

It besides can be, has nowhere taken notice
of the Flexibility or Dilatability of Arteries.
Savages speaking of the Dilatability of Arteries
observes with what Weights they are extended, &
with what they are Broken. yet you will find
these not to be in proportion. Within the Bounds
of a force that does not dilate them and does
not break them x

Thirdly we are to speak
of the Figure or form of the Arteries; you
consider that in a transverse Section, they ^{are} ~~are~~
where circular, in opposition to Asclepiades and
Des, Cartes, who supposed vessels of different shapes
and adapted to matters of different Figures; I say
they must all assume a circular shape. Hal-
ler is too minute in hinting that D. Maxims
circular section might not perhaps always
happen, to assure us there be a Bony Canal
we must expect a difference in this respect.
A transverse section of the longitudinal sinus
of the Brain, has accordingly, a triangular shape

but that is singular and no where else the case. 30.
We think Arteries ~~are~~ cylindrical. Former Phy-
sicians, thought it answered their purpose
to make them of a conical Figure, but in
that it makes no odds whether they be so
or not. Anatomists have now agreed pretty
universally to their being cylindrical, and the
same purposes in Physiology equally arise from
either Figure. Haller is not very clear on this
head. Betwixt any two Branches they are un-
doubtedly cylindrical. You may consider them
as a cone in the Deceit sense of all their Bran-
ches. Haller says l. XXVI. "Ubi vero arteria ali-
quantum sine majoribus Ramis sunt, parum
evidenter convergunt, aut omnino nihil quid-
quam, diinde cylindricæ sunt, vel lentissime im-
mituta, ubi capillares vocant, & quæcunque ubi
globos patent?" You see a sort of hesitation he
is in, in determining this part. But instead
of considering the Arteries as conical, there is
more application in considering them as an
inverted cone. In any ramification the capacity
of any two Branches always exceeds that of their

36. Trunks, at the same time there are particular exceptions, and the arteries are sometimes manifestly dilated 'est ubi dilatari videntur,' and always so before they are divided into Branches. "paulum latescit. XXXVI.

We must not consider the Proportion; as it is constantly varying, I think the particular Proportion is not, even in a gross way, tolerably settled. It is universally agreed to what is asserted in the present P.

"Ramosum duorum junctum sumpto dumina semper majora sunt Trunci dumine" but that it is so exactly in the proportion of one and a half as Haller thinks, is not so certain. You may consult the Elementa and will find that no two of the measures employed, agree, and I beg you to be aware of rashly giving credit to any of them. There is a doubt if Injection fills them in the same proportion as the Action of the Heart. But supposing this as the Arteries are dilated at their Ramifications, and as these last are frequently a

small variation in the measuring will give 39 a very difficult Result in the whole Calculation, when you take Numbers that are adapted to be squared. I shall speak more fully of this in determining how the Velocity of the Blood is affected by it, and shall after have occasion to say what are the Errors of Dr. Hartens Measures. When a very moderate Velocity of the Bloods Motion is required, we see that the Cavity must be greater; Haller adds "that in the Capillary Arteries the contrary takes place." I can not conceive how he finds out that he takes his observations from the assistance of the Microscope. But if the extreme Arteries are perfectly or nearly cylindrical, every Branch as it is to be united with the preceding Trunk, and to be compared with the ^{whole} from whence that Branch arose it must constantly be greater. I allude as a matter of demonstration, that it can not be contradicted by Microscopes, therefore it must still be concluded

38 That the size of the Branches is greater than that of their common Trunk. Lastly, with regard to the course of the Arteries, it is scarce any where in a straight line, but frequently & constantly winding. The Ramifications are also frequent, & the Flexions more numerous where the Ramifications take place. Further the Ramifications are sent off from their respective Trunks at various Angles, most at an acute, few at a right one. none go off at the first at an obtuse Angle tho' their after-Flexion sometimes becomes very obtuse. The larger branches then very generally go off at the smallest angles, and it is only the smaller that go off at right, or nearly at right angles. When two Branches have proceeded from their respective Trunks, there is commonly a Communication, which makes the Anastomoses of Branches, and is most frequent in the small Arteries, and so much so that the distinction of Trunks & Branch among them is extremely difficult. Anastomoses seldom

or never take place in the larger Arteries. 39 P. XXXVII. "Fines Arteriarum cylindricas cylindricas — — — Anastomose fact?" Haller says, that in all the Membranes that a Network takes place; this is an Idea that he particularly inculcates, and proceeds to the Application in the same Paragraph. But this which is the common state in the Membranes of the Body is not so in the Visera, and therefore you should compare this with P. XXXX. The Arteries of the Body are very generally double, as Haller observes P. XXXVIII. The Aorta descendens gives out Arteries to either side, which supply blood to their respective sides only. The question is how far the Arteries of the one side pass over to the other; there are Phenomena affecting one side more than the other that give occasion to this question. I may say that the Arteries do not pass over from one side to the other, for in Injection one half has been found injected while

100 the other is not much touched. There remains
in the course of the Arteries their Universality
to be enquired into. Haller in P. XXXV. Arterias
Naturæ totæ corpori animali dedit,
paucis Membranis exceptis, in quibus non-
dum sunt ostensæ. If that is true there
are many parts of the body which our In-
jections do not reach; and tho' there are not
red Arteries seen, yet certain it is that every
the smallest part of the Body carries fluids
from the Heart, and therefore no part of the
Body is without arteries of some kind or other.

Lect. XX. Dec. 9th

Sixthly, the various Terminations of Arteries
is to be spoke of P. XXXIX. and following.

The first is into a Vein in a reflected situa-
tion, or a Branch of an extreme Artery is by
an Anastomosis inserted into a Vein al-
ready formed thereof. There is another Ter-
mination where they pour red Blood either
into cellular substances, or cavity as in the

Corpora cavernosa Penis, Clitoridis &c. But 101
as in the Uterus an artery does not terminate
in a cavity unless it pours red Blood. Further
when these Arteries are branched off into ves-
sels that carry pellucid Fluids, these Branches
have been called ~~have been called~~ serous lymphat-
ics. But as we are uncertain of a Foundation
for a distinction, tis better with Haller to
call them "Vasa minorum generum".

A fourth Termination is in common to
red Arteries and those of minorum generum,
which carry fluids different from the com-
mon Mass, but carry fluids some what dif-
ferent from both of these and are called Organs
of Excretion. But between the Arteries and
Excretories are the Secretories; this fourth
Termination therefore I would call into
secretory vessels, which again will admit
of various subdivision, as they pour out the
humors into the various cavities of the Body
or to the Surface of it, tho' whether this is
in the form of Vapour or Liquid relates to an-

102 other Subject. The whole Termination of Arteries may be reduced to these four Heads, the last admitting indeed, of various Subdivisions. In P. XXXIV. & XXXV. he answers in the Affirmative, and does not doubt but that Arteries have the third kind of Termination into Vessels of pellucid fluids, and carry fluids thinner than the common Mass. It is not necessary to determine any Figure, but that these are very universally Vessels of the second order, is not to be doubted. Martin has calculated the size of Blood globules of the first order, but we now question even the Division into the second order. Haller observes that Anatomists & Physiologists are willing to indulge themselves, in supposing that the Division goes to a great Degree of subtilty. But in P. LXV. he denies very properly that they go all the Lengths they have been imagined. When we can perceive the red Globules, and not pressed into Vessels that are ulimate, the secretory & excretory, there is reason to believe that there is no intermediate series

of Vessels. In some the Division may go to 100 a second order, in some perhaps to a third, fourth or fifth. Haller has in View, the Error of Boerhaave, which will be spoke of in another place.

Veins

The substance of the Veins is remarkably thinner than that of the Arteries, and not so easily divided into layers, or coats. In most or all of them, at a little distance from the rise are no separate Layers. In the Veins which terminate in the heart there is evidently a more muscular Structure than in the muscular Coats of the Arteries, hence that Portion has been called the Sinus Veno-
sus, & supra Cor ———— incompunctur. Under this thinness & seeming laxity the Muscular coats are more apparent than those of the Arteries. ———— firmiores, they are too, firmer in Proportion as they recede from the Heart, but in certain Veins, a superior firmness to that of the Arteries does not appear.
Hæcilius ———— incompunctur. Doubt

108 that we can safely conclude from the morbo-
sa Experiments, this may be, and I believe there
are certain Circumstances that dispose them to
be peculiarly affected. As to their compara-
tive strength, Varices are more common
in the smaller Veins toward the Extre-
mities. It is not but that the proportional
strength of Arteries and Veins is as said,
but the force will be exerted in the begin-
nings of Veins and Arteries that tend to
rupture. We find the Arteries constantly
increasing in Density and strength, while
the Veins continue in their original state
and thus perhaps gives occasion to some dif-
ference, in the Equilibrium of their Action.
But the Experiments on Arteries and Veins
are still too few, and don't distinguish
between flexibility and force of Cohesion.
If this were examined we should find the
Veins have a superior force of Cohesion
with their superior Flexibility. The force

of Veins are much more dilatable than the ¹⁰⁹
Veins of the same size. Now what was said
with regard to the circular section, general
figure &c of Arteries may all be applied
to the Veins, but you will remember that
I talk of them in replete Canals. Their
Size is much larger than that of Arteries
and their number also greater. The bourse
of the Veins in general is less flexible and
winding, and their branches more common-
ly go off at right angles than those of the Ar-
teries; Anastomoses are also much more frequent
in the larger Veins than the large Arteries, &
their communications on opposite sides much
more considerable. The consideration of Valves
I leave to Anatomy. There now only remain
their various origin to be spoke of; it is of three
kinds. First, from red ^{veins} Arteries in the two
ways mentioned. Secondly, from the Vasa
minorum generum. and Thirdly, from Absorbent
Extremities; of this there is no doubt.

106 As to the most considerable absorbents which
terminate in the lymphatic Vessels, I need say
nothing; nor need I point out how far Haller
is behind us in this respect as in P.E. —

You know that they do not terminate in
the Veins, but take another course by the lym-
phatics. But it is probable that our late in-
ventors of lymphatic absorbents, have pushed
the matter too far in denying Absorbents of
any other kind. It might be expected that I
should take notice of the course of the Veins, &
especially those of the Abdominal viscera;
but this I refer to the circulation. —

Lymphatics

That these arise from Absorbents is suffi-
ciently explained; to be referred to the circula-
tion likewise; the

Heart.

Itself now only remains, to be spoke of; with
regard to it there is room for a great Deal of
of Anatomical Discussion; but as it is purely
Anatomical, its Situation with regard to
the lungs, Diaphragm &c. don't belong to us

Concerning the Heart there is much and very
minute Anatomy, and every part of it is
usefull in the Pathology. But I shall pass
every thing that is not necessary. The Heart
is a hollow Viscus, consisting of four Ap-
pends called its auricles, and ventricles which com-
municate with each other, beside which the Ven-
tricles communicate with Arteries and the
Auricles with Veins. There are valves also
which admit the blood from the veins
to the auricles, others, which allow it to
pass from the Auricles to the Ventricles,
and others which give it passage from
the Ventricles, to the Arteries, but they
obstruct its passage in the contrary way.
The two Ventricles are applied to each o-
ther, tho separated by a muscular partition.
Both Auricles and Ventricles, are sur-
rounded by a Mass of muscular Fibres.
They in general so surround, the Ventricles
and auricles, that they necessarily diminish the

108 cavity within, and with the assistance of
an equal internal surface, they demonstrate
the cavity all together, and lastly these Fi-
bres are fitted by evident Muscular struc-
ture, and they perhaps are endow'd with a
peculiar contractility of which we shall speak
hereafter.

Course of the Fluids

This is so inseparably connected with ana-
tomy, that I should, think it almost im-
proper to give any description of it in this
place, however, I shall do it very briefly.
I have said there is a circle, but it is dif-
ficult to say where it begins, we shall first
take the right Ventricle, which throws its
Blood into the Pulmonary Artery; by this it
is distributed to every part of the Lungs, &
is returned by the Pulmonary Veins to the
left Auricle, from this it passes into the
left ventricle, and then to the Aorta by
which it is distributed, to every part of the
Body, but the Lungs; from the Extremities of
the Aorta it passes into Veins, which run

109 together as they come towards the Heart
and form the Vena cava, from this it
is poured into the right Auricle, and by
that into the right Ventricle, where we
began. The Course thro' the Heart is proved
by the Valves, the direction of which, admit
of no other in sound Bodies. The course in
the Arteries being from their beginnings
to their extremities, is in some measure proved
by their course from the Heart, and still more
clearly by Ligatures, unless where these
Anastomoses which are rare and uncommon.

The same determination of Arteries, and the same
experiments of Ligature equally prove, and an ad-
ditional proof from valves admitting fluids to the
Heart and not commonly backwards. Next that
the blood passes from Arteries into Veins is shown
by Microscopes, and Injections, which frequently
pass into the corresponding veins. The effects of
Wounds, the Hemorrhages that follow, from
small vessels exhausting the whole system,
and the transfusion of the blood of one animal

110 into the Vessels of another while on life, and often without disturbing the Animal that received it. Another set of experiments, the opening of Vessels and infusing various Liquors, wth observed the motion of the Blood. Haller in his large work has stretched his reasoning in this particular, too far, for he supposes the infused matter, to be brought to that part of the system where its effects appear; But it does not go so far, for by being carried to the Heart, brain &c, ^{but may} the Nerves produce effects in parts which it does not properly reach. I shall now only add that I have described the course, and given the proofs of what is more ordinary; There are some Phenomena which would make it appear that the motion of the Blood is sometimes retrograde, but this is local, topical, momentary, and morbid. As to the return of the venous Blood, its motion in the Head, its being thrown out into the Corpora Caverosa Penis, its being taken up by absorbents terminating in lymphatics

and certain peculiarities appearing in them fetus in utero, all these shall be taken notice of hereafter.

Lect: XXII December 10th,

Having described the ordinary course of the circulation, (which is performed in a continued motion) as far as is sufficient here, I have only to add that the blood rests for some short time in the cavity of the Heart, that the motion is performed by Stasts, and the order of these is this, the motion of the Auricles, and Ventricles is synchronous, with respect to them selves and alternate with regard to each other, that is when the Ventricles are ^{contracted} ~~distended~~ the Auricles are relaxed, and vice versa. But some writers such as Lancisi and D.^r Nichols have observed a very different course in these Alternations, but they are rejected by every other Anatomist. Experiments have confirmed that the Contractions and relaxations of ^{both} the Ventricles are at one and the same time; further it is observed that the Contractions and relaxations are different in point of time, the systole being performed in half the

the time of the Diastole. It is so but whether it is so exact as that we can say the systole takes up one third of the time of the Diastole, and that it happens 60 times in a minute can not be so well ascertained. I have spoke of the Auricles and Ventricles as being considered in the alternate interruptions and motions of the Blood, but the Sinus Venosi have also their share. It appears pretty plainly that the ~~sinus~~ Quantity of blood brought to the Heart must frequently stop in the Sinus venosi during the Hearts systole, It is probable that the Auricle may contain the blood, but it is more probable that part of it remains in the Sinus venosi, and therefore the blood is propelled into the Heart partly by the Auricle and partly by the Sinus Venosi. There are specialties regarding the Circulation, that will more properly be considered in another place. I shall speak of the Powers of the Heart and am here to enter into the Doctrines of the

mechanical Physicians; the Satro-mathematicians as Haller calls them; Their errors are the most innocent because they are the most easily corrected, and as mathematical errors are only to be corrected by Mathematics, we must allow it its weight. If I should say that Hallers Experiments are wrong in the power of the heart, yet they have their use in shewing that Boerllis was too high in his calculation, and Keil too low in his. No calculation can certainly be depended upon; we must have experiments and of these Hall is the best as yet. On a living Animal he estimated the Hearts force by the Weight to which its Blood arose. His experiment seems to apply very well, to compare the force of the Heart in a full grown Animal, and his estimate approaches the nearest. But Hallers conclusion. N^o XXIII in giving the calculation of the Velocity of the Blood issuing out of the Heart proves Boerllis experi-