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Vav GEFs are required for $\beta_2$ integrin-dependent functions of neutrophils
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Integrin regulation of neutrophils is essential for appropriate adhesion and transmigration into tissues. Vav proteins are Rho family guanine nucleotide exchange factors that become tyrosine phosphorylated in response to adhesion. Using Vav1/Vav3-deficient neutrophils (Vav1/3ko), we show that Vav proteins are required for multiple $\beta_2$ integrin-dependent functions, including sustained adhesion, spreading, and complement-mediated phagocytosis. These defects are not attributable to a lack of initial $\beta_2$ activation as Vav1/3ko neutrophils undergo chemotactic-induced arrest on intercellular adhesion molecule-1 under flow. Accordingly, in vivo, Vav1/3ko leukocytes arrest on venular endothelium yet are unable to sustain adherence. Thus, Vav proteins are specifically required for stable adhesion. $\beta_2$-induced activation of Cdc42, Rac1, and RhoA is defective in Vav1/3ko neutrophils, and phosphorylation of Pyk2, paxillin, and Akt is also significantly reduced. In contrast, Vav proteins are largely dispensable for G protein-coupled receptor–induced signaling events and chemotaxis. Thus, Vav proteins play an essential role coupling $\beta_2$ to Rho GTPases and regulating multiple integrin-induced events important in leukocyte adhesion and phagocytosis.

Introduction

Neutrophil recruitment from the blood is a central event in the innate immune response to invading pathogens and tissue damage. Regulation of cellular adhesive activity by the leukocyte $\beta_2$ integrins is an essential element of neutrophil recruitment. Circulating neutrophils maintain their integrins in a low affinity, nonadhesive state and, in response to local inflammatory stimuli, are rapidly activated to bind ligand, a process known as “inside-out” activation. Once arrested on venular endothelium, neutrophils must subsequently strengthen their adhesion to resist detachment by disruptive shear flow, while extravasating through the endothelial barrier for migration into target tissues and clearance of invading microbes by phagocytosis. Studies in mice have indicated that $\beta_2$ integrins are required for both neutrophil recruitment (Lu et al., 1997) and cytokine functions such as complement-mediated phagocytosis (Coxon et al., 1996). The importance of integrin-mediated adhesion for proper leukocyte function is emphasized in leukocyte adhesion deficiency patients, who suffer from severe bacterial infections due to decreased $\beta_2$ integrin expression and/or function (Bunting et al., 2002). Thus, integrins are critical mediators of neutrophil function and innate immunity: however, the molecular mechanisms by which integrins regulate adhesive events in neutrophils are largely undefined.

The Vav family of guanine nucleotide exchange factors (GEFs) activates Rho GTPases (Rho, RhoG, Rac, and Cdc42) by catalyzing the exchange of GDP for GTP. The three mammalian Vav proteins (Vav1, -2, -3) differ in their tissue distribution: Vav1 is expressed predominantly in hematopoietic cells, whereas Vav2 and Vav3 are more broadly expressed. Each Vav protein shares the same set of structural domains (calponin homology, Dbl homology, pleckstrin homology, cysteine-rich, and Src homology 2 and 3 [SH2, SH3] domains) and display overall 50–60% sequence homology (Bustelo, 2000). Studies of lymphocytes from mice lacking vav1, vav2, vav3, or combinations of these genes indicate...
that whereas certain functions of Vav proteins are redundant, individual Vav proteins also serve specialized functions in lymphocytes (Bustelo, 2000; Fujikawa et al., 2003). It has not been established which targets of individual Vav proteins mediate the specific functions in lymphocytes nor is it known which specific Rho family GTPases serve as direct substrates for each Vav family member in vivo.

The function of Vav proteins has been best characterized downstream of immune response receptors (IRRs). Targeted disruption of Vav1 results in severe impairment of T lymphocyte function in response to antigen receptor stimulation (Bustelo, 2000) and impaired FcεR-induced degranulation and cytokine production in mast cells (Manetz et al., 2001). In particular, T cell receptor (TCR)-induced IL-2 production, proliferation, and calcium mobilization are impaired in vav1−/− T lymphocytes (Bustelo, 2000). Interestingly, actin cytoskeletal rearrangements such as TCR capping and actin patch formation are defective in vav1−/− lymphocytes (Fischer et al., 1998; Holsinger et al., 1998), suggesting Vav1 is specifically required to couple the TCR to the actin cytoskeleton. A double deficiency in Vav1/2 or Vav1/3 causes distinct phenotypic effects in lymphocytes, with Vav2 loss causing more severe effects in B cells and Vav3 loss further enhancing T cell defects (Doody et al., 2001; Tedford et al., 2001; Fujikawa et al., 2003). Deletion of all Vav family members prevents production of all mature B and T cells (Fujikawa et al., 2003). Therefore, Vav proteins serve critical functions downstream of receptors other than IRRs that has not been extensively examined.

The exchange activity of Vav proteins is regulated by phosphorylation of regulatory tyrosine residues in the amino terminus (Bustelo, 2000). In addition to IRRs, stimulation of receptor tyrosine kinases, cytokine receptors, and G protein-coupled receptors (GPCRs) induces tyrosine phosphorylation of Vav1, the best characterized family member (Bustelo, 2000). Thus, Vav proteins can couple to diverse signaling receptors and, due to their exchange activity and adaptor domains, are ideally suited to transduce signals to Rho GTPases and the actin cytoskeleton. Previous studies have implicated a kinase cascade involving the tyrosine kinases Src and Syk and previous work from our laboratory has implicated a signaling cascade involving these kinases in integrin-induced phosphorylation of Vav1 in α5β1-reconstituted CHO cells (Miranti et al., 1998; Moores et al., 2000). To determine whether integrin engagement induces Vav phosphorylation in primary phagocytes, we first examined which Vav proteins are expressed in neutrophils using mice deficient in both Vav1 and Vav3. We show that Vav proteins are required for multiple β2 integrin-induced functions, including sustained adhesion, spreading, and complement-mediated phagocytosis, but are not essential for initial agonist-induced inside-out activation. β2 integrin-induced activation of Cdc42, Rac1, and RhoA is defective in the absence of Vav1 and Vav3. In addition, Pyk2 and paxillin phosphorylation, Akt activation, and phosphorylation of the Rho family GTPase effectors PAK and myosin light chain (MLC) are impaired in the absence of Vav proteins. The requirement for Vav is specific for integrin-dependent events, as GPCR-induced signaling pathways and chemotaxis are largely unaffected in Vav-deficient neutrophils. Thus, our work has defined a novel role for Vav proteins as critical regulators of integrin function in neutrophils.

Results
Activation of Vav proteins in response to adhesion in primary neutrophils
Vav GEFs can be activated by tyrosine phosphorylation via Syk and Src family kinases (SFKs) and previous work from our laboratory has implicated a signaling cascade involving these kinases in integrin-induced phosphorylation of Vav1 in α5β1-reconstituted CHO cells (Miranti et al., 1998; Moores et al., 2000). To determine whether integrin engagement induces Vav phosphorylation in primary phagocytes, we first examined which Vav proteins are expressed in neutrophils. Using noncross-reactive antibodies against specific family members, all three Vav proteins were detected in lysates of primary mouse neutrophils, with Vav1 and Vav3 in greatest abundance (Fig. 1A). Quantitation based on the relative affinities of the Vav-specific antisera indicated that the relative abundance of the isoforms is Vav3 > Vav1 by approximately fourfold and that Vav1 > Vav2 by ~30-fold (unpublished data). To examine whether Vav proteins are activated in response to integrin engagement in leukocytes, we examined their tyrosine phosphorylation status after attachment of neutrophils to the physiological α5β1 integrin ligand, complement fragment C3b. Adhesion onto C3b-coated surfaces is dependent on α5β2, as α5β1-neutrophils are unable to adhere to this ligand (Coxon et al., 1996; unpublished data). Because integrin receptors on resting neutrophils are in a low affinity state and require signals from proinflammatory stimuli to induce activation and adhesion to their ligands, cells were treated with TNFα to induce integrin receptor adhesive activity. TNFα stimulation in suspension did not result in phosphorylation of Vav proteins (Fig. 1B). However, upon adhesion to C3b, all three Vav family members became inducibly tyrosine phosphorylated.
Vav proteins are required for spreading and sustained adhesion

To examine the role of Vav proteins in integrin-dependent neutrophil functions, vav1-/- (Vav1ko), vav2-/- (Vav2ko) and vav1-/- vav3-/- (Vav1/3ko) neutrophils were analyzed for their ability to adhere and spread on two β2 integrin ligands, C3bi and intercellular adhesion molecule (ICAM)-1 (α5β2 and αLβ2 ligand), in response to multiple stimuli. In contrast to reported findings for vav1-/- T lymphocytes (Krawczyk et al., 2002; Ardouin et al., 2003), neutrophils deficient in either Vav1 alone or Vav3 alone exhibited no defects in spreading (Fig. 2A and not depicted). However, Vav1/3ko neutrophils were severely defective in spreading on C3bi (Fig. 2A) and ICAM-1 (not depicted) in response to formyl-Met-Leu-Phe (fMLP) and leukotriene B4 (LTB4; not depicted), and TNFα (Fig. 2A). Neutrophil spreading on the synthetic integrin ligand polyRGD was also impaired (not depicted). The defect in spreading was not merely due to a delay in cell spreading because Vav1/3ko neutrophils did not spread even after prolonged incubation in the presence of agonist (unpublished data). This spreading defect was associated with a significant reduction in the strength of adhesion, as fewer Vav1/3ko neutrophils remained adherent on the ligand-coated surfaces after repeated washes (Fig. 2B).

The reduced adhesion was not attributable to decreased integrin expression nor impaired integrin receptor mobilization to the cell surface as we did not detect any differences in the level of αM or β2 integrins in resting neutrophils and saw a similar increase after agonist stimulation based on FACS analysis (unpublished data). Furthermore, neutrophils deficient in individual Vav proteins did not exhibit reduced adhesive strength over a range of ICAM-1-coating concentrations (Fig. S1, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200404166/DC1). Thus, Vav proteins may be functionally redundant in neutrophils and are required for sustained adhesion and spreading in vitro.

Proinflammatory stimuli transduce "inside-out" signals that modulate the affinity and avidity of integrins toward their ligands by inducing a high affinity conformational state as well as lateral integrin mobilization/clustering. Once engaged, integrins transduce "outside-in" signals that mediate coupling to the actin cytoskeleton and the generation of an adhesive force. Visual observation of neutrophils after stimulation revealed that Vav1/3ko neutrophils are indeed able to undergo an initial adhesion to the ligand-coated surfaces, suggesting that the integrin receptors are competent to bind ligand. In addition, unstimulated wild-type (WT) neutrophils displayed a low level of adhesion on polyRGD (Fig. 2B), most likely due to the direct cross-linking of integrins by the high valency of this ligand, which allows adhesion in the absence of additional stimuli (Mocsai et al., 2002). However, adhesion of unstimulated Vav1/3ko neutrophils to polyRGD was significantly reduced (Fig. 2B), suggesting that Vav is required for signals downstream of direct integrin cross-linking. Furthermore, although treatment of Vav1/3ko cells with phorbol ester (PMA), which can bypass upstream signaling events and induce inside-out integrin activation, could rescue the spreading defect of Vav1/3ko neutrophils, this effect was significantly delayed relative to PMA-induced spreading of WT neutrophils—maximum spreading of Vav1/3ko neutrophils occurred after ~30 min of stimulation versus 5 min in WT neutrophils (unpublished data). Therefore, this rescue may be a secondary effect of PMA stimulation and not integrin activation per se.

To investigate inside-out activation, we examined the distribution of β2 integrins in suspended neutrophils stimulated with fMLP. First, α5β2 was constitutively clustered in unstimulated WT neutrophils and was not notably altered by fMLP stimulation. In contrast, α5β2 was uniformly distributed in unstimulated WT neutrophils; however, we were able to detect clustering in response to fMLP in only a very small percentage of cells (unpublished data). Therefore, chemotactant-induced, ligand-independent β2 integrin clustering does not seem to significantly contribute to neutrophil adhesion under the conditions examined in this work.

Neutrophils rolling on selectins in vitro and in vivo rapidly arrest in response to proinflammatory stimuli by binding to ICAMs such as ICAM-1 via inside-out activation of β2 integrins. To address whether the phenotype of Vav1/3ko neutrophils was attributable to reduced initial adhesion due to defective inside-out β2 integrin activation, we compared the ability of WT and Vav1/3ko neutrophils to arrest on coinmobilized P-selectin/ICAM-1 in response to LTB4 under low shear (1 dyne/cm²) in vitro. LTB4-mediated neutrophil arrest on ICAM-1 requires β2 integrin function, as β2-/- neutrophils did not arrest in response to this stimulus (unpublished data). Vav1/3ko neutrophils rapidly arrested on...
ICAM-1 in response to LTB4 and manganese treatment (Fig. 3 B), indicating that Vav deficiency does not affect the intrinsic ability of β2 integrins to bind ligand.

In vivo, circulating leukocytes survey the environment for proinflammatory stimuli by selectin-mediated rolling on the endothelium. At sites of tissue inflammation, rolling leukocytes are quickly converted to firmly adherent leukocytes through β2 integrin-dependent attachment to ICAM-1, a major cell adhesion molecule on endothelial cells mediating chemoattractant-induced leukocyte arrest in vivo (Foy and Ley, 2000). To directly determine whether neutrophil adhesion was affected in vivo, leukocyte adhesion was induced in WT and Vav1/3ko mice by superfusion of fMLP onto the exteriorized cremaster muscle and quantified in individual venules by intravital microscopy. Both WT and Vav1/3ko neutrophils arrested in response to fMLP in vivo (Fig. 3 C). However, arrested neutrophils from Vav1/3ko mice displayed a significant reduction in stable adhesion, as fewer cells remained attached over time. Thus, as observed in vitro, neutrophils from Vav1/3ko mice arrest in response to fMLP but exhibit significantly reduced sustained adhesion in vivo.

**Defective complement-mediated phagocytosis in Vav1/3ko neutrophils**

Neutrophils are professional phagocytes that efficiently bind and clear foreign particles. Serum opsonization leads to efficient fixation of complement C3bi on the surface of bacteria and renders them susceptible to complement-mediated phagocytosis via αMβ2. To examine whether Vav is required for this process, we compared the phagocytic activity of WT and Vav-deficient neutrophils using serum-opsonized fluorescent *E. coli*. Although there was no detectable defect in Vav1ko or Vav3ko neutrophils (not depicted), phagocytosis was severely impaired in Vav1/3ko neutrophils (Fig. 4, A and B). PMA pretreatment was able to only partially rescue this defect in that Vav1/3ko neutrophils contained significantly fewer fluorescent bacteria per neutrophil (Fig. 4 A). The cells were imaged in the presence of trypan blue to quench any extracellular, unphagocytosed bacteria, as demonstrated by the absence of signal in cells incubated with unopsonized *E. coli* (Fig. 4 A). To determine whether the impaired phagocytic activity of Vav1/3ko neutrophils was due to reduced particle binding, neutrophils were incubated with serum-opsonized fluorescent *E. coli* in the presence of the actin polymerization inhibitor, Latrunculin B, which blocks particle ingestion (Fig. 4 A) but not binding. A similar percentage of WT and Vav1/3ko neutrophils contained bound *E. coli* (76% WT vs. 81% Vav1/3ko) and the amount of bound *E. coli* per cell was also comparable (Fig. 4 C). Thus, the defect in complement-mediated phagocytosis of Vav1/3ko neutrophils is not attributable to decreased particle binding.

**Multiple β2 integrin-dependent signaling pathways are affected in Vav1/3ko neutrophils**

Vav family proteins have multiple structural domains in addition to the catalytic Dbl homology domain that mediates...
Vav is required for neutrophil integrin functions
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GEF activity. To examine Vav-dependent signaling pathways downstream of integrin ligation, WT and Vav1/3ko neutrophils were primed in suspension with TNFα and adhered to C3bi. Adhesion-induced tyrosine phosphorylation was significantly reduced in lysates from Vav1/3ko neutrophils (Fig. 5 A). Because two of the tyrosine phosphorylated bands displayed the same mobility as the focal adhesion-associated proteins Pyk2 and paxillin, we directly examined the phosphorylation status of these proteins using phospho-specific antibodies. In accordance with the phosphotyrosine profile, the phosphorylation of both Pyk2 and paxillin was significantly reduced in Vav1/3ko neutrophils (Fig. 5 B), but not in Vav1 ko or Vav3 ko neutrophils (Fig. S2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200404166/DC1).

Integrin ligation results in the accumulation of 3-phosphorylated phosphoinositides, catalyzed by the enzyme PI 3-kinase (King et al., 1997). Activation of the S/T kinase Akt requires 3-phosphorylated phosphoinositides and can therefore be used as a read out for PI 3-kinase activity. Adhesion-induced activation of PI 3-kinase/Akt was also decreased in Vav1/3ko neutrophils, but not singly deficient neutrophils (Fig. 5 B and Fig. S2). In contrast, β2 integrin-induced activation of SFKs in Vav1/3ko neutrophils was comparable to WT (Fig. 5 B), indicating that signaling pathways upstream and independent of Vav remain intact.

It is well documented that Rho family GTPases play an important role in integrin-mediated adhesion, spreading, and migration by regulating the formation and maturation of focal adhesions and of actin-based structures (Ridley, 1999). Vav GEFs can induce activation of multiple members of the Rho family when overexpressed in fibroblasts (Bustelo, 2000) and reduced Rac-GTP levels have been observed in vav1 knockout thymocytes after TCR stimulation, implicating endogenous Vav proteins as Rac GEFs in T cells (Reynolds et al., 2002). Therefore, we examined the activation status of Rho GTPases after integrin engagement by affinity isolating the GTP-bound form using GST-PBD (p21-binding domain of PAK) or GST-RBD (Rho binding domain of rhotekin). Adhesion onto C3bi induced the activation of Cdc42, Rac1, and RhoA in WT neutrophils. However, activation of all three GTPases was impaired in Vav1/3ko neutrophils (Fig. 5 C). We were unable to detect adhesion-induced activation of Rac2 in WT neutrophils by this assay (unpublished data). Accordingly, activation of the Rac/Cdc42 effector, the S/T kinase PAK, was almost completely absent in Vav1/3ko neutrophils (Fig. 5 C). Phosphorylation of MLC, which is mediated by the Rho effector ROCK, was also significantly reduced in the Vav1/3ko neutrophils (Fig. 5 C). Unlike Vav1/3ko neutrophils, Vav1ko and
Vav3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils did not exhibit reduced phosphorylation of PAK or MLC (Fig. S2), indicating that individual Vav proteins are functionally redundant in neutrophils. Thus, β<sub>2</sub> integrin-dependent activation of Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA and downstream effectors of these GTPases is dependent on Vav1 and Vav3 in neutrophils.

**Vav proteins are not required for chemotaxis**

One of the first steps of directional motility is the formation of a leading edge which is stabilized by integrin attachments to the substratum. Furthermore, the creation and turnover of these integrin-based focal adhesions is a tightly coordinated process during cell migration (Webb et al., 2002). Given the defects in spreading and firm adhesion in Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils, we examined fMLP-dependent chemotaxis of these cells in vitro using transwell filters coated with integrin ligands. Surprisingly, we observed no defects in chemotaxis of Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils over a range of chemotactic concentrations on either C3bi- or fibronectin-coated transwell filters (Fig. 6 A). To specifically examine whether Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils exhibited altered cell polarization, speed, or direction of migration, we monitored chemotaxis by video microscopy using Zigmond chambers (Zigmond, 1988) coated with C3bi. Similar to the transwell assays, Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils migrated efficiently in the direction of the gradient (Fig. 6 B; Videos 1 and 2, available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200404166/DC1). Furthermore, analysis of the migration tracks of these cells revealed that similar percentages of both WT and Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils polarized and migrated up the chemotactic gradient of fMLP (Fig. 6 C, 94% vs. 92%). Notably, Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils on average migrated slightly faster than WT cells and traversed a longer distance (Fig. 6 D). Similar results were obtained with LTB<sub>4</sub> (not depicted). Thus, despite significant β<sub>2</sub> integrin adhesion defects, Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils are able to efficiently polarize and migrate in response to a chemotactic gradient.

**Activation of multiple GPCR-dependent signaling pathways in Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils**

Because Vav1 can couple to diverse classes of signaling receptors, it is possible that Vav proteins may also be required for integrin-independent signaling pathways in neutrophils. Many neutrophil chemoattractants are GPCRs agonists and require Rac activity to mediate multiple biological effects (Roberts et al., 1999; Glogauer et al., 2003). Therefore, we examined whether GPCR-induced signaling pathways were affected in Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils in response to fMLP and LTB<sub>4</sub>. fMLP stimulation induced a robust activation of Rac1, Rac2, and Cdc42 in Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils that was indistinguishable from WT (Fig. 7 A). Similarly, activation of PAK, Akt, and Erk1/2 occurred normally in Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils stimulated with fMLP (Fig. 7 B) or LTB<sub>4</sub> (not depicted). We were unable to detect activation of RhoA in WT neutrophils in response to fMLP (not depicted). These data suggest that Vav proteins are dispensable for many adhesion-independent signaling events in mouse neutrophils.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we demonstrate that Vav GEFs are required for multiple β<sub>2</sub> integrin-dependent functions of neutrophils. Although all three Vav proteins are expressed in neutrophils, Vav1 and Vav3 account for ~99% of total Vav protein. Deficiency in a single family member does not lead to any detectable neutrophil dysfunction; however, a combined deficiency in Vav1 and Vav3 results in defective chemoattractant-induced sustained adhesion and integrin-mediated phagocytosis. Adhesion assays under flow and intravital microscopy of the cremaster muscle revealed that chemoattractant-induced arrest is unaffected in Vav1/3<sup>ko</sup> neutrophils, indicating that the adhesion defect is likely not due to a loss in initial inside-out integrin activation. β<sub>2</sub> integrin-dependent activation of multiple Rho GTPases—Rac1, Cdc42, and RhoA—is impaired in the absence of...
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Regardless of whether the loss of RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42 activation is a direct or indirect result of Vav deficiency, impaired Rho GTPase activation may be the major contributing factor in the phenotypes exhibited in Vav1/3ko neutrophils. As previously discussed, Vav1/3 ko neutrophils are able to make initial contacts with immobilized integrin ligands, yet these neutrophils are unable to spread. Although the precise downstream intracellular events that coordinate cell spreading are poorly understood, a role for Rac and Cdc42 has been well documented in hematopoietic and nonhematopoietic cells (Allen et al., 1997; Clark et al., 1998; D’Souza-Schoen et al., 1998). In addition, Rac1- and Rac2-deficient neutrophils exhibit defects in integrin-mediated cell spreading (Roberts et al., 1999; Glogauer et al., 2003). In contrast, Rho has been implicated in events required for integrin-mediated firm adhesion and aggregation in lymphocytes and platelets (Morié et al., 1992; Laudanna et al., 1996; Woods et al., 1998), but not for cell spreading (Ren et al., 1999). Interestingly, Rho, but not Rac or Cdc42, has been shown to be required for complement-mediated phagocytosis in macrophages (Caron and Hall, 1998), suggesting that loss of Rho activation contributes to the defect in phagocytosis in Vav1/3ko neutrophils. Thus, failure to activate RhoA, Rac1, and Cdc42 may differentially contribute to the loss of distinct integrin-mediated events in neutrophils.

Phenotypic similarities with Syk- and SFK-deficient neutrophils

In both platelets (Obergfell et al., 2002) and neutrophils (Mocsai et al., 2002), Syk is required for adhesion-induced Vav phosphorylation, implicating Syk as an upstream regulator of Vav. Not surprisingly, Syk- and Vav-deficient neutrophils display multiple phenotypic similarities, including impaired spreading on multiple integrin ligands and reduced Pyk2 activation (Mocsai et al., 2002). Moreover, despite impaired integrin function, SFK-, Syk-, and Vav-deficient neutrophils do not exhibit defects in chemotaxis. The requirement for Syk in neutrophils is limited to integrin-dependent functions, as GPCR-mediated responses are unaffected in syk−/− mice (Mocsai et al., 2003). This evidence, coupled with the data in this paper, suggests that Vav proteins are critical downstream mediators of integrin-Syk signaling in neutrophils.

Vav1/3ko neutrophil migration in vitro and in vivo

Neutrophils polarize in response to shallow chemotactic gradients by extending a leading edge lamellipodium that is stabilized via integrin-mediated adhesion to the sub-stratum. The evidence that β2 integrins are required for leukocyte migration in multiple mouse inflammatory model systems (Mizgerd et al., 1997; Walzog et al., 1999) demonstrates the importance of these receptors for optimal migration in vivo. Surprisingly, despite significant adhesion defects, Vav1/3ko neutrophils efficiently polarize and migrate on C3bi-coated glass in response to a chemotactic gradient of either fMLP or LTB4. In contrast to WT, Vav1/3ko neutrophils on average migrate slightly faster, most likely due to their decreased adhesiveness. These data, coupled with the phenotypes of syk−/− and sfk−/− neutrophils, indicate that optimal integrin function is not required for the amoeboid movement of neutrophils in chemotactic models in vitro. It is possible that the minimal adhesion that occurs in Vav1/3ko neutrophils is sufficient to mediate this form of chemotaxis in vitro. However, in vivo leukocytes must survey the environment by rolling on venular endothelium and arrest at sites of tissue inflammation firmly enough to withstand the constant shear force from blood flow. Intravital microscopy of the cremaster muscle indicates that Vav1/3ko leukocytes are not as stably attached as WT to the venular endothelium in response to fMLP. Despite this difference, we have observed similar levels of neutrophil extravasation to the peritoneum in WT and Vav1/3ko mice treated with thioglycollate in preliminary experiments (unpublished data). Similar results have been reported for syk−/− and sfk−/− mice (Mocsai et al., 2002); however Rac1- and Rac2-deficient mice display defects in chemotaxis in vitro and inflammatory recruitment in vivo (Roberts et al., 1999; Li et al., 2002; Glogauer et al., 2003). Although it is difficult to resolve this discrepancy, these studies suggest that minimal integrin activity may suffice for both in vivo and in vitro neutrophil migration. Alternatively, thioglycollate, an extremely potent inflammatory stimulus, may bypass the need for Syk and Vav signaling in neutrophils, analogous to phorbol ester stimulation in vitro. Therefore, alternative in vivo models are required to resolve this discrepancy.

Vav proteins in GPCR signaling

Binding of GPCR agonists to their cognate receptors initiates signaling cascades leading to the activation of Rho GTPases, presumably via activation of a Rho exchange factor. Specifically, Rac2 activity is required for multiple GPCR-induced events in neutrophils, including chemotaxis to fMLP and LTB4 (Roberts et al., 1999). The fact that Vav1/3ko neutrophils undergo normal chemotaxis in response to these agonists suggests that Vav1 and Vav3 are not critical mediators of GPCR signaling. In addition, GPCR-induced calcium flux, integrin up-regulation, and initial inside-out integrin activation are unaffected in Vav1/3ko neutrophils. fMLP- and LTB4-induced activation of Rho GTPases, Akt, and Erk are also unaffected, and preliminary experiments with Vav triple knockout neutrophils suggest Vav proteins are completely dispensable for fMLP- and LTB4-induced chemotaxis (unpublished data). Thus, Vav proteins are not critical mediators of many GPCR-induced signaling events in mouse neutrophils, raising the possibility that another GEF, potentially the Gβγ-dependent P-Rex (Welch et al., 2002), regulates Rac activation downstream of GPCRs.

Our results have defined a novel requirement for Vav GEFs as critical mediators of β2 integrin-dependent Rho GTPase activation in neutrophils, in addition to their well-documented role downstream of IRRs. The regulation of neutrophil adhesion and phagocytosis is an important aspect of innate immunity. Thus, Vav proteins may be attractive therapeutic targets for inflammatory diseases.

Materials and methods

Animals

Germline vav1−/− mice (Turner et al., 1997) were provided by V. Tybulewicz (National Institute for Medical Research, London, UK). Vav3−/− (provided by F. Alt, Children’s Hospital, Boston, MA) and Vav1/3ko mice (provided by F. Alt, Children’s Hospital, Boston, MA) were generated as described previously (Hammarskjöld et al., 2000, 2001). For all in vivo experiments, the thiglycollate-elicited peritoneal exudate was used as a source of leukocytes. Animals were used in accordance with guidelines for the use of laboratory animals approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Children’s Hospital, Boston.

Binding and adhesion assays

Binding and adhesion assays were performed as described previously (Roberts et al., 1999).

Migration assays

For migration assays, neutrophils were labeled with carboxyfluorescein diacetate succinimidyl ester (CFSE) and injected into the dorsal 6.8-cm tails of WT or Vav−/− mice, followed by intraperitoneal injection of thioglycollate (6 mg/kg). Labeled neutrophils were collected 24 hr later, washed, and added to dishes coated with immobilized ICAM-1 or MAdCAM-1. As a control, thioglycollate-elicited peritoneal exudate cells were added to dishes coated with immobilized ICAM-1 or MAdCAM-1. Migration assays were performed as described previously (Roberts et al., 1999).
are described elsewhere (Fujikawa et al., 2003). Vav1/3 mice were bred with 129Sv wild-type mice (Taconic) to generate Vav1/3−/− and were crossed to generate all lines. 8–12 wk old age- and gender-matched mice were used for experiments. All mice were maintained under specific pathogen-free conditions.

Isolation of bone marrow neutrophils

Neutrophils were isolated by Percoll density centrifugation as described previously (Mocsai et al., 2003). For a detailed protocol see online supplemental material. Equal cell equivalents were analyzed for Vav expression with rabbit anti-Vav1 (C-14; Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.), anti-Vav2 (2203), and anti-Vav3 (2206; Obergell et al., 2002). Antisera 2203 to Vav2 was produced by rabbit immunization (Covance) with a bacterially expressed GST-Vav2 fusion protein containing human Vav2 amino acids 573–878. It does not cross react with endogenous or recombinant Vav1 or Vav3 by Western blotting.

Neutrophil adhesion assays

All reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, unless otherwise indicated. For C3bi coating, 10 μg/ml mouse IgM (BD Biosciences) was absorbed onto 96-well plates (Immunocon) overnight at 4°C with PBS (Cellgro) and coated with 33–50% mouse serum. 10 min at 37°C of C3bi-coated coverslips and mounted onto Zigmond slides (Neuroprobe). The cells were scored by hemocytometer. For detailed information, see online supplemental material. Neutrophils were coated with C3bi or with fibronectin. Assays were performed as described previously (Ren et al., 1999) except cells were lysed in PBS lysis buffer. Pull-downs were blotted with Rac1 and Cdc42 (Transduction Laboratories) or RhoA (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc.) antibodies. Rac2 antibody was a gift from G. Bokoch (Scrpps Research Institute, La Jolla, CA). For fMLP stimulation, suspended neutrophils were treated with 10 μM fMLP followed by immediate lysis with 2X lysis buffer.

Online supplemental material

The accompanying videos to the still clips in Fig. 6 show wild-type (Video 1) and Vav1/3−/− (Video 2) neutrophils migrating towards a gradient of fMLP in C3bi-coated Zigmond chambers. Analysis of adhesion of single Vav knockout neutrophils to varying concentrations of ICAM-1 is shown in Fig. S1. In addition, activation of multiple integrin signaling pathways in Vav single knockout neutrophils in response to adhesion to C3bi is shown in Fig. S2. Online supplemental material is available at http://www.jcb.org/cgi/content/full/jcb.200404166/DC1.
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