

2005

# Drinking trajectories from adolescence to the mid-forties among alcohol dependent men

D. N. Howell

T. Jacob

Kathleen K. Bucholz

C. Sartor

P. Wood

Follow this and additional works at: <http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/guzeposter2005>



Part of the [Medicine and Health Sciences Commons](#)

---

## Recommended Citation

Howell, D. N.; Jacob, T.; Bucholz, Kathleen K.; Sartor, C.; and Wood, P., "Drinking trajectories from adolescence to the mid-forties among alcohol dependent men" (2005). *Posters*. Paper 1 Samuel B. Guze Symposium on Alcoholism.  
<http://digitalcommons.wustl.edu/guzeposter2005/1>

This Poster is brought to you for free and open access by the 2005: Alcoholism and Comorbidity at Digital Commons@Becker. It has been accepted for inclusion in Posters by an authorized administrator of Digital Commons@Becker. For more information, please contact [engeszer@wustl.edu](mailto:engeszer@wustl.edu).

# DRINKING TRAJECTORIES FROM ADOLESCENCE TO THE MID-FORTIES AMONG ALCOHOL DEPENDENT MEN

D. N. Howell, T. Jacob, K. K. Bucholz, C. Sartor & P. Wood



Grant Support: This study was supported by grants AA11667, AA11822, and Center Grant AA11998 from the National Institute on Alcohol Abuse and Alcoholism and from a Merit Review Grant (TJ) from the Department of Veterans Affairs Medical Research Service, Washington, DC.

# **ABSTRACT**

## **Objective:**

The purpose of this study was to identify differences in developmental pathways of alcoholism from onset of drinking into middle adulthood.

## **Method:**

Alcohol-related behaviors and psychiatric status were assessed in 330 men having a lifetime diagnosis of alcohol dependence (AD). Using the Lifetime Drinking History (LDH) (Skinner and Sheu, 1982), distinct drinking phases were identified which differed in terms of quantity, frequency and context of drinking.

# **ABSTRACT**

## **Results:**

**Using Latent Growth Mixture Modeling, a 4-class model was identified: Severe-Persistent Problem Drinkers (SPPD); Severe-Limited Problem Drinkers (SLPD); Young Adult Problem Drinkers (YAPD); and Late Adult Problem Drinkers (LAPD).**

## **Conclusions:**

**Present findings support the existence of different alcoholism trajectories and provide a more complete understanding of the variability of alcohol dependence over time.**

# **SPECIFIC AIMS**

**To identify an alcoholism classification system based on differences in developmental course.**

- **Fundamental in building theories of alcoholism etiology and course over the lifetime**
- **Important for clarifying diagnostic issues and implementing treatment/intervention strategies**

# **ALCOHOLISM TYPOLOGIES**

- **Typologies have been constructed around various dimensions:**
  - **Severity**
  - **Drinking patterns**
  - **Chronicity**
  - **Comorbidity**
  - **Etiology**
  
- **A core aspect in differentiating alcoholism subgroups is the developmental nature of drinking over one's lifetime.**
  - **Comparisons of community and treatment samples point to differences in alcohol-related symptoms and behaviors (Bucholz, et al, 1994; Schuckit et al., 1995)**

# **ALCOHOLISM TYPOLOGIES**

- **Studies of drinking from adolescence to young adulthood have investigated the development characteristics of problem drinking**  
(Bennett et al., 1999; Schulenberg et al., 1996; Chassin et al., 2002; Jackson et al., 2000; Hill, et al., 2000)
  
- **Most of the relevant literature has identified two prevalent patterns**
  - **unremitting/chronic use**
  - **reduction in problem drinking**

# **QUESTIONS IN SEARCH OF ANSWERS**

- **What happens during subsequent years?**
- **Do the patterns stabilize after young adulthood or does variability and change continue?**
- **Do other drinking pathways emerge at later ages which could not be anticipated from young adult studies?**



**The current study investigates the course of alcohol use from drinking onset to the fourth decade of life as a means for classifying subtypes of alcoholism.**



# PARTICIPANTS

## VIETNAM ERA TWIN REGISTRY (VETR)

- 330 men who at sometime in their life met lifetime DSM-IV AD criteria as assessed by the Lifetime Drinking History (LDH) and who were 41 years of age or older at the time of assessment.

---

# PRIMARY ASSESSMENT DOMAIN

## LIFETIME DRINKING HISTORY

- Phase data was converted into 'person-year' data beginning with the year the person first became a regular drinker and ending at 41 years of age

---

# DATA ANALYSES


## LATENT GROWTH MIXTURE MODELING

- Diagnosing probabilities (DPs) - odds of diagnosing at a given age group - determined class membership
- estimates used to assign individuals to a particular class

# CLASS CHARACTERISTICS

- **CLASS 1 – SEVERE-PERSISTENT PROBLEM DRINKERS (SPPD)**
- **CLASS 2 – SEVERE-LIMITED PROBLEM DRINKERS (SLPD)**
- **CLASS 3 – YOUNG ADULT PROBLEM DRINKERS (YAPD)**
- **CLASS 4 – LATE ADULT PROBLEM DRINKERS (LAPD)**

Diagnosing Probabilities For Each Of Four Latent Classes



- \*— Class 1: Severe-Persistent Problem Drinkers (24%)
- Class 2: Severe-Limited Problem Drinkers (11%)
- -▲- - Class 3: Young Adult Problem Drinkers (37%)
- ◆— Class 4: Late Onset Problem Drinkers (28%)

## Lifetime Psychiatric Diagnoses Across Classes

	<b>SPPD</b>	<b>SLPD</b>	<b>YAPD</b>	<b>LAPD</b>	<b>P<sup>2</sup></b>	
	<b>n = 77.68</b>	<b>n = 37.24</b>	<b>n = 123.71</b>	<b>n = 91.37</b>	<b>DF</b>	<b>p</b>
<b>Drug Dependence</b>	<b>25%</b>	<b>34%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.17</b>
<b>Nicotine Dependence</b>	<b>66%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>68%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.98</b>
<b>Antisocial Personality Disorder*</b>	<b>15%</b>	<b>8%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>5%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.047</b>
<b>Depression</b>	<b>22%</b>	<b>21%</b>	<b>19%</b>	<b>17%</b>	<b>3</b>	<b>0.85</b>

**SPPD=Severe-Persistent Problem Drinkers**

**YAPD=Young Adult Problem Drinkers**

**SLPD=Severe-Limited Problem Drinkers**

**LAPD=Late Adult Problem Drinkers**

## **Drinking Characteristics Across Classes**

	<b>SPPD</b> n = 77.68		<b>SLPD</b> n = 37.24		<b>YAPD</b> n = 123.71		<b>LAPD</b> n = 91.37		<b>F or P<sup>2</sup></b>	
	<u>Mean</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	<u>Mean</u>	<u>S.D.</u>	<u>DF</u>	<u>p</u>
Age First Drink	15 <sup>bc</sup>	2.6	14 <sup>c</sup>	2.6	16 <sup>ab</sup>	2.6	16 <sup>a</sup>	2.9	326	0.001
Age First AD	21 <sup>b</sup>	3.3	18 <sup>d</sup>	2.4	22 <sup>c</sup>	2.8	34 <sup>a</sup>	4.2	326	0.000
Age First AB or AD	20 <sup>a</sup>	3.2	18	2.1	21 <sup>a</sup>	2.7	28	7.2	326	0.000
Age First AD sx	19 <sup>ab</sup>	2.7	17 <sup>b</sup>	2.2	20 <sup>a</sup>	2.6	24	6.7	326	0.000
	<u>Any Phase</u>		<u>Any Phase</u>		<u>Any Phase</u>		<u>Any Phase</u>			
Binge Drinking* <sup>a</sup>	14%		39%		19%		13%		3	0.002
Drinking - Alone* <sup>a</sup>	37%		34%		19%		29%		3	0.039
Drinking - Mornings	5%		3%		2%		7%		3	0.320
Ever Sought Treatment	41%		61%		24%		36%		3	0.004

SPPD=Severe-Persistent Problem Drinkers

YAPD=Young Adult Problem Drinkers

SLPD=Severe-Limited Problem Drinkers

LAPD=Late Adult Problem Drinkers

# **FINDINGS**

**Counterparts for three of these trajectories can be found in the larger alcoholism literature and now provide a more complete understanding of their developmental nature**

**Developmentally Limited Alcoholism  
Antisocial Alcoholism  
Negative Affect Alcoholism  
(Zucker, 1994)**

**The fourth type – Severe-Limited Problem Drinkers - has not been previously described, notwithstanding its seeming importance and prevalence.**

**These findings build on the larger alcoholism literature identifying alcoholic subgroups and provide important information regarding alcoholism trajectories and associated features**

# **LIMITATIONS/FUTURE DIRECTIONS**

**Future studies are needed with regard to:**

- **better understanding the psychosocial influences related to the different alcoholism trajectories**
- **characterizing the different trajectories as individuals transition into older age**
- **finer grain, longitudinal studies clarifying the nature of these different alcoholism classes**

## REFERENCES

- Bennett, M. E., McCrady, B. S., Johnson, V., & Pandina, R. J. (1999). Problem drinking from young adulthood to adulthood: Patterns, predictors, and outcomes. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 60*, 605-614.
- Bucholz, K. K., Helzer, J. E., Shayka, J. J., & Lewis, C. E. (1994). Comparison of alcohol dependence in subjects from clinical, community, and family studies. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 18*, 1091-1099.
- Chassin, L., Pitts, S. C., & Prost, J. (2002). Binge drinking trajectories from adolescence to emerging adulthood in a high-risk sample: Predictors and substance abuse outcomes. *Journal of Consulting and Clinical Psychology, 70*, 67-78.
- Hill, K. G., White, H. R., Chung, I. J., Hawkins, J. D., & Catalano, R. F. (2000). Early adult outcomes of adolescent binge drinking: Person- and variable-centered analyses of binge drinking trajectories. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 24* (892-901).
- Jackson, K. M., Sher, K. J., & Wood, P. K. (2000). Trajectories of concurrent substance use disorders: A developmental approach to comorbidity. *Alcoholism: Clinical and Experimental Research, 24*(6), 902-913.
- Schuckit, M., Anthenelli, R., Bucholz, K., Hesselbrock, V. & Tipp, (1995). Time course development of alcohol-related problems in men and women. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 56*, 218-225.
- Schulenburg, J., Wadsworth, K. M., O'Malley, P. M., Bachman, J. G., & Johnston, L. D. (1996). Adolescent risk factors for binge drinking during the transition to young adulthood: Variable- and pattern-centered approaches to change. *Developmental Psychology, 32*, 659-674.
- Skinner, H. H., & Sheu, W. J. (1982). Reliability of alcohol use indices: Lifetime drinking history and mast. *Journal of Studies on Alcohol, 43*, 1157-1170.
- Zucker, R. A. (1994). *Pathways to alcohol problems and alcoholism: A developmental account of the evidence for multiple alcoholisms and for contextual contributions to risk* (No. NIAAA Research Monograph No. 26, NIH Publication No. 94-3495). Washington, D.C.: U.S. Department of Health and Human Services.