend = 0.03).

CONCLUSION: Regular aspirin use was associated with a reduction in the risk of Barrett’s esophagus in women. The reduction in risk appeared related to higher dose and longer duration of use.
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INTRODUCTION

Barrett’s esophagus (BE), a condition marked by specialized intestinal metaplasia of the esophageal mucosa in response to gastroesophageal reflux, predisposes to esophageal adenocarcinoma (EAC).1 In the US, the incidence of EAC is rising rapidly,2,3 and EAC is usually diagnosed at an advanced stage, with 5-year survival of <20%.4,5 BE, the only known precursor of EAC, is also on the rise.1,6 Available endoscopic treatments for BE are costly, sometimes ineffective or may result in significant complications.7–11 Thus, there is a need to identify agents that may prevent the onset of BE and reduce progression to EAC.

Regular aspirin users have a reduced risk of cancer, especially for gastrointestinal (GI) tumors,12,13 including EAC.14 Observational studies,15–21 pooled analyses,22 a randomized controlled trial (RCT)23 and meta-analyses,24 suggest that regular use of non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs (NSAIDs), especially aspirin, may prevent the progression from BE to EAC. However, other observational studies25–28 and one RCT29 have not observed this association. Practice guidelines do not currently recommend the routine use of aspirin as a chemopreventive agent in patients with BE.7–10

Other data suggest that aspirin, but not other NSAIDs, may also inhibit the development of BE. A recent experimental study showed that aspirin interferes directly with nuclear factor kappa light-chain-enhancer of activated B cells (NF-kB) signaling critical to the metastatic process.30 Human data regarding the association of aspirin and BE development are scant and conflicting, especially for women. Some observational studies,1,7,31,32 but not all,33–36 suggest that aspirin, but not NSAIDs, may reduce the onset of BE. Notably, a recent pooled analysis of six studies, which included 1,474 BE patients and 4,274 controls, saw no significant association between aspirin/NSAIDs and BE.36 These studies, however, had been limited by a cross-sectional or case-control design.
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heterogeneity in the assessment of aspirin exposure, limited information on other key life-style factors for BE, and primarily included men. Because the prevalence of BE is lower and appears later in life among women,37 risk factors may differ among women compared with men.

To address these issues, we investigated the association between aspirin/NSAID use and the risk of BE in a large, prospective cohort study comprised exclusively of women.

METHODS

Study population. We utilized an ongoing prospective study, the Nurses’ Health Study (NHS), a cohort of 121,700 female registered nurses, aged 30 to 55 years at enrollment in 1976. Participants mailed follow-up questionnaires every 2 years to provide data on lifestyle factors, medical history and disease outcomes, and every 4 years to report dietary intake. The follow-up rates have been greater than 90%. The institutional review boards of the Harvard T.H. Chan School of Public Health and Partners Healthcare approved the study protocol.

Assessment of regular aspirin/NSAID Use. Aspirin use was first assessed in 1980 and every 2 years thereafter, except in 1986, and the participants were asked whether they took aspirin most weeks, the number of tablets and frequency per week, and years of aspirin usage. Participants were specifically asked about standard-dose (325-mg) aspirin tablets. Between 1994–1998, participants were also asked to convert intake of 4 baby (81-mg) aspirin to 1 standard aspirin tablet (325 mg). Thus, in this paper the term “tablet” represents 325 mg of aspirin achieved through single dose or by taking multiple low-doses. Since 2000, participants were asked to separately report regular use of baby/low-dose aspirin and/or standard-dose aspirin. Consistent with prior studies,12 we defined regular aspirin users as those who reported aspirin use at least 2 times per week of either standard or low-dose aspirin at the questionnaire cycle two years prior to index endoscopy. Non-regular users included those who consumed fewer than 2 times per week or no aspirin. The major reported reasons for aspirin/NSAIDs use were headache, arthritis and other musculoskeletal pain, and CVD prevention.38 In addition, starting in 1990 and on subsequent questionnaires, we collected updated information on regular use (≥2 times/week) of other non-aspirin NSAIDs (including Motrin, Advil, Nuprin, Indocin, Dolobid, Aleve, Naprosyn, Anaprox, Relafen, and Ketoprofen) every two years.

Assessment of other exposures. Weight, menopausal status, use of menopausal hormone therapy, smoking status and history of diabetes and cancer were assessed in 1976 and updated every two years thereafter. We determined body mass index (BMI) from measurements of height provided by participants in 1976 and from measurements of weight updated every two years. Dietary information was first obtained using a semi-quantitative food frequency questionnaire in 1980, updated in 1984, 1986, and every four years thereafter. This permitted calculations of daily caloric and alcohol intakes, and of the Alternative Healthy Eating Index (AHEI)-2010 scores, a measure of overall diet quality described in detail elsewhere.39 Physical activity was assessed in 1986, 1988, every 2 years from 1992 to 2000 and every 2 years from 2004 to 2010. Each activity reported was measured in metabolic equivalent task (MET)-hours per week. One MET represents the energy expended during one hour of rest. Regular use of histamine type 2 receptor antagonists was asked in 1980, 1982, 1994, and every two years thereafter. Regular use of proton pump inhibitors was asked in 2000 and every two years thereafter. In 2002 and 2008, participants were asked about the ever presence of heartburn/acid-reflux one or more times a week, as well as duration and frequency of symptoms. Cigarette use, caloric intake, alcohol use, physical activity, menopausal status and GERD symptoms in this cohort have been validated previously.40–48 We used cumulative measures of covariates when appropriate.

Ascertainment of cases and non-cases. Starting in 2002, NHS participants were asked biennially if they had ever undergone esophagagogastroduodenoscopy (EGD), the time period of endoscopy, and if they have been diagnosed with BE. We requested written permission to acquire endoscopy and pathology records from women reporting BE. Two study physicians (MJ, BCJ), blinded to exposure information, reviewed records to extract information on the initial date of diagnosis of BE, the length of columnar-lined esophagus seen at endoscopy, and the presence or absence of specialized intestinal metaplasia (SIM) documented in biopsies taken from the esophagus.

Our primary outcome was BE of any length, defined by the presence of SIM, according to US guidelines.49 We also considered secondary outcomes, including 1) a more conservative outcome defined by the presence of SIM and at least 1 cm of columnar-lined esophagus, according to recent US guidelines,7 and 2) a more liberal outcome defined by the presence of any columnar epithelium, with or without SIM, according to UK guidelines,8 or a pathology report simply stating “Barrett’s esophagus” without a microscopic description. When calculating the mean length of BE, reports describing only “tongue(s)” of BE or “short-segment BE” or “irregular Z-lines” were considered to be 0.5 cm in length. To verify that blinded review of pathology reports accurately identifies participants with BE, we sought original slides and tissue blocks from health care providers for a subset of NHS participants identified as having SIM by chart review. Upon centralized review of 251 slides in our center by two expert gastrointestinal pathologists, we confirmed SIM in 97% of instances where the participant was classified as having BE by chart review. When the original histology report only described columnar epithelium in the esophagus, this too was confirmed in 96% of instances.

Non-cases were defined as participants who reported having undergone EGD but had no diagnosis of BE. We requested written permission to acquire records from 200 randomly-selected women who reported an upper endoscopy, but not BE, to verify that failure to report BE was a reliable indication that a participant did not have the condition. After
one mailing attempt, we obtained records from 95 women. In none of these instances did the endoscopist suspect BE.\textsuperscript{47,48}

**Statistical analysis.** We restricted our search to women who reported undergoing EGD between 1992 and 2012 (n = 32,317). We excluded women who had missing information about regular aspirin use (n=2,727) and women with history of gastrointestinal cancer prior to their index endoscopy (n = 608). To avoid misclassification bias, we also excluded women who reported BE but for whom we could not obtain medical records or for whom the record review failed to support a diagnosis of at least columnar-lined esophagus (n = 1,101). The primary study population on which we conducted our analysis was thus comprised of 27,881 women.

For our main analysis, we assessed the association of regular aspirin use (\(\geq 2\) times/week) vs. non-regular use (\(< 2\) times/week) and BE of any length. In secondary analyses relating to the outcome, we assessed the association of regular aspirin use with the more conservative (BE \(\geq 1\) cm) and liberal (any columnar epithelium) definitions. In secondary analyses related to the exposure, we classified women according to aspirin dosage (0, 0.5-1.5, 2-5 and \(\geq 6\) tablets per week), and duration (non-regular use (0), 1-5, 6-10 and \(> 10\) years of regular use).\textsuperscript{12}

We used unconditional logistic regression to obtain age- and multivariable (MV)-adjusted odds ratios (ORs) and 95% confidence intervals (CIs) to estimate the risk of BE. Multivariable models were adjusted for the following potential confounding variables, chosen a \textit{priori} from the questionnaire cycle two years prior to the index endoscopy: year of endoscopy\textsuperscript{49,50} (continuous), age\textsuperscript{50,51} (continuous), race\textsuperscript{51,52} (white, non-white), BMI\textsuperscript{45,48} (<22, 22 to 24.9, 25 to 26.9, 27 to 29.9 and \(\geq 30\) kg/m\(^2\)), physical activity\textsuperscript{53-55} (<1.7, 1.7 to 4.5, 4.6 to 10.5, 10.6 to 22.1, and \(> 22.1\) MET-hours per week), daily caloric intake\textsuperscript{56-58} (kcal/day; continuous), alcohol consumption\textsuperscript{59-62} (0, 0.1 to 4.9, 5.0 to 15.0, and \(> 15\) g/day), menopausal hormone use\textsuperscript{63,64} (premenopausal, never, past, current, dubious/unknown), smoking history\textsuperscript{67} (never, former, current), Alternative Healthy Eating Index (AHEI) score\textsuperscript{65-68,66} (continuous), history of frequent GERD\textsuperscript{65} (yes/no), use of any acid suppressive drugs\textsuperscript{49} (proton pump inhibitors and/or histamine-2 receptor antagonists; yes/no), any non-aspirin NSAID use\textsuperscript{17} (yes/no) and a history of diabetes\textsuperscript{66,67} (yes/no). These variables were chosen to be included in the model as potential confounders of the association between regular aspirin use and BE based on external clinical judgment and previous reports of possible associations with the exposure and the outcome. We assessed linear trend across exposure categories using the median of each category as a continuous variable.

In secondary analyses, we also assessed the association of regular aspirin use and BE among SIM patients without dysplasia, and conducted an analysis limiting the cohort to individuals who reported frequent GERD. Furthermore, we explored potential effect modification by introducing interaction terms between regular aspirin use and all other covariates in the model, and tested for their significance using likelihood ratio tests. Finally, assuming causality, we also calculated the population attributable risk (95% CI), per our previously published methods\textsuperscript{60}, to estimate the proportion of BE that would have been prevented in our population if all the participants were regular aspirin users.

All analyses were performed with SAS, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, North Carolina), with a 2-sided significance \(P\)-value of < 0.05.

### Table 1 Characteristics of the study population of NHS women according to regular aspirin use prior to index endoscopy

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Non regular users (n = 14,419)</th>
<th>Regular users (n = 13,462)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Any columnar epithelium, %</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE of any length, %</td>
<td>2.3</td>
<td>2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BE &gt; 1 cm, %</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean (SD) BE length, cm</td>
<td>1.7 (2.8)</td>
<td>1.3 (1.9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years (SD)</td>
<td>64.4 (4.4)</td>
<td>67.6 (7.8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White race, %</td>
<td>97</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Smoking status**

- Never, %: 45 | 44
- Past, %: 47 | 47.5
- Current, %: 8 | 8.5
- History of frequent reflux/heartburn, %: 39 | 39

**Physical activity**

- < 1.7 MET-hours/week, %: 11.5 | 10
- 1.7-4.5 MET-hours/week, %: 15 | 13.5
- 4.6-10.5 MET-hours/week, %: 22 | 22
- 10.6-22.1 MET-hours/week, %: 25.5 | 28
- 22.1+ MET-hours/week, %: 26 | 28

**Diabetes, %**: 8 | 12

**Regular anti-acid treatment, %**: 18 | 19

**BMI**

- <22 kg/m\(^2\), %: 19 | 17
- 22-24.9 kg/m\(^2\), %: 32 | 31
- 25-29.9 kg/m\(^2\), %: 16 | 18
- 27.9 kg/m\(^2\), %: 17 | 17
- 30+ kg/m\(^2\), %: 15 | 16.5

**Calories consumed/day, kcal**: 1705 (421) | 1722 (423)

**AHEI score**: 48 (9) | 48 (9)

**Alcohol use**

- < 5 g/day, %: 69 | 66.5
- 5-9 g/day, %: 13 | 14
- 10-14.9 g/day, %: 7 | 8
- 15+ g/day, %: 10.5 | 11

**Menopausal state/hormone use**

- Pre-menopause, %: 4 | 3
- Never use of hormones, %: 19 | 18
- Past use of hormones, %: 35 | 37
- Current use of hormones, %: 33 | 33
- Unknown use of hormones, %: 9 | 9

**EGD after the year 2002, %**: 40 | 45

AHEI: Alternative Healthy Eating Index; BE: Barrett’s esophagus; BMI: body mass index; EGD: esophago-gastro-duodenoscopy; NHS: Nurses’ Health Study; NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs.

Values are means(SD) or percentages and are standardized to the age distribution of the study population.

Values of categorical variables may not sum to 100% due to rounding.

- \(^{a}\)Values calculated from the questionnaire prior to index endoscopy and all values, except age, are age-standardized.
- \(^{b}\)Defined as \(2\) times/week or more.
- \(^{c}\)Among participants who had documented length (658 participants). SIM length was slightly higher among non-regular compared to regular aspirin users at univariate \((P = 0.03)\) but not multivariable \((P = 0.16)\) analysis.
- \(^{d}\)Defined as symptoms once/week or more.
- \(^{e}\)Metabolic equivalent task score (met/week).
- \(^{f}\)Includes regular use of either histamine type 2 receptor antagonists or proton-pump inhibitors.
- \(^{g}\)Score ranges from 0-100, without the alcohol component.
RESULTS
Participants were mostly Caucasian (97.6%), with mean (SD) age of 66 (SD, 8) years, of which 48% reported regular aspirin use. The characteristics of regular aspirin users at index endoscopy did not differ substantially from those of non-regular users (Table 1). We confirmed pathologically 567 cases of SIM out of 27,881 (2.4%) women who had undergone at least one EGD. Among these cases of SIM, 225 women (34%) had a segment ≥1 cm long. An additional 102 cases with either columnar epithelium within the esophagus or simply a pathologist’s diagnosis of “Barrett’s esophagus” without documentation of SIM were also documented among eligible women (Table 1). Low grade dysplasia (LGD) or high grade dysplasia (HGD) was observed among 28 (4.2%) participants with SIM of any length, and among 15 (6.7%) and 11 (11.1%) participants with SIM ≥1 cm and ≥3 cm, respectively. Overall, there were 40 cases with suspected dysplasia, of which 20 were in aspirin users (14 LGD, 2 HGD, 4 indefinite for dysplasia) and 20 were in non-aspirin users (8 LGD, 4 HGD, 8 indefinite for dysplasia).

Compared to non-regular users, women who regularly used aspirin had an age-adjusted OR of 0.96 (95% CI: 0.82, 1.20) for risk of BE. However, after multivariable adjustment for known and putative risk factors for BE, regular aspirin use was associated with a statistically significant lower risk (OR 0.85; 95% CI: 0.72, 0.99). When restricting the definition of BE to SIM ≥1 cm, we observed a somewhat stronger inverse association (OR 0.73; 95% CI: 0.56, 0.96), whereas the association was slightly weaker for BE with or without SIM (OR 0.88; 95% CI: 0.76-1.02). For BE with any SIM, the lower risk for regular aspirin users was essentially the same when cases were restricted to those without dysplasia and when the population was restricted to women with frequent GERD symptoms (Table 2). Because acid suppressive drugs are prescribed for reflux symptoms, it is possible for the use of acid suppressive agents to be on the causal pathway between aspirin and BE rather than a confounder. Thus, we repeated our multivariate analysis without additionally adjusting for acid suppressive drugs and found that our results were not substantially different: OR for BE of any length 0.86 (95% CI 0.73, 1.00) and OR for BE ≥1 cm 0.73 (95% CI 0.56, 0.96). Additionally, in the main analysis, the effect of regular aspirin use on BE was not modified by any of the covariates in the model (all P-values > 0.13). Finally, we also estimated the population attributable risk of regular aspirin use on BE assuming a causal relationship. We found that approximately 8% (95%-CI 1%, 15%) of BE of any length could be prevented through regular aspirin use.

In secondary analyses, we further investigated the effect dose and duration on the association of aspirin with BE. The magnitude of the inverse association between aspirin use and BE with any SIM appeared to increase with higher doses (p-trend = 0.01) (Table 3). Compared to women who used zero tablets/week, the multivariable-adjusted OR was 0.91 (95% CI: 0.69, 1.20) for women taking 0.5-1.5 tablets/week, 0.92 (95% CI: 0.76, 1.11) for 2-5 tablets/week, and 0.71 (95% CI: 0.55, 0.92) for women taking ≥6 tablets/week. Risk of BE with SIM ≥1 cm did not decrease with higher aspirin doses (p-trend = 0.34), though statistical power was low to detect a trend.

| Table 2: Regular aspirin use and risk of Barrett’s esophagus in NHS women |
|-----------------------------|----------------|----------------|
|                             | Non-regular    | Regular users* |
| BE of any length             |                |                |
| No. cases                    | 345            | 322            |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)     | 1 (Reference)  | 0.96 (0.82, 1.12) |
| Multivariable ORP (95% CI)   | 1 (Reference)  | 0.85 (0.72, 0.99) |
| BE ≥1 cm                     |                |                |
| No. cases                    | 129            | 96             |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)     | 1 (Reference)  | 0.81 (0.62, 1.06) |
| Multivariable ORP (95% CI)   | 1 (Reference)  | 0.73 (0.56, 0.96) |
| BE without Dysplasia         |                |                |
| No. cases                    | 325            | 302            |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)     | 1 (Reference)  | 0.95 (0.81, 1.12) |
| Multivariable ORP (95% CI)   | 1 (Reference)  | 0.84 (0.72, 0.99) |
| BE only in GERD patients     |                |                |
| No. cases                    | 225            | 201            |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)     | 1 (Reference)  | 0.91 (0.75, 1.11) |
| Multivariable ORP (95% CI)   | 1 (Reference)  | 0.84 (0.69, 1.03) |
| Columnar Histology with or without Specialized Intestinal Metaplasia |                |                |
| No. cases                    | 387            | 372            |
| Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)     | 1 (Reference)  | 0.98 (0.85, 1.14) |
| Multivariable ORP (95% CI)   | 1 (Reference)  | 0.88 (0.76, 1.02) |

AHEI: Alternative Healthy Eating Index; BE: Barrett’s esophagus; BMI: body mass index; GERD: gastroesophageal reflux disease; NHS: Nurses’ Health Study; NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; OR: odds ratio. *Defined as 2 times/week or more.

The inverse association between aspirin use and BE with any SIM was more evident with increasing duration of use (p-trend = 0.03) (Table 4). Compared to women who were not regular aspirin users, the multivariable-adjusted OR was 0.90 (95% CI 0.67, 1.20) for women who were regular aspirin users for 1–5 years; 0.84 (95% CI 0.65, 1.09) for those who used for 6–10 years; and 0.81 (95% CI 0.67, 0.97) for women who regularly used aspirin for more than 10 years. As noted for quantity of use, risk of BE with SIM ≥1 cm did not decrease with longer duration of aspirin use (p-trend = 0.22), probably because of low power to detect a trend.

Contrary to our results for aspirin, we observed no association between regular use of other non-aspirin NSAIDs and BE (OR of 1.05; 95% CI: 0.89, 1.25 for any SIM; Table 5).

DISCUSSION
In this large prospective cohort study of women, we found that regular aspirin use was associated with a significant 15% lower risk of BE and a 27% lower risk of BE with a length ≥1 cm. Risk appeared to decline with increasing dose and duration of aspirin use. In contrast, regular use of any other NSAIDs was not associated with risk of BE. Taken together with data from other studies demonstrating an inverse association between aspirin and EAC and the development of...
dysplasia among patients with BE, these results suggest that aspirin may influence both the initiation and progression of BE.

To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the association between regular aspirin use and the onset of BE in a prospective cohort comprised exclusively of women. Our results are consistent with two prior hospital- and population-based retrospective case-control studies which also observed an inverse association between regular aspirin use and BE, especially for higher doses, but not between non-aspirin NSAIDs and BE.\(^{31,32}\)

In contrast to these results, a recent pooled analysis of data from six case-control studies in the Barrett's and Esophageal Adenocarcinoma Consortium (BEACON), found no association between regular aspirin use and BE when compared to either population or GERD controls. Similar null findings were reported for non-aspirin NSAIDs and any NSAIDs.\(^{36}\) Notably, two of the six individual studies included in the pooled analysis observed an association between aspirin use and BE.\(^{17,32}\) Several differences might serve to explain these conflicting findings, including the case-control design, the heterogeneity of aspirin ascertainment between studies, and inclusion of a predominantly male population. In contrast, our study was nested within a randomized prospective cohort study of only women. Moreover, our analysis used consistent biennially updated information on aspirin use, minimizing the likelihood of misclassification of exposure which would tend to bias results toward the null. Notably, two of the six individual studies included in the pooled analysis, one of which had nearly 30% females, observed an association between aspirin use and BE.\(^{17,32}\) Because the prevalence of BE is lower in women and appears later in life,\(^{37}\) risk factors may differ among women compared with men. Thus, the differing results between our study and the BEACON consortium may reflect a differential effect of aspirin among women compared to men.

An inverse association between aspirin use and BE development is biologically plausible. Barrett's esophagus is thought to arise from inflammation due to chronic tissue injury from reflux of acid into the distal esophagus.\(^1\) Prostaglandin-endoperoxide synthase (PTGS; also known as cyclooxygenase)-1 and 2, enzymes that mediate inflammation and regulate epithelial cell growth, are believed to play a role in the development of BE since they are overexpressed early in metaplastic tissue.\(^{69,70}\) even before any visible evidence of BE.\(^{71}\) Animal studies suggest that PTGS-2 inhibition reduces esophagitis and hence the development of columnar epithelium.\(^{72}\) By inhibiting PTGS enzymes, this may directly reduce cellular proliferation and angiogenesis, aspirin may prevent the onset of BE and its progression to EAC.\(^{17,72,73}\) Such a mechanism is consistent with convincing evidence from cohort studies and RCTs that aspirin reduces the risk of precancerous colorectal adenomas as well as CRC.\(^{12,74}\)

Consistent with prior retrospective case-control studies,\(^{31,32}\) we found that other non-aspirin NSAIDs, which also inhibit PTGS enzymes, were not associated with a reduced risk of BE. This suggests the possibility that aspirin may influence mechanisms of BE pathogenesis independent of PTGS inhibition. A recent report from Huo et al.\(^{30}\) found that esophageal epithelial cells exposed to acid or bile salts leads to a activation of NF-kB signaling and expression of caudal-related homeobox transcription factor 2, which plays a role in the metaplastic process leading to BE. Aspirin,\(^{30}\) but not non-aspirin NSAIDs,\(^{75}\) can inhibit NF-kB, which may explain the results we observe in this study.

The present study has several strengths, including a large sample size, prospective nature of the study design, and extensive information on known and putative risk factors for BE, and medical record confirmation of reports of BE. We also acknowledge some limitations. First, not all endoscopy records had information on BE length. This may lead to sampling error in a minority of cases where for example short-segment BE

---

**Table 3** Dose of aspirin use\(^a\) and risk of Barrett's esophagus in NHS women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tablets/week</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.5-1.5</th>
<th>2-5</th>
<th>≥6</th>
<th>p-trend(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>BE of any length</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. cases</td>
<td>349</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>406</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-adjusted OR (95%CI)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR(^*) (95%CI)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.64, 1.10)</td>
<td>0.53 (0.31, 0.90)</td>
<td>0.86 (0.67, 1.11)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1.13 (0.94, 1.37)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.59, 1.18)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.93, 1.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.68 (0.53, 0.88)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.55, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.59, 0.93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.71 (0.55, 0.92)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.55, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.60, 0.96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BE ≥ 1 cm</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. cases</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-adjusted OR (95%CI)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR(^*) (95%CI)</td>
<td>0.91 (0.69, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.57 (0.33, 0.98)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.72, 1.20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.92 (0.76, 1.11)</td>
<td>0.72 (0.51, 1.03)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.77, 1.12)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.71 (0.55, 0.92)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.55, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.60, 0.96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Columnar Histology with or without Specialized Intestinal Metaplasia**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>0</th>
<th>0.5-1.5</th>
<th>2-5</th>
<th>≥6</th>
<th>p-trend(^b)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. cases</td>
<td>171</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>190</td>
<td>92</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-adjusted OR (95%CI)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR(^*) (95%CI)</td>
<td>1.13 (0.94, 1.37)</td>
<td>0.83 (0.59, 1.18)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.93, 1.33)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.68 (0.53, 0.88)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.55, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.74 (0.59, 0.93)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.71 (0.55, 0.92)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.55, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.76 (0.60, 0.96)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHEI: Alternative Healthy Eating Index; BE: Barrett's esophagus; BMI: body mass index; GERD: gastroesophageal reflux disease; NHS: Nurses' Health Study; NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; OR: odds ratio.

\(^{a}\)Dose of aspirin use two years prior to index endoscopy.

\(^{b}\)Tests for trend were conducted using the median value of each category as a continuous variable.

\(^{*}\)Multivariable model adjusted for year of endoscopy (continuous), age (continuous); race (white vs. non-white); physical activity (<1.7, 1.7 to 4.5, 4.6 to 10.5, 10.6 to 22.1, and >22.1 metabolic equivalent task score per week); smoking history (never, former, current); caloric intake (kcal/day, continuous); alcohol use (<3.0, 3-15.0, and >15 g/day); menopausal hormone use (premenopausal, ever, past, current, dubious/unknown); body mass index (<22, 22-24.9, 25-28.9, 29-29.9 and >30 kg/m²); AHEI score (continuous); history of frequent GERD (yes/no); use of any anti-acid treatment (yes/no); use of any NSAID (yes/no) and a history of diabetes (yes/no).
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may have been missampled as gastric intestinal metaplasia. However, when restricting analysis to those cases with \(BE \geq 1\) cm, we observe consistent directionality of the results, and in fact a slightly stronger effect of associated with regular aspirin use, suggesting that lack of segment length data may have only biased our results towards the null. Moreover, we used a strict definition of \(BE\) (presence of specialized intestinal metaplasia) and have confirmed the diagnosis by centralized pathological review in a substantial proportion of cases. Second, as an observational study, the lack of randomization may result in the presence of unmeasured residual confounding and/or misclassification bias. Although a trial evaluating the impact of aspirin on the progression to EAC in patients with \(BE\) is currently ongoing (AspECT; Clinical Trials Identifier: NCT00357682), a RCT focused on the role of aspirin on \(BE\) incidence is not likely to be feasible. Nonetheless, these data may serve as the basis for future prevention trials in specific cases (for example preventing \(BE\) recurrence after radio-frequency ablation). Third, we cannot exclude a secular effect due to an increase in both aspirin use and endoscopy utilization over time. Fourth, aspirin may be avoided since it may lead to gastrointestinal symptoms that mimic \(GERD\), a risk factor for \(BE\). However, we observed the same prevalence of aspirin users among participants with or without \(GERD\). We also adjusted all of our models for concurrent \(GERD\) and our results remained essentially unaltered in the \(GERD\)-only subgroup. Finally, our findings may not be generalizable to other populations, since our participants were all female nurses and primarily Caucasian. However, the prevalence of risk factors for \(BE\), including aspirin use, smoking and BMI among our participants are consistent with those of the broader population of women, and the prevalence of \(BE\) and other participant characteristics in our cohorts are similar to those in other population-based registries\cite{32,76,78}.

In summary, we found an inverse association between regular aspirin use and lower risk of \(BE\) in women and that this reduction appeared to be stronger for higher doses and longer duration of regular use. These results may provide further insight into the earliest mechanisms of \(BE\) pathogenesis, suggest the possibility that aspirin prevents \(EAC\) by influencing the initiation as well as progression of esophageal neoplasia, and provide the rationale for future prevention trials in high-risk patients. Additional research into a potential role for aspirin in the chemoprevention of \(EAC\) is warranted.

### Table 4
Duration* of regular aspirin use and risk of barrett's esophagus in NHS women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Years of regular aspirin use</th>
<th>Non-regular users (0)</th>
<th>1-5</th>
<th>6-10</th>
<th>&gt;10</th>
<th>p-trend*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(BE) of any length</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. cases</td>
<td>345</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>173</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.69, 1.24)</td>
<td>0.92 (0.71, 1.18)</td>
<td>0.97 (0.81, 1.17)</td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR^2 (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>0.90 (0.67, 1.20)</td>
<td>0.84 (0.65, 1.09)</td>
<td>0.81 (0.67, 0.97)</td>
<td>0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BE \geq 1) cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. cases</td>
<td>129</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>0.70 (0.40, 1.22)</td>
<td>0.78 (0.49, 1.24)</td>
<td>0.93 (0.68, 1.28)</td>
<td>0.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR^2 (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (reference)</td>
<td>0.67 (0.38, 1.17)</td>
<td>0.73 (0.46, 1.17)</td>
<td>0.80 (0.58, 1.10)</td>
<td>0.22</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Table 5
Non-aspirin NSAID use and risk of Barrett’s esophagus in NHS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BE of any length</th>
<th>Non users</th>
<th>Users</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. cases</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>217</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1.12 (0.95, 1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR^2 (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1.05 (0.89, 1.25)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(BE \geq 1) cm</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No. cases</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age-adjusted OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1.24 (0.94, 1.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Multivariable OR^2 (95% CI)</td>
<td>1 (Reference)</td>
<td>1.18 (0.89, 1.55)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

AHEI: Alternative Healthy Eating Index; \(BE\): Barrett’s esophagus; BMI: body mass index; GERD: gastroesophageal reflux disease; NHNS: Nurses’ Health Study; NSAID: non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs; OR: odds ratio.

*Duration of regular aspirin use is two years prior to index endoscopy.

**Tests for trends were conducted using the median value of each category as a continuous variable.

\(^3\)Multivariable model adjusted for year of endoscopy (continuous); age (continuous); race (white vs. non-white); physical activity (<1.7, 1.7 to 4.5, 4.6 to 10.5, 10.6 to 22.1, and >22.1 metabolic equivalent task score per week); smoking history (never, former, current); alcohol intake (g/day, continuous); alcohol use (<5.0, 5-15.0, and >15 g/day); menopausal hormone use (premenopausal, never past, current, dubious/unknown); body mass index (< 22, 22-24.9, 25-26.9, 27-29.9 and >30 kg/m²); AHEI score (continuous); history of frequent GERD (yes/no); use of any anti-oxidant treatment (yes/no); use of any NSAID (yes/no) and a history of diabetes (yes/no).
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Study Highlights

WHAT IS CURRENT KNOWLEDGE
✓ Epidemiological studies suggest an inverse association between regular aspirin use and esophageal adenocarcinoma.
✓ Data concerning the association between aspirin and Barrett’s esophagus are scant and conflicting, especially for women.

WHAT IS NEW HERE
✓ Regular aspirin use was inversely associated with the risk of Barrett’s esophagus in women.
✓ This effect appeared to be stronger for higher doses and longer duration.
✓ In women, aspirin may be a chemopreventive agent for the earliest stages of the inflammation > metaplasia > adenocarcinoma sequence.
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