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Small unmyelinated sensory neurons classified as nociceptors are divided into two subpopulations based on phenotypic differences, including expression of neurotrophic factor receptors. Approximately half of unmyelinated nociceptors express the NGF receptor TrkA, and half express the GDNF family ligand (GFL) receptor Ret. The function of NGF/TrkA signaling in the TrkA population of nociceptors has been extensively studied, and NGF/TrkA signaling is a well-established mediator of pain. The GFLs are analogs in models of neuropathic pain emphasizing the importance of understanding the physiological function of GFL/Ret signaling in nociceptors. However, perinatal lethality of Ret-null mice has precluded the study of the physiological role of GFL/Ret signaling in the survival, maintenance, and function of nociceptors in viable mice. We deleted Ret exclusively in nociceptors by crossing nociceptor-specific Na1.8 Cre and Ret conditional mice to produce Ret-Na1.8 conditional knock-out (CKO) mice. Loss of Ret exclusively in nociceptors results in a reduction in nociceptor number and size, indicating that Ret signaling is important for the survival and trophic support of these cells. Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice exhibit reduced epidermal innervation but normal central projections. In addition, Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice have increased sensitivity to cold and increased formalin-induced pain, demonstrating that Ret signaling modulates the function of nociceptors in vivo. Enhanced inflammation-induced pain may be mediated by decreased prostatic acid phosphatase (PAP), as PAP levels are markedly reduced in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice. The results of this study identify the physiological role of endogenous Ret signaling in the survival and function of nociceptors.

Introduction

During embryonic development, small-diameter sensory neurons in the dorsal root ganglion (DRG) that are classified as nociceptors express the NGF receptor TrkA and depend on NGF for survival (Johnson et al., 1980; Crowley et al., 1994; Silos-Santiago et al., 1995). During late embryonic and early postnatal life, small-diameter unmyelinated nociceptors (C-fiber nociceptors) diverge into two subpopulations (Molliver et al., 1997). One population continues to express TrkA. In the other population, TrkA expression is downregulated and Ret, the signaling receptor for the GDNF family ligands (GFLs), is upregulated. Nociceptors that express TrkA express neuropeptides, do not bind the lectin isolectin B4 (IB4), do not express thiamine monophosphatase (TMP), and project to lamina I and lamina II outer (IIo) of the dorsal horn, while nociceptors that express Ret do not typically express neuropeptides, do bind IB4, do express TMP, and project to lamina II inner (IIi) of the dorsal horn (Molliver et al., 1997; Braz et al., 2002; Zylka et al., 2005). While much is known about the role of NGF/TrkA signaling in the TrkA population of C-fiber nociceptors, notably the well-established role of NGF/TrkA signaling in pain (Pezet and McMahon, 2006), little is known of the physiological role of GFL/Ret signaling in the Ret population.

The GFL family includes the following: glial cell line-derived neurotrophic factor (GDNF), neurturin (NRTN), artemin (ARTN), and persephin (PSPN) (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002). The GFL receptor is a complex consisting of a signaling component, the receptor tyrosine kinase Ret, and a ligand-binding component, a cell surface receptor called GDNF family receptor α (GFRα1-4) (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002). Each GFRα coreceptor binds with high affinity to one of the four ligands and confers ligand specificity to the receptor complex: GDNF-GFRα1, NRTN-GFRα2, ARTN-GFRα3, and PSPN-GFRα4. Ret is the common signaling component of all GFL receptor complexes and is required in partnership with a GFRα coreceptor to form a functional receptor complex.
GFL/Ret signaling influences sensory neuron survival as well as sensory neuron structure and function in many experimental paradigms (Bennett et al., 1998b, 2000; Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002; Ernsberger, 2008; Malin and Davis, 2008). Perinatal death of Ret-null mice has precluded study of the physiological function of Ret in mature sensory neurons (Schuchardt et al., 1994). To overcome this limitation, we deleted Ret exclusively in the nonpeptidergic IB4-binding subpopulation of C-fiber nociceptors by crossing mice harboring a conditional RET allele (Jain et al., 2006) with mice expressing Cre recombinase under the sodium channel α subunit 1.8 promoter (Na1.8-Cre) (Stirling et al., 2005), which is expressed exclusively in nociceptors. Analysis of these mice reveals that Ret is required for the survival, trophic maintenance, and normal function of IB4 nociceptors.

Materials and Methods

Animal generation. Institution approved protocols were followed for all animal studies. Generation of Ret conditional mice with a floxed human RET allele followed by the EGFP reporter for identification of cells with Ret deletion were generated as previously described (Jain et al., 2006). To produce mice with conditional Ret deletion in nociceptors, heterozygous mice with one Ret-null allele (RetOM or RetIcer) (Enomoto et al., 2001; Gould et al., 2008) knocked into the Ret locus were crossed with Nav1.8Cre mice (Stirling et al., 2005) to produce double heterozygous mice (Ret-Nav1.8Cre/Nav1.8Cre/). Double heterozygous mice were then crossed with Ret conditional mice (RetRETfloxEGFP/RetRETfloxEGFP) to produce Ret-Na1.8 conditional knock-out (CKO) mice (Ret−/−:Nav1.8Cre/Nav1.8Cre/Ret−/−:Nav1.8Cre/Nav1.8Cre/), and Ret-Na1.8 heterozygous control (Het) mice (RetRETfloxEGFP/Ret−/−). Note that EGFP reporter expression in these mice indicates that Cre-mediated deletion of the floxed allele has occurred.

Behavior testing. Behavior testing was performed using male and female mice 7–10 weeks of age. Littermates or age-matched control mice of the appropriate genotypes were used. Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice (RetRETfloxEGFP/RetRETfloxEGFP/Nav1.8Cre+/) were compared with control mice that harbor at least one functional Ret allele in nonpeptidergic nociceptors. Control mice include Ret-Na1.8 Het mice (RetRETfloxEGFP/RetRETfloxEGFP/Nav1.8Cre+) or others obtained in crosses to produce Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice (i.e., Ret−/−:Nav1.8Cre/Ret+/−:Nav1.8Cre+ and Ret−/−:Nav1.8Cre+/Ret+/−:Nav1.8Cre/). We did not observe any significant difference between Ret-Na1.8 Het mice (RetRETfloxEGFP/RetRETfloxEGFP/Nav1.8Cre+ and these other control mice in behavior tests; therefore, they were combined into a single group and are referred to as control mice. To assess general health, mice were weighed and internal and surface body temperature was recorded. The experimenter was blind to the genotypes of the mice during data acquisition. Before testing, mice were placed in open bottom Plexiglas boxes (5 × 5 × 10 inches) on an elevated plastic (formalin), wire mesh (von Frey, acetone), or warmed (30°C) glass (Hargreaves) platform for 1 h (formalin, acetone) or 2–3 h (von Frey, acetone) or immersed (30°C) in Zamboni’s fixative for 2 h, rinsed in PBS, and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose. The dermal–epidermal border was defined by measuring the withdrawal latency to a radiant heat source applied to the plantar surface of the hindpaw in 5 separate trials for each hindpaw with a 15 min interval between trials. The withdrawal threshold was determined by averaging the withdrawal latency obtained in each of the trials.

Cold sensitivity. Sensitivity to a cold stimulus was measured using the acetone test. One drop of acetone was applied to the plantar surface of the hindpaw using 1 ml syringe. Mice were observed for 5 min after each acetone application. Spontaneous pain behavior (defined as above in the formalin test) that occurred within 5 min after acetone application was counted as a positive response. Spontaneous pain behavior that occurred within the first 15 s after acetone application was not counted, since most mice had some reaction to the initial application. In addition, the amount of time spent in pain behavior was recorded. Five trials were performed on each hindpaw with a 5 min interval between trials.

Thermal preference (gradient). Mice were tested in a thermal gradient apparatus with zone temperatures ranging from 9°C to 51°C as described previously (Dhaka et al., 2007). The temperature zone in which mice spend the most time during the 2 h test is defined as the “preferred temperature.”

Gross motor function (rotarod). An accelerating rotarod (Ugo Basile) was used to evaluate motor coordination and balance. Five consecutive acceleration trials were performed with a 5 min interval between trials as described previously (Montana et al., 2009).

Sensorimotor battery. Tests in the sensorimotor battery were used as previously described to evaluate balance, strength, coordination, and movement (Jain et al., 2006).

Locomotor activity (open field). Locomotor activity was measured in an open field using a VersaMax Activity Monitoring System (AccuScan Instruments). Mice were habituated to the test room in their cages for 1 h before testing. Locomotor activity was assessed by recording photobeam breaks in a 42 L × 42 W × 30 H cm chamber for 60 min. Total distance traveled, time spent moving, and the number of beam breaks (horizontal activity) were calculated for the entire chamber.

Total L4 DRG neuron counts. Mice were deeply anesthetized and perfused with PBS followed by 4% paraformaldehyde in PBS. L4 DRGs were removed, rinsed in PBS, and embedded in paraffin. The entire DRG was sectioned at 8 μm in the transverse plane, collected in sequence, and stained with 0.25% cresyl violet. DRG neurons containing one or more nucleoli were counted in every 10th section. The number of neurons counted was multiplied by 10 to obtain the total number. Counts were corrected for split nuclei (Siles-Santiago et al., 1995).

Immunohistochemistry. To take advantage of the EGFP reporter as a marker for neurons in which Ret is deleted, Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice and Ret-Na1.8 Het control mice, each of which contain one floxed Ret allele followed by the EGFP reporter and one Cre allele in the Na1.8 locus, were used in immunohistochemistry (IHC) experiments. Footpad skin was removed from the plantar surface of the hindpaw, immersion fixed in Zamboni’s fixative for 2 h, rinsed in PBS, and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose. Mice were then perfused as described above. DRG and the lumbar enlargement of the spinal cord were removed, rinsed in PBS, and cryoprotected in 30% sucrose. Skin was sectioned at 30 μm in a plane perpendicular to the skin surface. Transverse sections of lumbar spinal cord and DRG (30 and 18 μm, respectively) were cut on a cryostat and collected on slides. The following antibodies were used: rabbit anti-Ret 1:200 (IBL), rabbit anti-GFP 1:1000 (Invitrogen), rabbit anti-TrkA 1:300 (Abcam), goat anti-CGRP 1:200 (Cayman), goat anti-GFRA2 and GFRα3 1:200 and 1:50, respectively (R&D Systems), and goat anti-CGRP 1:400 (Serotec). Alexa conjugated to IB4 1:100 (Invitrogen) was used for IB4 labelling.

Neuron counts in fluorescence-labeled DRG. To determine the percentage of labeled neurons relative to the number of EGFP-positive neurons, labeled profiles and EGFP-positive profiles were counted in at least 3 randomly selected sections (separated by >50 μm) per DRG. To determine the total number of EGFP-, GFP-, or TrkA-positive neurons, 18 μm sections of the entire DRG were collected in series. Neurons were counted in every fourth section, and the number of neurons was multiplied by 4 to obtain the total number.

Epidermal innervation density. The dermal–epidermal border was traced and the length determined using MetaMorph software. Labeled
nerve fibers in the epidermis were counted and the fiber density (# of fibers/unit of dermal–epidermal border) was calculated (Lindfors et al., 2006).

**TMP histochemistry.** Frozen spinal cord sections (30 μm) were incubated for 30 min at room temperature in Tris maleate buffer containing 0.08% lead nitrate and 0.25% thiamine monophosphate chloride (Sigma) and developed in a 1% aqueous solution of sodium sulfide (Ak-kina et al., 2001).

**Microscopy.** All images were captured using an upright microscope equipped for epifluorescence microscopy (Nikon 80i; CoolSnapES camera) and were processed using MetaMorph or Adobe Photoshop software using global adjustments in brightness and contrast.

**Quantitative real-time PCR.** RNA was purified from lumbar DRG and afferents in the superficial laminae of the dorsal horn (G–I) and no EGFP in motor neurons (D) in the Ret−/− mouse. B, E, F, EGFP expression in DRG neurons (B), motor neurons in the ventral horn (F), and cell bodies in the dorsal horn (E) of the Ret−/− reporter mouse. G–I, PND 1 DRG from a Ret−/− mouse showing virtually complete overlap of EGFP expression (G) with IB4 label (H). Images in G–I are representative of 3 mice. Scale bars: A, B, 100 μm; G–I, 30 μm.

**Results**

Specific and efficient conditional deletion of *Ret* in nonpeptidergic nociceptors

Tissue-specific conditional deletion of genes is a powerful strategy to study the function of genes whose embryological loss results in lethality. *Ret* loss results in perinatal death due to renal agenesis (Schuchardt et al., 1994). We reasoned that generating mice expressing a conditional *RET* allele (RETfloxEGFP) and the nociceptor-specific *Nav1.8 Cre* would allow us to study the function of *Ret* specifically in these neurons. We first determined whether *Cre* expressed from the *Nav1.8 Cre* locus could delete the conditional *RET* allele in an efficient and specific manner. We generated compound heterozygous mice that harbor one *Nav1.8 Cre* allele (Nav1.8*Cre/+*) and one *RET* conditional allele (Nav1.8*Cre/*). This strategy allows simultaneous visualization of the excised allele by direct detection of EGFP signal and fluorescence-labeled markers (including Ret) for nonpeptidergic nociceptors (Jain et al., 2006). In spinal cord and DRG from *RetfloxEGFP/+:*Nav1.8*Cre/+* postnatal day (PND) 1 mice, EGFP signal is restricted to DRG neurons and to afferents in the superficial lamina of the dorsal horn where small-diameter DRG neurons terminate, suggesting specific deletion of *Ret* in nonpeptidergic nociceptors (Fig. 1A–F, data not shown). In addition, we
observed EGFP expression in spinal dorsal horn neurons in Ret-EGFP reporter mice (Fig. 1B,E) that is absent in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice (Fig. 1A,C). These results suggest specific deletion of the RET conditional allele in small-diameter nociceptors.

We determined the efficiency of conditional Ret deletion in small-diameter DRG neurons using IB4 labeling, which overlaps virtually completely with Ret in small-diameter nonpeptidergic DRG neurons (Molliver et al., 1997; Bennett et al., 1998). IB4 labeling in lumbar DRG from PND 1 Ret-Nav1.8 Het mice (RetRETfloxEGFP+/−;Nav1.8Cre/+ ) reveals specific and efficient deletion of Ret in IB4-labeled neurons (Fig. 1G–I). Of 560 neurons expressing EGFP (neurons from which Ret is deleted allowing expression of the EGFP reporter from the Ret locus), 557 were labeled with IB4, indicating >99% specificity of Ret deletion in the IB4 binding population (n = 3). Of 603 IB4-positive neurons, 562 express EGFP, indicating that Ret is excised from 93% of IB4-positive DRG neurons (n = 3). These results indicate that Ret is deleted with high efficiency and specificity in the population of small-diameter DRG neurons that bind IB4 (nonpeptidergic nociceptors).

We next generated Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice (RetRETfloxEGFP−/−;Nav1.8Cre/+). Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice were viable, grew normally to adulthood, and were grossly indistinguishable from control littermates that harbor at least one functional Ret allele in nonpeptidergic nociceptors. There was no difference in adult body weight or in internal or surface body temperature between Ret-Nav1.8 CKO and control mice, consistent with equivalent general health of the two groups (data not shown).

To confirm that Ret is deleted from small-diameter DRG neurons (nociceptors), lumbar DRGs from adult Ret-Nav1.8 CKO and WT mice were labeled with antibodies to Ret (Fig. 2A,B). In WT DRG, Ret is observed in both large- and small-diameter DRG neurons (Fig. 2A). In Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice, Ret labeling is absent in small-diameter neurons but remains in large-diameter neurons (Fig. 2B). Ret labeling in DRG from PND 10 Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice shows no overlap between EGFP signal (neurons in which Ret is deleted) and Ret immunoreactivity, indicating specific deletion of Ret from nonpeptidergic nociceptors (Fig. 2C–E). As expected, qRT-PCR shows a significant decrease in Ret expression in lumbar DRG from Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice compared to control mice (Table 1).

### Ret regulates nociceptor survival and size

While GFLs promote survival and provide trophic support to many types of neurons, the physiological role of Ret in small nociceptive DRG neurons has not been examined in adult animals and remains unclear. Studies in GFL- and Gfrα coreceptor-null mice have reported variable results (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002). To determine whether Ret is required for survival and trophic support of nociceptors, we compared the total number of L4 DRG neurons in adult Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice and control mice. We found a 33% decrease in the total number of L4 DRG neurons in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice compared to control mice (Fig. 3A–C). Because small-diameter nonpeptidergic nociceptors are a subset of total DRG neurons, we investigated the extent of neuron loss in the EGFP-positive subpopulation of DRG neurons by counting the total number of EGFP-positive cells. There is a 52% decrease in the number of EGFP-positive L4 DRG neurons in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice compared to Ret-Nav1.8 Het control mice (Fig. 3D–F).

To determine whether neuron loss in the population of EGFP-positive neurons accounts for the cell loss observed in total neuron counts (33% above), we counted EGFP- and βIII.
Figure 3. The number and size of nonpeptidergic nociceptors is decreased in Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice. A–C, The total number of neurons is decreased in the L4 DRG of adult Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice (B, C, n = 4) compared to control mice (A, C, n = 8) (*p < 0.05). D–F, The number of EGFP-positive DRG neurons is decreased in L4 DRG of Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice (E, F) compared to Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice (D, F) (F, n = 3 of each; *p = 0.01). D, E, G, H, The soma area of EGFP-positive DRG neurons is decreased in Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice (E) compared to Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice (D) (G, n = 3 of each; *p < 0.05). H, Size frequency analysis of EGFP-positive neurons. I–K, EGFP (Ret) and GFRα3 do not overlap extensively in lumbar DRG of Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice (I). Overlap of EGFP and GFRα3 is also not extensive in Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice (J) but is significantly increased compared to Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice (K). n = 4 Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice, n = 5 control mice. **p < 0.01. **p < 0.001. Scale bars: (in E) D, E, 30 μm; (in J) I, J, 50 μm.

Table 2. Percent of lumbar DRG neurons in which EGFP is colocalized with other markers (mean ± SEM)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marker</th>
<th>EGFP-positive neurons expressing other marker</th>
<th>Other marker neurons expressing EGFP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Ret CKO</td>
<td>Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βIII tubulin</td>
<td>nd</td>
<td>32 ± 2.1**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TrkA</td>
<td>25 ± 5.8*</td>
<td>9.3 ± 2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFRα2</td>
<td>67.7 ± 0.6*</td>
<td>72.9 ± 4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GFRα3</td>
<td>14.3 ± 1.1**</td>
<td>13.5 ± 1.9**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

n = 1 of each genotype for βIII tubulin and GFRα2, and 4 of each genotype for TrkA. For GFRα3, n = 4 Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice and 5 control mice. *p ≤ 0.05; **p < 0.01; ***p < 0.001.

tubulin-positive neurons to determine the percentage of total DRG neurons that are EGFP positive (Table 2). In Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het mice, EGFP-positive neurons account for 46.7 ± 2.5% of total DRG neurons. Loss of 52% of EGFP-positive neurons would therefore correspond to a ~24% decrease in total neuron number, accounting for most if not all of the DRG neuron loss in Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice.

The soma size of DRG neurons is influenced by the expression of GFL ligands and GFL coreceptors (Zwick et al., 2002; Elitt et al., 2006; Lindfors et al., 2006). We compared the soma area of EGFP-positive DRG neurons in Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO and Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice to determine whether Ret signaling is required for trophic support of nonpeptidergic nociceptors. We found a 39% decrease in the soma area of EGFP-positive DRG neurons in adult Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice compared to Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice (Fig. 3D,E,G). Size frequency analysis of EGFP-positive neurons suggests that size is decreased across the entire population of EGFP-positive neurons (Fig. 3H). These results indicate that Ret signaling is required for trophic maintenance of mature nonpeptidergic DRG neurons and in agreement with findings in adult Gfra2-null and PND 14 Ret-Wnt1 CKO mice (Lindfors et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2007).

Ret regulates expression of Gfra3 in nonpeptidergic nociceptors

In the DRG, Gfra2 and Gfra3 expression is largely restricted to small-diameter neurons, while Gfra1 is expressed in both large-diameter and small-diameter DRG neurons (Bennett et al., 1998b; Orozco et al., 2001; Stucky et al., 2002; Lindfors et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2007). Because it has been reported that Gfra2 deletion alters sensory function (Stucky et al., 2002; Lindfors et al., 2006) and Ret alters Gfra2 expression (Luo et al., 2007), we examined Gfra2 expression in Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice. We also examined expression of Gfra3, the other Gfra coreceptor expressed exclusively in small-diameter DRG neurons (Orozco et al., 2001; Elitt et al., 2006). In agreement with previous reports (Bennett et al., 1998b; Lindfors et al., 2006; Luo et al., 2007), we found that virtually all Gfra2-positive neurons in Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice are also EGFP positive (express Ret) and the majority of EGFP-positive neurons coexpress Gfra2 (Table 2). Deletion of Ret had no effect on the percentage of EGFP-positive neurons that coexpress Gfra2, suggesting that Gfra2 expression is not regulated by Ret in nonpeptidergic nociceptors (Table 2). The percentage of Gfra2-positive neurons that express EGFP is significantly less in Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice than in Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice, likely reflecting the loss of EGFP neurons in Ret-ΔNa1.8 CKO mice. Surprisingly, we did not find extensive overlap between EGFP (Ret) and Gfra3 in Ret-ΔNa1.8 Het control mice (Fig. 3I, K, Table 2). This is in contrast to previous reports, which have found greater colocalization of Ret and Gfra3 (Orozco et al., 2001; Luo et al., 2007). We do not believe that our failure to detect significant coexpression of EGFP and Gfra3 is due to lack of
Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} expression in Gfra3-positive neurons, because Gfra3 is expressed exclusively in small-diameter DRG neurons (Orozco et al., 2001; Elitt et al., 2006) and Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} is expressed in nearly all small-diameter DRG neurons (Stirling et al., 2005), suggesting significant overlap of Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} and Gfra3 expression. Deletion of Ret results in an increase in the proportion of Gfra3-positive neurons that are EGFP-positive (Fig. 3, J, K, Table 2), suggesting that Ret negatively regulates Gfra3 expression in small-diameter DRG neurons.

**Ret deletion decreases peripheral but not central afferent density of nonpeptidergic nociceptors**

To determine whether neuron loss due to Ret deletion is accompanied by a corresponding loss of peripheral innervation, we quantified the density of EGFP-positive fibers in the epidermis (Fig. 4A, D, G). Labeling with an anti-EGFP antibody was required to visualize EGFP afferents in the skin. The density of EGFP-positive sensory afferents in the epidermis of Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice is significantly decreased compared with Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} Het control mice. This decrease in nonpeptidergic afferents in the epidermis likely reflects the loss of nonpeptidergic neurons. Surprisingly, the total epidermal fiber density, as determined by the density of βIII tubulin-positive fibers, is not significantly different in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice from that in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} Het control mice (Fig. 4B, E, G). The majority of nerve fibers in the epidermis are from nonpeptidergic DRG neurons that express Ret or from DRG neurons that express the NGF receptor TrkA and coexpress neuropeptides (Zylka et al., 2005). To determine whether expansion of the TrkA/peptidergic fiber population in the epidermis accounts for the normal density of Gfra3-positive neurons that express Ret or from DRG neurons that express Ret, we compared the density of Gfra3-positive fibers in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice with that in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} Het control mice (Fig. 4C, F, G). We found that there is no difference in the density of Gfra3-positive fibers in the epidermis of Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO and control mice, indicating that expansion of peptidergic afferents does not account for the normal density of βIII tubulin-labeled epidermal afferents observed in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice.

In the spinal cord dorsal horn, TrkA (peptidergic) and Ret (nonpeptidergic) afferents are segregated with TrkA afferents occupying lamina I and lamina II, and Ret afferents occupying lamina II (Molliver et al., 1997). Double labeling of EGFP (Ret) and CGRP (TrkA) afferents in the lumbar dorsal horn shows that the non-overlapping pattern of Ret and TrkA afferents observed in lamina I and lamina II of Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} Het control mice is indistinguishable from the pattern observed in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice (Fig. 5A–F). In addition, the density of EGFP labeling in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice is similar to Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} Het control mice, indicating that, in contrast to peripheral projections, the density of the central projections of nonpeptidergic Ret afferents is unchanged in the absence of Ret. Similar results were obtained with higher dilution of the GFP antibody, suggesting that oversaturation of the fluorescent signal did not obscure loss of EGFP-positive afferents (data not shown). IB4 labeling of nonpeptidergic afferents in the lumbar dorsal horn was also similar in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice and Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} Het control mice (see Fig. 8A–F). Therefore, although there is a significant loss of nonpeptidergic neurons in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice, the density and topography of the central projections of these neurons in the dorsal horn appear normal. Ret signaling is therefore dispensable for the development and maintenance of central projections from nonpeptidergic DRG neurons, since surviving nonpeptidergic neurons reach their central targets in the absence of Ret.

**Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice have normal responses to mechanical stimuli and noxious heat**

To determine whether loss of Ret in nociceptors affects mechanical sensitivity, von Frey testing was performed on Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO and control mice. There is no difference in hindpaw mechanical sensitivity between Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice and control mice (Fig. 6A, left). Sensitivity to a noxious mechanical stimulus, determined using a modified Randall–Selitto test, is also not different in Ret-Na\textsubscript{\(1.8\)} CKO mice from that in control mice (Fig. 6A, right). These results indicate that Ret signaling in nonpeptidergic DRG neurons is not required for normal behavioral sensitivity to innocuous or noxious mechanical stimuli. Recent reports demonstrating increased mechanical thresholds in mice in which most/all nonpeptidergic DRG neurons are killed using
diphtheria toxin (DTA) suggest that nonpeptidergic nociceptors are required for normal mechanical sensitivity (Abrahamsen et al., 2008; Cavanaugh et al., 2009). In Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice in which ~50% of nonpeptidergic DRG neurons are lost, we observed no change in mechanical sensitivity, indicating that 50% or less of the normal number of non-peptidergic DRG neurons is sufficient to maintain normal mechanical sensitivity.

Previous studies suggest that GFL/Ret signaling may modulate noxious heat transduction in IB4 nociceptors (Stucky et al., 2002; Lindfors et al., 2006). We found that noxious heat sensitivity, as measured by the Hargreaves test, is not different between Ret-Nav1.8 CKO and control mice (9.2 ± 0.5 s, 8.8 ± 0.3 s, respectively; p = 0.4; Ret-Nav1.8 CKO, n = 25, control, n = 55). Consistent with this finding, qRT-PCR analysis reveals no change in the expression of the noxious heat-activated channel TRPV1 in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice compared to control mice (Table 1). This result suggests that Ret signaling normally suppresses the expression of TRPM8 and that the mechanism underlying increased cold sensitivity in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice may involve TRPM8. Previous reports indicating that TRPM8 is not colocalized with Na1.8 (Abrahamsen et al., 2008) or IB4 (Dhaka et al., 2008) suggest that TRPM8 and Ret are not colocalized and may indicate that modulation of TRPM8 expression by Ret is indirect.

Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice show increased sensitivity to cold (acetone)

To determine whether Ret loss in nonpeptidergic nociceptors influences sensitivity to a cold stimulus, we assessed cold sensitivity in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice and control mice using the acetone test. Female Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice respond to a significantly greater percentage of acetone applications than female control mice (Fig. 6C). In addition, the total amount of time spent in pain-like behavior is significantly greater for female Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice than for female controls (Fig. 6D). In contrast, male Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice are not different from male control mice (Fig. 6C,D). This result suggests that disruption of Ret signaling in nonpeptidergic DRG neurons in female Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice results in greater sensitivity to cold and is consistent with the observation that a mixed group of male and female Gfra2-null mice show increased sensitivity to cold (Lindfors et al., 2006). In addition, our findings suggest that cold sensitivity may be influenced by gender. This possibility is consistent with a recent report of gender-based differences in cold sensitivity in TRPA1-null mice (Kwan et al., 2006).

Our results suggest that Ret signaling in nonpeptidergic nociceptors regulates cold sensation. Alternatively, loss of nonpeptidergic neurons could contribute to increased cold sensitivity in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice. However, this possibility is inconsistent with recent reports in which DTA is used to kill specific subpopulations of DRG neurons and cold sensitivity is either abolished or unchanged (Abrahamsen et al., 2008; Cavanaugh et al., 2009). Examination of the expression of the cold-activated channels TRPA1 and TRPM8 in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO by qRT-PCR reveals a significant increase only in TRPM8 expression in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice compared to control mice (Table 1). This result suggests that Ret signaling normally suppresses the expression of TRPM8 and that the mechanism underlying increased cold sensitivity in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice may involve TRPM8. Previous reports indicating that TRPM8 is not colocalized with Na1.8 (Abrahamsen et al., 2008) or IB4 (Dhaka et al., 2008) suggest that TRPM8 and Ret are not colocalized and may indicate that modulation of TRPM8 expression by Ret is indirect.

Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice exhibit increased pain behavior in the formalin test

We performed the formalin test in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO and control mice to determine whether deletion of Ret in small-diameter DRG neurons affects spontaneous pain behavior induced by inflammation. Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice have an increased response, compared to control mice, in both phases of the formalin test (Fig. 7). In the first phase of the formalin test, Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice spent 25% more time engaged in spontaneous pain behavior than control mice. In the second phase, Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice spent ~40% more time engaged in spontaneous pain behavior.
that control mice. These data suggest that Ret signaling in nociceptors attenuates formalin-induced inflammatory pain.

Our results are contrary to the decreased formalin-induced pain behavior observed in Gfra2-null mice. Although decreased cell size suggests Ret signaling is decreased in Gfra2-null mice (Lindfors et al., 2006), it is not known to what extent Ret signaling is impaired in Gfra2-null mice, since many sensory neurons express more than one Gfra coreceptor. In addition, Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice exhibit cell loss and changes in peripheral innervation, which are different from anatomical changes observed in Gfra2-null mice. Gfra2-null mice also are reported to be severely growth retarded, which could influence pain behavior. Therefore, it is not surprising that the phenotypes of these two mutant mice are not identical.

Sensorimotor and motor function is not altered in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice

To ensure that behavioral changes observed in Ret-Na1.8 CKO are sensory specific and are not related to disturbances of general sensorimotor function or to motor deficits, we performed sensorimotor testing in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice and control mice. The performance of Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice is not different from Ret control mice in any of these tests (supplemental Figs. S1, S2, available at www.jneurosci.org as supplemental material).

TrkA expression is not increased in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice

NGF is a well established mediator of inflammation and attenuation of pain behavior in the formalin test (Pezet and McMahon, 2006; Ugolini et al., 2007). Expanded TrkA expression could therefore explain the enhanced response to formalin observed in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice. We examined TrkA expression in sensory neurons from which Ret is deleted to determine whether TrkA expression is expanded in this population. In control mice, we found that TrkA is coexpressed in 9.3 ± 2.2% of DRG neurons that express Ret (EGFP-positive neurons), consistent with previous reports (Table 2) (Molliver et al., 1997). There is an increase in the percentage of EGFP-positive neurons that coexpress TrkA in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice compared with Ret-Na1.8 Het control mice (Fig. 8A–C, left, Table 2). The increase in TrkA coexpression in EGFP-positive neurons could be a result of expansion of TrkA expression in the nonpeptidergic population of nociceptors or may reflect the decreased number of EGFP-positive neurons in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice. Quantification of the total number of TrkA-positive neurons in lumbar DRG revealed that the total number of TrkA-positive neurons is not different in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice from that in Ret-Na1.8 Het control mice (Fig. 8C, right). Although the total number of TrkA-positive DRG neurons is not significantly altered in Ret-Na1.8 CKO, the proportion of TrkA-positive nociceptors is increased due to loss of nonpeptidergic nociceptors in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice. An increase in the proportion of “proinflammatory” TrkA nociceptors may contribute to increased inflammation-induced pain observed in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice.

Reduced TMP activity in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice

TMP is a well known marker for nonpeptidergic DRG neurons and is known to colocalize extensively with IB4 (Bennett et al., 1998b; Zylka et al., 2008). A recent report has identified TMP as the transmembrane form of PAP, an enzyme that dephosphorylates extracellular AMP to produce the analgesic molecule adenosine (Bennett et al., 2003). A recent report has identified TMP as a well known marker for nonpeptidergic DRG neurons and is known to colocalize extensively with IB4 (Bennett et al., 1998b; Zylka et al., 2008). A recent report has identified TMP as the transmembrane form of PAP, an enzyme that dephosphorylates extracellular AMP to produce the analgesic molecule adenosine (Bennett et al., 2003). TMP is a well known marker for nonpeptidergic DRG neurons and is known to colocalize extensively with IB4 (Bennett et al., 1998b; Zylka et al., 2008). A recent report has identified TMP as the transmembrane form of PAP, an enzyme that dephosphorylates extracellular AMP to produce the analgesic molecule adenosine (Bennett et al., 2003). TMP is a well known marker for nonpeptidergic DRG neurons and is known to colocalize extensively with IB4 (Bennett et al., 1998b; Zylka et al., 2008). A recent report has identified TMP as the transmembrane form of PAP, an enzyme that dephosphorylates extracellular AMP to produce the analgesic molecule adenosine (Bennett et al., 2003). TMP is a well known marker for nonpeptidergic DRG neurons and is known to colocalize extensively with IB4 (Bennett et al., 1998b; Zylka et al., 2008). A recent report has identified TMP as the transmembrane form of PAP, an enzyme that dephosphorylates extracellular AMP to produce the analgesic molecule adenosine (Bennett et al., 2003).
decrease in TMP staining is due to loss of nonpeptidergic afferents in the dorsal horn. Using qRT-PCR, we found that PAP expression is significantly decreased in lumbar DRG from Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice compared to control mice (Table 1). These results are consistent with the possibility that Ret positively regulates PAP expression and/or activity and that loss of Ret in nonpeptidergic nociceptors results in a decrease in PAP with a consequent increase in formalin-induced pain.

Discussion
Specific deletion of Ret in nonpeptidergic nociceptors of Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice has, for the first time, provided an opportunity to examine the physiological function of GFL/Ret signaling in nonpeptidergic nociceptors in mature mice. Our results demonstrate that Ret is required for the survival, trophic support, and normal function of nonpeptidergic nociceptors and provide evidence that decreased PAP expression or activity may be the molecular mechanism underlying increased formalin-induced pain following Ret deletion in nociceptors.

Ret signaling is required for survival of nonpeptidergic nociceptors
Ret expression increases from embryonic day 17 to PND 7 in maturing nonpeptidergic DRG neurons, while TrkA expression is extinguished between PND 0 and PND 21 (Molliver et al., 1997). A recent study in which Ret was conditionally deleted in DRG neurons using Wnt1-Cre reported that Ret is required for TrkA extinction in nonpeptidergic DRG neurons (Luo et al., 2007). In contrast, we found that TrkA expression is not maintained in nonpeptidergic neurons in adult Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice. Although the rate of TrkA extinction is decreased in Ret-Wnt1 CKO mice, TrkA expression is significantly decreased during the first two postnatal weeks in these mice (Luo et al., 2007), suggesting that, in agreement with our results, TrkA extinction occurs in nonpeptidergic DRG neurons in the absence of Ret. In addition, extinction of TrkA expression in nonpeptidergic DRG neurons is reportedly not complete until PND 21 (Molliver et al., 1997). Since Ret-Wnt1 CKO mice die in the third postnatal week it was not possible to determine whether TrkA extinction continues after P14 or whether TrkA extinction is completed in these mice.

Deletion of Ret in nonpeptidergic nociceptors in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice results in ~50% loss of these neurons. Although GFL/Ret signaling is reported to influence sensory neuron survival

Figure 7. Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice exhibit increased formalin-induced pain behavior. Ret-Nav1.8 CKO (n = 9) mice show increased sensitivity to formalin compared to control mice (n = 11). A, Time course of spontaneous pain behavior following intraplantar injection of formalin. B, Total time spent in spontaneous pain behavior in phase 1 (5–10 min) and phase 2 (10–60 min) of the formalin test. Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice are different from control mice in both phases (phase 1, **p < 0.01; phase 2, *p < 0.05). Data are represented as mean ± SEM.

Figure 8. The number of TrkA-positive neurons is unchanged in lumbar DRG from Ret-Nav1.8 CKO and TOMP (PAP) expression is decreased in the dorsal horn of the spinal cord of Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice. The percentage of EGFP-positive neurons that coexpress TrkA is increased in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice compared to control mice (A, C, left) (*p < 0.05, n = 4 of each genotype). Arrows indicate EGFP/TrkA double-labeled neurons. C, Right, The total number of TrkA-positive neurons is not different in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice from that in Ret-Nav1.8 Het control mice (p = 4 of each genotype). D, E, TMP (PAP) is decreased in the superficial laminae of the dorsal horn of the spinal cord in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice (B) compared with Ret-Nav1.8 Het control mice (D). IB4 labeling is the same in Ret-Nav1.8 Het control (F) and Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice (G). Images shown in D and E are representative of eight mice of each genotype, F and G are representative of 6 mice of each genotype. In C, data are represented as mean ± SEM. Scale bars: B (for A, B, G) for (D–G), 50 μm.
under various experimental conditions (Matheson et al., 1997; Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002; Zwick et al., 2002; Elitt et al., 2006), the role of endogenous GFL/Ret signaling in sensory neuron survival in mature animals is unclear. Analysis of GFL- and GFL coreceptor-null mice has produced inconsistent results (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002) due to overlapping expression of Gfrα coreceptors in many DRG neurons (Bennett et al., 1998b). Determination of neuron numbers in mice in which Ret is deleted has overcome this confound, since Ret partners with all of the GFL coreceptors.

The incomplete loss of nonpeptidergic DRG neurons in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice suggests that a subpopulation of nonpeptidergic neurons is not dependent on Ret signaling for survival. DRG neurons become increasingly independent of trophic factors for survival during the first three postnatal weeks, the same period during which the transition from TrkA to Ret expression occurs in nonpeptidergic neurons (Molliver et al., 1997; Vogelbaum et al., 1998). During the transition from TrkA to Ret expression, a subpopulation of nonpeptidergic neurons coexpresses both receptors, raising the possibility that nonpeptidergic neurons that downregulate TrkA late in postnatal development depend on NGF/TrkA signaling for survival in the absence of Ret. In support of this possibility, before TrkA extinction in nonpeptidergic DRG neurons, NGF can support the survival of these neurons in vitro (Molliver et al., 1997), suggesting that NGF/TrkA signaling can substitute for GFL/Ret signaling to maintain survival of nonpeptidergic neurons. Neurons that lose TrkA expression early in the period of transition when they are still trophic factor-dependent likely die in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO because they lack both Ret and TrkA.

DRG neuron loss was not observed at PND 14 in Ret-Wnt1 CKO mice, suggesting that DRG neuron survival is not dependent on Ret (Luo et al., 2007). However, at P14 TrkA extinction is not yet complete in nonpeptidergic neurons (Molliver et al., 1997). Nonpeptidergic neurons that continue to express TrkA at PND 14 could survive through NGF/TrkA signaling in the absence of Ret. There may be a subpopulation of DRG neurons lost by PND14 in Ret-Wnt1 CKO mice (likely those that downregulate TrkA before PND 14) that is too small to detect.

Central and peripheral projections of nonpeptidergic DRG neurons in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice

Surprisingly, the density of central projections of nonpeptidergic nociceptors appears normal in adult animals despite significant loss of nonpeptidergic DRG neurons in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice. One possible explanation for this observation is that surviving nonpeptidergic neurons elaborate additional collateral branches in the dorsal horn to compensate for afferents that are lost with dying neurons. Whether or not these branches form appropriate central connections is unknown and could impact functional changes observed in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice.

Loss of nonpeptidergic afferents in the epidermis is consistent with the loss of nonpeptidergic neurons observed in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice. Development of epidermal innervation is dependent on NGF/TrkA signaling and independent of GFL/Ret signaling (Molliver et al., 1997; Patel et al., 2000). Whether the maintenance of peripheral innervation by nonpeptidergic neurons requires GFL/Ret signaling in mature mice is unknown. Although 50% of nonpeptidergic DRG neurons are lost, ~30% of the epidermal innervation density from nonpeptidergic fibers is maintained in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice, suggesting that the majority of surviving nonpeptidergic neurons maintain target innervation in the absence of GFL/Ret signaling. This indicates that GFL/Ret signaling is not invariably required for the maintenance of peripheral target innervation, although a subpopulation of surviving nonpeptidergic neurons may depend on Ret for maintenance of peripheral projections. Interestingly, NGF/TrkA signaling is required for the maintenance of peptidergic/TrkA fiber innervation of the epidermis in mature animals (Bennett et al., 1998a), revealing diverse requirements for trophic factors in the maintenance of peripheral projections in peptidergic and nonpeptidergic nociceptors in mature animals.

Surprisingly, total epidermal fiber density is not decreased in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice. Maintenance of normal epidermal innervation density in Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice is not due to expansion of TrkA/peptidergic afferents or to increased branching of surviving nonpeptidergic afferents. Because innervation density is regulated by the amount of available trophic factor in the target field (Kessler et al., 1983; Zwick et al., 2002; Elitt et al., 2006), other Ret-expressing axons that respond to GFLs in the skin could potentially extend into areas of the epidermis from which nonpeptidergic fibers are lost, leaving unoccupied target areas of epidermis with available GFLs. Although peptidergic and nonpeptidergic fibers from small-diameter DRG neurons account for the majority of nerve fibers in the epidermis, other fiber types are present (Rice et al., 1998). Some of these, such as sympathetic fibers, express Ret (Airaksinen and Saarma, 2002) and may expand in the epidermis of Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice.

Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice exhibit increased formalin-induced pain

Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice exhibit increased formalin-induced pain behavior, suggesting that endogenous Ret signaling in nonpeptidergic nociceptors attenuates inflammation-induced pain. TMP, a well known marker of nonpeptidergic nociceptors, was recently identified as the transmembrane form of PAP (Zylka et al., 2008). PAP expressed on the terminals of nonpeptidergic nociceptors in lamina II of the dorsal horn dephosphorylates extracellular AMP to produce the analgesic molecule adenosine, which activates adenosine A1 receptors on lamina II neurons, producing an antinociceptive effect in models of neuropathic and inflammatory pain (Zylka et al., 2008).

The Ret ligands GDNF (Boucher et al., 2000), ARTN (Gardell et al., 2003), and NRTN (our unpublished data) have potent analgesic activity in nerve-injury models of neuropathic pain. Exogenous GDNF restores TMP activity in nonpeptidergic DRG neurons following nerve injury (Bennett et al., 1998b). The identification of the analgesic activity of PAP in nerve injury-induced pain suggests that regulation of PAP expression or activity may be a mechanism by which GFLs produce analgesia after nerve injury. In addition, PAP has analgesic activity in a model of inflammation-induced pain (Zylka et al., 2008). We found that PAP activity is markedly reduced in the dorsal horn of Ret-Nav1.8 CKO mice (Fig. 8). Our findings suggest that regulation of PAP may be a mechanism by which endogenous GFL/Ret signaling in nonpeptidergic nociceptors modulates inflammation-induced pain behavior.

Previously published studies provide conflicting information as to whether GFLs are proalgesic or analgesic. A number of studies have found that exogenous GFLs administered to nerve-injured animals prevent or reverse neuropathic pain (Boucher et al., 2000; Gardell et al., 2003; Hao et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2003; Pezet et al., 2006). However, there are conflicting reports regarding the effects of exogenous GFLs administered to normal animals. There have been reports that subcutaneous administration of GFLs produces sensitization to noxious stimuli (Malin et al.,
2006; Bogen et al., 2008). However, other studies have reported that subcutaneous administration of GFLs does not alter sensory thresholds (Boucher et al., 2000; Gardell et al., 2003; Hao et al., 2003). Here, we focused on the role of endogenous GFL/Ret signaling in nociceptors in inflammation-induced pain. Our findings suggest that endogenous GFL/Ret signaling in nociceptors attenuates inflammation-induced spontaneous pain. We propose that increased inflammation-induced spontaneous pain in Ret-Na1.8 CKO mice may be due to decreased PAP activity in these mice. This hypothesis is based on the reported analgesic action of PAP in models of both inflammatory and neuropathic pain (Zylka et al., 2008). Our results do not address the possibility that exogenous GFLs do or do not produce hyperalgesia in normal animals. Together with previous studies, our results suggest that modulation of PAP activity may be a mechanism by which GFL/Ret signaling produces analgesia in both inflammation- and nerve injury-induced pain models.

In summary, our results demonstrate that Ret signaling is required for the survival of a subpopulation of small-diameter nonpeptidergic sensory neurons but is dispensable for the development and maintenance of central and peripheral target innervation of surviving nonpeptidergic neurons. Importantly, Ret deletion in nonpeptidergic DRG neurons results in altered cold sensitivity and increased pain following formalin-induced inflammation, indicating that Ret signaling modulates nociceptor function in vivo.
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