Fatty acid transport protein 4 (FATP4) prevents light-induced degeneration of cone and rod photoreceptors by inhibiting RPE65 isomerase
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Although rhodopsin is essential for sensing light for vision, it also mediates light-induced apoptosis of photoreceptors in mice. RPE65, which catalyzes isomerization of all-trans retinyl fatty acid esters to 11-cis-retinol (11cROL) in the visual cycle, controls the rhodopsin regeneration rate and photoreceptor susceptibility to light-induced degeneration. Mutations in RPE65 have been linked to blindness in affected children. Despite such importance, the mechanism that regulates RPE65 function remains unclear. Through unbiased expression screening of a bovine retinal pigment epithelium (RPE) cDNA library, we have identified elongation of very long-chain fatty acids-1 (ELOVL1) and fatty acid transport protein 4 (FATP4), which each have very long-chain fatty acid acyl-CoA synthetase (VLCPACS) activity, as negative regulators of RPE65. We found that the VLCFA derivative lignoceric (C24:0)-CoA inhibited synthesis of 11cROL, whereas palmitoyl (C16:0)-CoA promoted synthesis of 11cROL. We further found that competition of FATP4 with RPE65 for the substrate of RPE65 was also involved in the mechanisms by which FATP4 inhibits synthesis of 11cROL. FATP4 was predominantly expressed in RPE, and the FATP4-deficient RPE showed significantly higher isomerase activity. Consistent with these results, the regeneration rate of 11-cis-retinaldehyde and the recovery rate for rod light sensitivity were faster in FATP4-deficient mice than wild-type mice. Moreover, FATP4-deficient mice displayed increased accumulation of the cytotoxic all-trans retinaldehyde and hypersusceptibility to light-induced photoreceptor degeneration. Our findings demonstrate that ELOVL1, FATP4, and their products comprise the regulatory elements of RPE65 and play important roles in protecting photoreceptors from degeneration induced by light damage.
cannot synthesize 11cRDL and thereby lack functional rhodopsin (Redmond et al., 1998; Pang et al., 2005). Rpe65<sup>+/−</sup> mice show slowing of 11cRAL and rhodopsin regeneration. Either the lack or slowed regeneration of 11cRAL and rhodopsin protected photoreceptors from apoptosis induced by light (Grimm et al., 2000; Wenzel et al., 2001). In contrast, mice with higher expression levels of RPE65 exhibited hypersusceptibility to light-induced retinal degeneration (Wenzel et al., 2001).

RPE65 is highly abundant in the retinal pigment epithelium (RPE), yet its activity is significantly lower than that of other visual cycle enzymes. For instance, the V<sub>max</sub> for the lecithin-retinol acyltransferase (LRAT) in bovine RPE was 103–199 nmol/min/mg (Saari and Bredberg, 1988), whereas the V<sub>max</sub> for the isomerase was 44.3 pmol/min/mg (Winston and Rando, 1998). The mechanisms responsible for this low activity are poorly understood. One possible hypothesis is the existence of inhibitor(s) of RPE65 in RPE. Here, we identified elongation of very long-chain fatty acids-like 1 (ELOVL1) and fatty acid transport protein 4 (FATP4) as inhibitors of RPE65. We further analyzed the inhibitory mechanisms of FATP4 and the phenotypes of mice lacking FATP4 in RPE.

**Materials and Methods**

**Animals.** 129S2/Sv (Charles River Laboratories), C57BL/6J (The Jackson Laboratory), and Fatp4<sup>+/−</sup>/Jvl-Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> (Moulson et al., 2007) mice were maintained in 12 h cyclic light at 30 lux. Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup>/Jvl-Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice (shown as Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup>/hereafter) are transgenic/mutant mice expressing transgenic Fatp4 in keratinocytes (via the involucrin promoter) of a FATP4-deficient mouse line called wrinkle free, which has a spontaneous retrotransposon insertion in a coding exon of Fatp4 (Moulson et al., 2003). The skin defect-based neonatal lethality of Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> wrinkle free mice is rescued by keratinocyte-specific expression of FATP4 (Moulson et al., 2007). Because the original Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice were heterozygous for the Leu450Met variation in Rpe65, we crossed them with 129S2/Sv and C57BL/6J mice, then intercrossed the heterozygous offspring to yield Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice homozygous for the Leu450 or Met450 allele. The Leu450 and Met450 alleles were verified by DNA sequencing using Rpe65-specific primers (5′-CAGAATTGTTGAGGGAAAACCG-3′ and 5′-TACCATCTACCTCTCGACAG-3′). The Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice was confirmed by PCR as described previously (Moulson et al., 2007). 129S2/Sv and C57BL/6J mice were used as wild-type (WT) controls against age-matched Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice with Leu450 or Met450 alleles, respectively. Two- to three-month-old mice of either sex were used for the experiments unless otherwise specified. All mouse experiments were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee for LSUHSC and performed according to guidelines established by the Association for Research in Vision and Ophthalmic Statement for the Use of Animals in Ophthalmic and Vision Research.

**Cell culture and transfection.** The 293T-LRC cells (Jin et al., 2005) stably expressing LRAT (L), RPE65 (R), and the cellular retinaldehyde-dehydrogenase (RDH5) protein (C) were maintained in DMEM (Invitrogen) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS and antibiotics (100 μg/ml penicillin G and 100 μg/ml streptomycin) at 37°C <5% CO<sub>2</sub>. Transient transfection of plasmid DNA into the cells was performed using the PolyJet transfection reagent (SignaGen Laboratories).

**Screening of the RPE expression library.** Library screening was done as described previously (Jin et al., 2005). The library pool #7:3 that produced the lowest amount of 11cRAL in the transfected cells (Jin et al., 2005) was split onto 32 dishes at an average density of 39 colonies per dish for tertiary screening. Plasmid DNA was prepared using the PureLink HiPure Plasmid Kit (Invitrogen). A total of 2 μg of library DNA from each dish and 100 ng of pRPE65 were cotransfected into 293T-LRC cells grown in 6-well dishes for the retinoid isomerase assay. Cells transfected with 2 μg of pRK5 mock vector and 100 ng of pRPE65 were used as a control. Cotransfection of pRPE65 increased baseline of the isomerase activity in the cells and thereby facilitated selection of a library pool with the strongest inhibitory effect on the isomerase activity. For the final round of screening, 0.5 μg of individual clones and the same amount of pRPE65 were cotransfected into the cells grown in 12-well dishes. Thirty hours after transfection, cells were incubated with 5 μM all-trans retinol (arROL) for 16 h. Retinoids extracted from the cells were saponified and analyzed by HPLC as described previously (Jin et al., 2005).

**Expression of recombinant proteins in the S9<sub>f</sub> cells.** Baculovirus-mediated expression of recombinant RPE65, FATP4, and EGFP in S9<sub>f</sub> cells was performed as previously described (Jin et al., 2000). Briefly, cDNAs for RPE65, FATP4, and EGFP were subcloned into pBAC-1 (Novagen). These transfer vectors were then cotransfected into S9<sub>f</sub> cells with a triple-cut baculovirus DNA (Novagen) using Cellfectin (Invitrogen). The recombinant baculovirus clones expressing FATP4, RPE65, or EGFP were isolated by plaque purification. High-titer (10<sup>8</sup> plaque-forming U/ml, PFU/ml) virus stocks were generated in the S9<sub>f</sub> cells. Three days after infection with the recombinant viruses at the desired multiplicity of infection (MOI), the cells were harvested and used for enzyme assays.

**Expression levels of recombinant proteins were determined by immunoblot analysis.**

**In vitro retinoid isomerase assay.** The assay mixtures contained 10 μM arROL (or 15 μM all-trans retinyl palmitate), 6% BSA, and 100 μg of mouse RPE homogenates or 500 μg of S9<sub>f</sub> cell homogenates in 20 μl HEPES buffer. When arR was used as a substrate, 6 μM sodium cholate was added to the reaction mixture. For enzyme kinetic assays, S9<sub>f</sub> cells containing a constant amount of RPE65 plus various amounts of FATP4 or EGFP were incubated with 0.5–64 μM arR for 90 min. Retinoids were extracted with hexane and were analyzed by HPLC, as described below. The quantified data were then compared in the different inhibition models using the Enzyme Kinetics Module 1.3.

**LRAT and retinol dehydrogenase 5 (RDH5) assays in living cells.** The 293T-LRC cells grown in a 12-well dish were cotransfected with pRPE65 plus either FATP4, ELOVL1, RDH5, or pRK5 (mock vector), and were incubated with 10 μM arR for 0.5–4 h. To determine the activity of LRAT, the amount of arE synthesized in the cells was measured as described previously (Jin et al., 2007). The activity of RDH5 was determined by measuring the synthesis of 11cRAL in the cells. We converted 11cRAL to syn- and anti-retinoloxime isoformers using 150 μM hydroxylamine before extraction of retinoids from the cells. Measurement of 11cRAL was done as described previously (Jin et al., 2009).

**Analysis of retinoids.** Retinoids were analyzed by normal-phase HPLC as previously described (Mata et al., 2002). In brief, retinoids in hexane extractions were evaporated, redissolved in 100 μl of hexane, and separated on a silica column (Zorbax-Sil 5 μm, 250 × 4.6 mm, Agilent Technologies) by gradient (0.2–10% dioxane in hexane at 2.0 ml/min flow rate) or nongradient (10% dioxane in hexane at 1.0 ml/min flow rate) elution of mobile phase in an Agilent 1100 HPLC system.

**Analysis of 11cRAL and arR in the retina.** Overnight dark-adapted mice were anesthetized with an intraperitoneal injection of ketamine-xylazine mixture (200 mg of ketamine and 10 mg of xylazine per kilogram body weight) and their pupils dilated with 1% tropicamide ophthalpic solution. Mice were exposed to fluorescent light at 2000 lux for 10 or 60 min, then transferred to darkness for the indicated times. Mice were killed by cervical dislocation under anesthesia; retinoids in the retinas and RPE were separately extracted with hexane and analyzed by HPLC as described previously (Jin et al., 2009).

**Immunoblot analysis.** Proteins separated in a 10% or 12% polyacrylamide gel by SDS-PAGE were fractionated across an Immobilon-P membrane (Millipore). The membrane was incubated in blocking buffer, primary antibody, and secondary antibody as described previously.
Immunoblots were visualized with the enhanced ECL-Plus by scanning the membrane in an ImageQuant LAS4000 (GE Healthcare). The fluorescence intensity of each band was measured using ImageQuant TL software.

Electroretinography (ERG). ERGs were recorded from the corneal surface of the eye, after pupil dilation with 1% tropicamide ophthalmic solution, using a silver-silver chloride wire electrode referenced to a subcutaneous electrode in the forehead. A needle electrode in the tail served as the ground. A drop of 2% methylcellulose was placed on the cornea to prevent corneal desiccation. Scotopic ERG responses were elicited with either short duration LED flashes or a Xenon strobe delivered in a Ganzfield dome (Espion, Diagnosys) with interstimulus intervals of 0.5–2 min, depending on the stimulus intensity. Flash intensities ranged from 0.0005 to 1000 cd-s/m² in 16 steps. Two to four responses were averaged for each step. Responses were filtered using low-pass (0.15 Hz) and high-pass (100 Hz) filters. Intensity–response amplitude data were displayed on log-linear coordinates (SigmaPlot 11 software).

Light-induced retinal degeneration. 129S2/Sv and Fatp4/H11002/H11002 mice were dark-adapted for 3 d. After dilation of the pupils under dim red light (Kodak Wratten 1A), mice were exposed to 15,000 lux of white fluorescent light for 1.5 h and then kept in darkness for 5 d. Retinal degeneration was observed by spectral domain optical coherence tomography (SD-OCT) first and then analyzed by light microscopy.

Light microscopy. Retinas were prepared as described previously (Knott et al., 2011). Care was taken to orient the eyecups so that sections were obtained through the vertical meridian to insure sampling of both the superior, green-sensitive cones and the inferior, blue-sensitive cones (Szel et al., 1992). The 1-μm-thick plastic sections were contrasted with toluidine blue, coverslipped, and imaged with a Nikon DS-Ri1 digital camera attached to a Nikon Optiphot-2 microscope using a Nikon Plan 40 × oil-immersion lens and Nikon NIS Elements software version 3.0.

Immunohistochemistry. Retinal cryosections, made as described previously (Jin et al., 2009), were incubated in a blocking solution containing DMEM, 10% FBS, 2% goat serum, and 0.1% Triton X-100 for 1 h, with primary antibodies at 4°C overnight, and with secondary antibodies at room temperature for 1 h, each followed by four washes with PBS containing 0.1% Tween-20. The primary antibodies used include affinity-purified rabbit antibodies against FATP4 (Newberry et al., 2003), M-opsin, or S-opsin (Millipore) and mouse monoclonal antibodies.
against RPE65 (Millipore) or KDEL (Abcam). The secondary antibodies were AlexaFluor-488 or AlexaFluor-555 goat anti-rabbit or anti-mouse IgG (Invitrogen). Nuclei were labeled with 4',6-diamidino-2-phenylindole. Fluorescent signals were captured with a Zeiss LSM-510 Meta laser confocal microscope with a 40× oil-immersion objective.

Quantification of numbers and lengths of cone outer segments. We counted M-opsin positive outer segments (OS) along 500 μm linear regions in the superior retinas and S-opsin-positive OS along 500 μm linear regions in the inferior retinas. In all cases, these 500 μm linear regions began at the optic nerve head and extended radially toward the superior or inferior peripheral retina. We also measured cone OS lengths in the same regions.

qRT-PCR. Total RNA was extracted from mouse RPE and retinas using the Absolutely RNA Miniprep kit (Stratagene) and was reverse-transcribed to cDNA using SuperScript III (Invitrogen). qPCR was performed on an iCycler thermocycler (Bio-Rad) using a two-step qRT-PCR kit with SYBR Green (Invitrogen) and primer sets specific for FATPs and 18S rRNA (Table 1). Three mice of each genotype were analyzed, and all samples were run in duplicates. Starting templates were normalized after determining 18S rRNA, values for each sample. Relative FATP mRNA levels were determined from the ΔCt values.

Figure 2. FATP4 had no effect on synthesis of arRE and 11cRAL but inhibited synthesis of 11cROL. A, RDH5, but not FATP4 or ELOVL1, oxidized 11cROL to 11cRAL. The 293T-LRC cells transfected with the indicated plasmids were incubated with arROL. The 11cRAL in the cells was converted to syn- and anti-retinaloxime isomers by hydroxylamine treatment and was measured by HPLC. B, LRET assay showing synthesis of arRE from arROL incubated for the indicated times with the cells transfected with pRK5 (control) or FATP4 plasmid. C, Dose-dependent inhibition of 11cROL synthesis by FATP4 in living cells. Synthesis of 11cROL (left y-axis) and arRE (right y-axis) from arROL in 293T-LRC cells transfected with the indicated amounts of FATP4 plasmid were measured by HPLC. A representative immunoblot shows expression levels of FATP4 in the transfected cells. D, In vitro isomerase assay showing synthesis of 11cROL from arRF substrate incubated with homogenates of S9P cells infected with the indicated recombinant baculoviruses. A representative immunoblot shows similar expression levels of RPE65 in the baculovirus-infected cells. Error bars indicate SD (n = 4).

Results
Expression cloning of inhibitors for RPE65 isomerase

In our previous study (Jin et al., 2005), we observed that the amount of 11cROL in 293T-LRC cells transfected with bovine RPE expression library pool #7:3 was significantly smaller than that of control cells (Fig. 1B). One possible explanation for this small amount of 11cROL is that the pool #7:3 may contain a gene(s) that inhibits synthesis of 11cROL. To clone this unknown inhibitor(s), we split this pool #7:3, which contains ~650 clones, into 32 subpools each containing ~39 clones. We transfected 293T-LRC cells with plasmids from the 32 subpools plus pRPE65 for the next round of screening. We then measured the synthesis of 11cROL from arROL added to the cell media. Cells transfected with subpool #7:3:24 contained the smallest amount of 11cROL (Fig. 1C). We isolated 60 single clones from this subpool for the final screening. Cells transfected with 7 individual clones contained relatively smaller amounts of 11cROL (Fig. 1G). DNA sequencing and database search revealed that clones #4 and #35 were identical to the bovine ELOVL1 cDNA (gene ID: 540348) and the bovine FATP4/Skl27a4 cDNA (gene ID: 781099), respectively; clones #11 and #22 were identical to the bovine RDH5 (gene ID: 281448); and clones #7, #14, and #37 were identical to the bovine 26S proteasome non-ATPase regulatory subunit-13 (PSMD13, gene ID: 513525).

FATP4 and ELOVL1 had no effect on oxidation of 11cROL and esterification of arROL

Because RDH5 has been shown to catalyze oxidation of 11cROL to 11cRAL (Simon et al., 1995), we measured the amounts of 11cRAL and 11cROL in 293T-LRC cells cotransfected with pRPE65 plus either RDH5, ELOVL1, FATP4, or pRK5 (control) plasmids. Consistent with the screening result (Fig. 1G), the amount of 11cROL in the RDH5-cotransfected cells was ~10% of those in the pRK5-cotransfected control cells. In contrast, the amount of 11cRAL in the RDH5-cotransfected cells was nearly ninefold higher than that in the control cells (Fig. 2A), indicating that the small amount of 11cROL in the RDH5-cotransfected cells was the result of oxidation of 11cROL to 11cRAL by RDH5. On the other hand, the amounts of 11cRAL in the ELOVL1- or
FATP4-cotransfected cells were similar to those in the pRK5-cotransfected control cells (Fig. 2A), indicating that neither ELOVL1 nor FATP4 catalyzed oxidation of 11cROL to 11cRAL.

Although arRP is a proven substrate of RPE65, its strong hydrophobicity prompted us to add arRP into the media of 293T-LRC cells as a substrate. Therefore, synthesis of 11cROL in this assay consisted of two enzymatic reactions: the first was synthesis of 11C5- or FATP4-catalyzed oxidation of 11C5 to 11C5-LRC, whereas the second was the isomerization of arRP to 11cROL by RPE65 (Fig. 1A). We therefore tested whether FATP4 inhibited LRAT activity. As shown in Figure 2B, the amounts of arRP in the FATP4-transfected cells were similar to those in the control cells transfected with pRK5 mock plasmid. We then analyzed the dose-dependent effect of FATP4 on the synthesis of 11cROL. As shown in Figure 2C, synthesis of 11cROL in the cells decreased as FATP4 expression levels increased (Fig. 2C, left y-axis), whereas synthesis of arRP was not significantly changed as FATP4 increased (Fig. 2C, right y-axis, p > 0.05). These results suggest that FATP4 inhibited 11cROL synthesis catalyzed by RPE65. To confirm this result, we performed an in vitro isomerase assay using arRP as the substrate. Homogenates of S9 cells expressing RPE65 plus EGFP synthesized ~11 pmol of 11cROL from arRP, whereas homogenates of S9 cells expressing RPE65 plus FATP4 synthesized only ~4.3 pmol of 11cROL (Fig. 2D). Expression levels of RPE65 in these two groups of cells were similar (Fig. 2D), indicating that FATP4 inhibited RPE65-catalyzed synthesis of 11cROL.

Substrate competition and VCLFA-ACS product are involved in the FATP4-mediated inhibition of RPE65

To define the mechanisms by which FATP4 inhibits synthesis of 11cROL, we assayed isomerase activity in 293T-C cells transfected with a combination of a series of LRAT amounts plus a constant amount of RPE65 and either FATP4 or pRK5. Synthesis of 11cROL in the cells expressing RPE65 and FATP4 was significantly lower compared with that of the control cells (Fig. 3A). Synthesis of 11cROL was reduced ~60% by FATP4 in the cells transfected with 20 ng of LRAT, whereas it was reduced only ~35% by FATP4 in the cells transfected with 120 ng of LRAT (Fig. 3B), suggesting that LRAT suppressed FATP4-mediated inhibition of RPE65. This result can be explained by a competition between FATP4 and RPE65 for RPE65’s substrate, arRP, which is synthesized by LRAT. To test this possibility, we performed an in vitro assay using a series of increasing amounts of arRP and S9 cells expressing RPE65 plus EGFP or FATP4. As expected, the inhibition of 11cROL synthesis by FATP4 was significantly reduced as arRP increased (Fig. 3C,D). These results suggest that FATP4 competes with RPE65 for RPE65’s substrate.
To further analyze the inhibitory mechanisms, we measured the inhibition rate using Sf9 cell homogenates containing different amounts of FATP4 (Fig. 3F) in the presence of varying amounts of a tRP substrate. Unexpectedly, the Lineweaver–Burk plot for the assay data did not fit a typical competitive inhibition model (Fig. 3E). Instead, the data showed that FATP4 functions as a mixed-type inhibitor of RPE65.

Both FATP4 and ELOVL1 have very long-chain fatty acid acyl-CoA synthetase (VLCFA-ACS) activity, with the highest activity toward saturated VLCFAs (22:0–24:0). We therefore tested whether their products can inhibit isomerase activity. As shown in Figure 3G, palmitoyl (C16:0)-CoA promoted synthesis of 11cROL, whereas lignoceroyl (C24:0)-CoA inhibited synthesis of 11cROL, suggesting that products of FATP4 and ELOVL1 can negatively regulate synthesis of 11cROL catalyzed by RPE65.

**FATP4 is the predominant FATP in RPE**

FATP4 is one of six members (FATP1-FATP6) of an integral transmembrane protein family, each of which shows different tissue expression patterns. To begin to define the roles of FATP4 in regulation of the visual cycle in vivo, we analyzed expression of FATP4 in the retina and RPE. Immunoblot analysis showed that FATP4 was expressed strongly in the WT RPE but very weakly in the retina (Fig. 4A). In contrast, FATP4 was undetectable in the Fatp4−/− RPE and retina (Fig. 4A). Immunohistochemistry confirmed that FATP4 was predominantly expressed in the WT mouse RPE (Fig. 4B). Moreover, qRT-PCR demonstrated that FATP4 is the predominant FATP in RPE (Fig. 4D).

To inhibit RPE65 in a competitive fashion, at least some FATP4 and RPE65 proteins should colocalize in RPE. We therefore tested this possibility by immunohistochemistry using antibodies against FATP4 and RPE65 and found that a majority of the FATP4 was colocalized with RPE65 in the WT RPE (Fig. 4B). A previous study showed that RPE65 is associated with the smooth ER (Sagara and Hirosawa, 1991). FATP4 has an ER localization signal and localizes to the ER in cultured cells (Milger et al., 2006). We therefore tested whether FATP4 localizes to the ER of mouse RPE. Immunocytochemistry and confocal microscopy revealed that a majority of FATP4 colocalized with an ER marker (Fig. 4C), indicating that FATP4 is associated with the ER.

**Hyperisomerase activity and fast 11cRAL regeneration in Fatp4−/− mice**

To test whether FATP4 inhibits RPE65 function in vivo, we compared synthesis of 11cROL in RPE homogenates from WT and
Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice. As shown in Figure 5A, B, the amount of 11cROL synthesized by Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> RPE was 24–30% higher than that synthesized by WT RPE. We tested whether this higher isomerase activity in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> RPE was the result of upregulation of RPE65. Immunoblot analysis showed that the expression level of RPE65 in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> RPE was similar to that in the WT RPE (Fig. 5C), indicating that FATP4 inhibited synthesis of 11cROL without impacting RPE65 expression. To determine whether FATP4 delays the regeneration rate of 11cRAL, we measured the contents of 11cRAL in the retinas of WT and Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice kept in darkness for different times after photobleaching their visual pigments. The 11cRAL level in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> retinas increased more quickly compared with that in WT (Fig. 5D), indicating that FATP4 slows down the visual cycle.

Fast dark adaptation in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice
To confirm the result shown in Figure 5, we recorded the recovery rate of rod light sensitivity in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice. Overnight dark-adapted C57BL/6J and Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice displayed similar ERG responses to a series of flash stimuli (Fig. 6A–C). However, Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice kept in darkness for short times after photobleaching displayed higher b-wave amplitudes compared with WT mice (Fig. 6D). This result is consistent with the finding that the b-wave recovery in BALB/c mice with a higher expression level of RPE65 was faster than that in C57BL/6J mice with a lower expression level of RPE65 (Nusinowitz et al., 2003), indicating that FATP4 negatively regulates the recovery rate of rod function.

FATP4 is necessary to prevent rod and cone degeneration induced by intense light
Previous studies have shown that RPE65 regulates light-induced retina degeneration (Danciger et al., 2000; Wenzel et al., 2001). We therefore tested whether Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice exhibit higher susceptibility to intense light. Before exposing to intense light, 129S2/Sv and Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice showed similar retinal structure and thickness in SD-OCT imaging (Fig. 7A). After exposing to 15,000 lux light for 1.5 h, however, Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice, but not WT mice, showed severe retinal (mainly rod) degeneration by both SD-OCT and light microscopy (Fig. 7B, C). Superior outer nuclear layer in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> retinas was reduced in thickness to 6–8 nuclei versus 9–11 nuclei in WT retinas (Fig. 7D). Immunoblot analysis confirmed that rhodopsin content was reduced in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice after intense light exposure (Fig. 7E). Because aRAL is a cytotoxic effector in light-induced retinal degeneration (Maeda et al., 2009), we measured the content of aRAL in the retinas. As shown in Figure 7F, retinas of Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice exposed to 2000 lux light for 1 h contained ~26% higher aRAL compared with WT mice under the same lighting condition.

Previous studies have shown that intense light triggers cone photoreceptor death in rat (Cortina et al., 2003). To test whether cones also degenerated in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice exposed to intense light, we stained retinal sections with antibodies against M-opsin or S-opsin. Before intense light exposure, 129S2/Sv and Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice had similar numbers and structure of M- and S-cone photoreceptor outer segments (Fig. 8A). Peanut agglutinin staining confirmed that numbers and length of cone outer segment sheathes in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> retinas were similar to those in WT retina (Fig. 8A). After exposing to intense light, however, Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice had a significantly smaller number of M- and S-opsin-positive outer segments compared with WT mice (Fig. 8B, C). Lengths of M- and S-cone outer segments in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice were also shorter than those of WT mice (Fig. 8B, D). Consistent with these results, M- and S-opsin levels in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> retinas were ~70% of those in WT retinas (Fig. 8E, F).

**Discussion**

The purpose of this study was to identify and characterize previously unknown inhibitor(s) of RPE65, which controls the rate-limiting step of the visual cycle and retinal susceptibility to light-induced degeneration. Through an unbiased screening of a bovine RPE cDNA library, we isolated FATP4, ELOVL1, PSMD13, and RDH5 as candidate negative regulators of RPE65 (Fig. 1G).

RDH5 is a stereospecific RDH that catalyzes oxidation of 11cROL to 11cRAL (Simon et al., 1995). As predicted, the reduced levels of 11cROL in the RDH5-transfected cells (Fig. 1G) was the result of oxidation of 11cROL to 11cRAL by RDH5 (Fig. 2A). We therefore conclude that RDH5 is not an inhibitor of RPE65. Although RDH5 is not an inhibitor of RPE65, our results
help to explain why the isomerase activity in bovine RPE microsomes is very low. RDH5 is a membrane-bound protein. A majority of bovine RDH5 is associated with smooth ER in RPE (Simon et al., 1999), indicating that RDH5 should be present in RPE microsomes. This subcellular localization suggests that the low amount of 11cROL in the isomerase assay of bovine RPE microsomes (Winston and Rando, 1998) is partially the result of oxidation of 11cROL to 11cRAL by RDH5.

PSMD13 is a non-ATPase regulatory subunit of proteasome whose main function is to degrade proteins. PSMD13 promoted degradation of RPE65 (our unpublished observations). Therefore, PSMD13 might reduce the synthesis of 11cROL by promoting degradation of RPE65.

Both FATP4 and ELOVL1 are involved in the metabolism of saturated and monounsaturated LCFA and VLCFA. FATP4 is a 72 kDa protein with an N-terminal transmembrane domain, an ER localization signal, and ATP/AMP and FATP motifs. It mediates uptake of LCFA and VLCFA and plays a central role in epidermal barrier formation (Moulson et al., 2003). FATP4 exhibits low palmitoyl-CoA and high lignoceroyl-CoA synthetase activities (Hall et al., 2005). ELOVL1 is a 32 kDa protein with 5 putative membrane-spanning domains and a C-terminal ER retrieval signal (Tvrdik et al., 2000). Like other elongases, ELOVL1 catalysis is the first of four steps in the VLCFA elongation cycle. It exhibits activity toward saturated C18 to C26 acyl-CoA substrates, with the highest activity toward C22:0 acyl-CoA. It is also essential for synthesis of C24:0 and C24:1 sphingolipids.

Similar to the control cells transfected with pRK5, cells transfected with FATP4 or ELOVL1 plasmids synthesized trace amounts of 11cRAL (Fig. 2A), suggesting that these two proteins
did not catalyze oxidation of 11cROL to 11cRAL. Also, these two proteins did not inhibit synthesis of a tRE (Fig. 2B, C). However, synthesis of 11cROL was significantly inhibited by these two proteins (Fig. 1G), suggesting that the action points of FATP4 and ELOVL1 are on the RPE65-catalyzed all-trans to 11-cis-isomerization. The in vitro isomerase assay with a tRP substrate confirmed that FATP4 inhibited isomerization of a tRP to 11cROL (Fig. 3), indicating that FATP4 is a negative regulator of RPE65.

Reportedly, the dissociation constants (K_D) of RPE65 for a tRP, farnesyl palmitate, and all-trans retinyl acetate (a tRAc) are 47 ± 3 nM, 63 ± 1 nM, and 1300 ± 42 nM, respectively (Maiti et al., 2005), indicating that the palmitic acyl (PA) moiety of a tRP and farnesyl palmitate are important for their binding with RPE65. FATP4 can bind PA and palmitoyl-CoA (Stahl et al., 1999), suggesting that FATP4 may compete with RPE65 for binding to RPE65. Because the fatty acyl moiety of all-trans retinyl lignocerate is much longer than that of a tRP, all-trans retinyl lignocerate is not a preferred substrate for RPE65, but it could compete with a tRP for the hydrophobic pocket containing the catalytic iron atom in RPE65 (Kiser et al., 2009). Therefore, FATP4-mediated inhibition of RPE65 may include two mechanisms: (1) FATP4 competes with RPE65 for the hydrophobic pocket containing the catalytic iron atom in RPE65; and (2) all-trans retinyl lignocerate and/or lignoceroyl-CoA synthesized by FATP4 competes with arRP for binding to RPE65. In any event, our findings suggest that FATP4, and ELOVL1, and VLCFA comprise regulatory elements of the visual cycle and could be new therapeutic targets for degenerative diseases associated with an aberrant visual cycle.

Still, the significantly lower activity of the retinoid isomerase in RPE microsomes cannot be explained completely by the results presented above and may involve the following mechanisms. First, all-trans to 11-cis-isomerization is a thermodynamically
uphill reaction (Deigner et al., 1989). Second, other inhibitory factors are present in RPE microsomes. The FATP and ELOVL families contain 6 and 7 members, respectively. A previous study showed that FATP1 inhibited synthesis of 11-ROL (Guignard et al., 2010). Although the effects of other family members on the synthesis of 11-ROL have not yet been studied, their similarities in subcellular location and enzymatic nature suggest that at least some of the members may inhibit synthesis of 11-ROL. Last, a positive regulator(s) may be lost from RPE microsomes. Lopes et al. (2011) recently found that MYO7A is required for normal localization and function of RPE65. MYO7A is a cytoplasmic protein and thus may be not present in the microsome fraction, although it is required for RPE65 function.

A spontaneous mutant mouse line called wrinkle free (wrfr) was identified by positional cloning to have a retrotransposon insertion in the Fatp4 gene (Moulson et al., 2003). The wrfr mice show neonatal death resulting from severe skin defects and asphyxia. Moulson et al. (2007) rescued the lethality by expressing FATP4 solely in keratinocytes via a transgene. FATP4 was not detectable in the rescued transgenic mouse retina and RPE (Fig. 4A), indicating that this transgenic mouse line (shown as Fatp4+/H11002/H11002 in this study) is a good model for studying the role of FATP4 in vision. The visual cycle phenotypes, including the hyperisomerase activity, the faster chromophore regeneration, and dark-adaptation rates in Fatp4+/− mice (Figs. 5 and 6) demonstrate that FATP4 negatively regulates the visual cycle by inhibiting RPE65. These results also indicate that our

Figure 8. FATP4 prevents degeneration of cone photoreceptors induced by intense light. A, Immunohistochemistry with an antibody against M-opsin (M-o) or S-opsin (S-o) shows similar lengths and numbers of cone outer segments in 129S2/Sv (WT) and Fatp4−/− superior retinas before intense light exposure (ILE). Fluorescein–peanut agglutinin (PNA) staining confirms similar lengths and numbers of cone photoreceptor matrix sheaths in WT and Fatp4−/− superior retinas. B, Degeneration of M- and S-cone photoreceptors in Fatp4−/− mice after intense light exposure (ILE). B1, B2, Immunostaining of S- and M-opsin in the superior retinas of WT and Fatp4−/− mice. B3, B4, Immunostaining of S- and M-opsin in the inferior retinas of WT and Fatp4−/− mice. ONL, Outer nuclear layer; INL, inner nuclear layer. C, Average numbers of M- or S-opsin-positive cells in a superior retinal region from the optic nerve to 500 μm. *Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05). Error bars indicate SD (n = 4). D, Average length of M- and S-cone outer segments in the same retina regions described in C. E, Immunoblot analysis of M- and S-opsin in WT and Fatp4−/− retinas exposed to intense light. Tubulin (tub) was used to normalize sample loading. F, Densitometry analysis of the immunoblots in E to quantitate relative contents of M- and S-opsin in WT and Fatp4−/− retinas. *Statistically significant difference (p < 0.05). Error bars indicate SD (n = 3).
library screening is a useful method to identify new regulator(s) of the visual cycle.

The rod and cone photoreceptors in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice exhibited significantly increased susceptibility to light-induced degeneration (Figs. 7 and 8), indicating that FATP4 is essential for preventing retinal degeneration induced by light damage. Distinct susceptibilities of retinas to light damage were found in mice with a mutation in the Rpe65 gene (Danciger et al., 2000; Grimm et al., 2000; Wenzel et al., 2001) and have identified rhodopsin, whose regeneration rate depends on the visual cycle rate, as the mediator of light-induced photoreceptor apoptosis (Grimm et al., 2000). The 129/Ola strain with the Leu450 allele of Rpe65 exhibits higher susceptibility to light damage compared with C57BL/6J and B6; 129S (N2) mice harboring the Met450 allele of Rpe65 (Wenzel et al., 2001). This hypersusceptibility in the 129/Ola strain reflects its higher expression of RPE65 (Wenzel et al., 2001). Conversely, many factors or treatments that reduce RPE65 activity or expression reduced photoreceptor degeneration induced by intense light (Sieving et al., 2001; Maeda et al., 2006; Lopes et al., 2011).

Based on these previous studies and our results, we conclude that Fatp4 mutations reduce photoreceptor degeneration induced by intense light (Sieving et al., 2001; Maeda et al., 2006; Lopes et al., 2011). Specifically, this study shows that photoreceptor degeneration caused by intense light is increased in mice lacking Fatp4, whereas photoreceptors of Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice contain a higher content of arA<sup>−</sup>RAL compared to Fatp4<sup>+/+</sup> controls. The increased accumulation of arA<sup>−</sup>RAL in Fatp4<sup>−/−</sup> mice is associated with reduced arA<sup>−</sup>RAL activity and increased RPE65 activity, which cause increased photoreceptor degeneration induced by intense light.

Recently, mutations in the human FATP4 gene have been identified in patients with ichthyosis prematurity syndrome (Klar et al., 2009; Sobol et al., 2011), a recessive disorder characterized by premature birth, thick caseous desquamating epidermis, and neonatal asphyxia (Bygum et al., 2008). These reports did not mention visual problems in the patients. The lack of significant vision impairment could be the result of (1) the functional redundancy of FATP family members, (2) the relatively strong resistance of human retina to light damage, and (3) the patients described are newborn or children. Because A2E accumulates in P<sub>70</sub>:S71–S74). CrossRef Medline


