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Abstract

Background: Human T-cell leukemia virus-associated adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma (ATLL) has a very poor prognosis, despite trials of a variety of different treatment regimens. Virus expression has been reported to be limited or absent when ATLL is diagnosed, and this has suggested that secondary genetic or epigenetic changes are important in disease pathogenesis.

Methods and Findings: We prospectively investigated combination chemotherapy followed by antiretroviral therapy for this disorder. Nineteen patients were prospectively enrolled between 2002 and 2006 at five medical centers in a phase II clinical trial of infusional chemotherapy with etoposide, doxorubicin, and vincristine, daily prednisone, and bolus cyclophosphamide (EPOCH) given for two to six cycles until maximal clinical response, and followed by antiviral therapy with daily zidovudine, lamivudine, and alpha interferon-2a for up to one year. Seven patients were on study for less than one month due to progressive disease or chemotherapy toxicity. Eleven patients achieved an objective response with median duration of response of thirteen months, and two complete remissions. During chemotherapy induction, viral RNA expression increased (median 190-fold), and virus replication occurred, coincident with development of disease progression.

Conclusions: EPOCH chemotherapy followed by antiretroviral therapy is an active therapeutic regimen for adult T-cell leukemia-lymphoma, but viral reactivation during induction chemotherapy may contribute to treatment failure. Alternative therapies are sorely needed in this disease that simultaneously prevent virus expression, and are cytotoxic for malignant cells.

Trial Registration: ClinicalTrials.gov NCT00041327

Introduction

Human T-cell leukemia virus type 1 (HTLV-1) is a member of the deltaretrovirus family [1]. Infections are prevalent in southern Japan, the Caribbean Islands, parts of Central and South America, the Middle East, and Africa, where 10–15% of the population is infected [2]. In the United States, 0.025% of volunteer blood donors are infected with HTLV-1, or the closely related retrovirus, HTLV-2. Infections by either virus are common among intravenous drug abusers.

HTLV-1 includes gag, pro, pol, and env genes, encoding the capsid of the virion, the viral protease, the viral reverse transcriptase and integrase enzymes, and the glycoprotein required for viral entry [1]. Several regulatory genes are critical for virus replication, spread, and pathology, especially tax which is capable of immortalizing lymphoid cells in culture and in mouse model systems. Tax-mediated transcriptional activation of nuclear factor kB (NFkB)-target genes is critical for resistance to apoptotic stimuli [2]. Tax also has an important role in T cell activation, proliferation, and genetic instability, factors critically important in leukogenesis [1].

Two to five percent of HTLV-1 infected patients develop myelopathy or a lymphoid malignancy, designated adult T-cell leukemia lymphoma (ATLL) [4,5]. ATLL is classified as smoldering in about 5% of cases, chronic in 15% of cases, acute or leukemic in 60% of cases, and lymphomatous ATLL in 20% of cases [6]. Whereas smoldering and chronic ATLL are associated
with median survivals of 5 and 2 yrs, respectively, the median survival of patients with leukemic and lymphomatous forms of ATLL is 0.5–2.0 yrs. ATLL is usually a malignancy of CD4+ T regulatory cells, although suppressor activity may be lacking, and occasional examples of CD8+ lymphoid malignancy have also been described [7–10]. ATLL is classified in a separate category in the Revised European American Lymphoma Classification, and as a peripheral T cell lymphoma in the World Health Organization classification [11,12]. ATLL is characterized by frequent blood and bone marrow involvement, hypercalcemia, and lytic bone lesions [4].

HTLV-1 is uniformly associated with ATLL, as determined by antibody or nucleic acid assays, and clonality of HTLV-1 in tumor cells [1]. Nevertheless, viral expression is limited or absent when patients present with ATLL [1]. It has been hypothesized that Tax, and perhaps other HTLV-1 genes, are critical for initiation of the T-cell malignancy, but secondary genetic or epigenetic changes are required for disease progression.

Treatment approaches, with variable levels of success for ATLL have included various chemotherapy regimens, a combination of zidovudine and interferon-alpha-2a, antibody or antibody-radio-conjugate therapy, stem cell transplantation, or targeted approaches with bortezomib or arsenic trioxide [4,5,13].

The objectives of the current trial were to assess the efficacy of EPOCH chemotherapy followed by antiretroviral therapy in patients with the acute forms of ATLL, and evaluate the effects of therapy on HTLV-1 DNA and RNA load. The EPOCH regimen was chosen based on demonstrated activity in uninfected individuals with refractory B-cell non-Hodgkin’s lymphomas, and in HIV-associated lymphomas [14,15]. The antiretroviral therapy with interferon and zidovudine was selected based on demonstrated activity in the leukemic variant of ATLL [16,17]. The addition of lamivudine to the antiretroviral therapy regimen was based on reported activity in HTLV-1 associated myelopathy [18]. Sequential, rather than concurrent therapy was chosen to avoid synergistic toxicity of the chemo- and anti-viral therapies.

**Methods**

**Patient Selection**

Inclusion criteria for the study were histologically or cytologically documented ATLL, with CD3+ tumors, documented HTLV-1 infection, evaluable or measurable disease, and adequate hematologic (absolute neutrophil count; ANC>1000, platelet count>75,000/mm3), hepatic (bilirubin<2.0, transaminases<7 times upper limit of normal), and renal function (creatinine<2.0) unless abnormalities were due to ATLL involvement (Protocol S1). The study included patients with a Karnofsky performance score of at least 50%, at least 18 yrs of age who signed the informed consent, and allowed patients with prior treatment for ATLL. Exclusion criteria were active opportunistic infection requiring therapy, concurrent malignancy other than in situ cervical cancer or non-metastatic, non-melanomatous skin cancer, untreated thyroid disease, autoimmune disease, uncontrolled psychiatric disease, pregnancy or breastfeeding. Patients at any one of 50 different sites in the United States were eligible for participation. Institutional review board approval was obtained at all enrolling sites, which included Memorial Sloan Kettering Cancer Center, New York, NY; Albert Einstein College of Medicine, University of Miami School of Medicine, Johns Hopkins University Medical Institutions, and Massachusetts General Hospital, as well as the coordinating site, Washington University School of Medicine. Written informed consent was obtained from all participants in the study.

**Endpoints and Statistics**

Patients were withdrawn from study if they developed a life threatening infection, chemotherapy was delayed for more than six
weeks, severe toxicities developed, or progressive ATLL developed after two cycles of chemotherapy.

The target sample size was 29 subjects, but the study was closed after enrollment of 19 subjects due to slow accrual. The target sample size was based on a two-stage test of the null hypothesis that the overall response rate was \(10\%\), against the alternative hypothesis that it was \(30\%\), at the one-sided significance level of \(5\%\) and a power of 80\% [20]. There were 10 patients in the first stage, and at least two objective responses were required to proceed with enrollment.

Binomial proportions and 95\% confidence intervals were used to estimate response rates to therapy. Logistic regression analyses were used to evaluate baseline characteristics and other covariates with response. The Kaplan-Meier method was used to evaluate the response duration and overall survival. Analysis of variance was used to evaluate the effects of treatment and time on the viral DNA load measurements, and measurements of viral transcripts. A paired two-tailed t test was used to assess viral RNA and DNA values after logarithmic conversion at initiation of treatment compared to time when polymerase changes were noted.

Viral DNA and RNA Measurements and Sequence Analyses

The HTLV-1 DNA assay, performed with peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs), prepared in a BSL3 facility, measured the number of copies of integrated or unintegrated viral genome using ABI PRISM 7700 sequence detector (Perkin Elmer/Applied Biosystems) with primers in pX [21]. The assay was standardized by measuring the number of copies of \(\beta\)-actin DNA, and performed in triplicate. The amount of HTLV-1 proviral DNA was calculated as copy number of HTLV-1 per 100 PBMC = \(((\text{copy number of pX})/(\text{copy number of } \beta\text{-actin})/2) \times 100\).

The HTLV-1 RNA assay was performed with PBMCs. RNA extraction, complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis, and real time PCR were performed with primers designed for amplification of HTLV-1 tax cDNA, and human housekeeping gene hypoxanthine ribosyl transferase (\(hprt\)) for internal calibration [22]. Standard curves were generated using cDNA from MT-2 cells, and all assays done in duplicate, with correlation values of standards more than 99\%. The relative tax mRNA load was calculated by the following formula: HTLV-1 tax mRNA load = \((\text{value of tax})/\text{value of } hprt\) \times 10,000.

For DNA sequence analysis, genomic DNA was isolated from PBMCs using the Qiagen QIAamp DNA blood kit. PCR was carried out to amplify the pol gene (nt 2625–3195) encoding aa. 41–219, followed by automated DNA sequencing with Big Dye terminator V3.1.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Nineteen patients with CD4+ HTLV-1+ acute or lymphoma ATLL were enrolled between October, 2002 and February, 2006 at 5 medical centers in the United States (see Checklist S1). Characteristics of the patients at baseline and during their course of therapy are shown in Table 1. At baseline, an elevated absolute lymphocyte count was seen in 6 patients (range 400–127,000/cu mm), hypercalcemia in 1 patient, and stage III–IV disease in 16 of 18 patients; in one patient, staging was not completed for medical reasons. The LDH was elevated in 15 patients, performance status was 2–4 for 9 patients, age \(>60\) yrs for 6 of 19 patients, and involvement of 2 or more extranodal sites in 5 of 18 patients. The revised International Prognostic Index score was 1–2 in 7 patients, and 3–5 in 11 patients [23].

The HTLV-1 RNA assay was performed with PBMCs. RNA extraction, complementary DNA (cDNA) synthesis, and real time PCR were performed with primers designed for amplification of HTLV-1 tax cDNA, and human housekeeping gene hypoxanthine ribosyl transferase (\(hprt\)) for internal calibration [22]. Standard curves were generated using cDNA from MT-2 cells, and all assays done in duplicate, with correlation values of standards more than 99\%. The relative tax mRNA load was calculated by the following formula: HTLV-1 tax mRNA load = \((\text{value of tax})/\text{value of } hprt\) \times 10,000.

For DNA sequence analysis, genomic DNA was isolated from PBMCs using the Qiagen QIAamp DNA blood kit. PCR was carried out to amplify the pol gene (nt 2625–3195) encoding aa. 41–219, followed by automated DNA sequencing with Big Dye terminator V3.1.

Results

Patient Characteristics

Nineteen patients with CD4+ HTLV-1+ acute or lymphoma ATLL were enrolled between October, 2002 and February, 2006 at 5 medical centers in the United States (see Checklist S1). Characteristics of the patients at baseline and during their course of therapy are shown in Table 1. At baseline, an elevated absolute lymphocyte count was seen in 6 patients (range 400–127,000/cu mm), hypercalcemia in 1 patient, and stage III–IV disease in 16 of 18 patients; in one patient, staging was not completed for medical reasons. The LDH was elevated in 15 patients, performance status was 2–4 for 9 patients, age \(>60\) yrs for 6 of 19 patients, and involvement of 2 or more extranodal sites in 5 of 18 patients. The revised International Prognostic Index score was 1–2 in 7 patients, and 3–5 in 11 patients [23].
Treatment was initiated with EPOCH chemotherapy, with a 4 day continuous infusion of etoposide, vincristine, and doxorubicin, day 5 cyclophosphamide, 5 days of prednisone, followed by 10 days of G-CSF. Five patients received 1 cycle of chemotherapy, 7 patients received 2–4 cycles of chemotherapy, and 7 patients received 5–6 cycles of chemotherapy (Fig 1). After completion of chemotherapy, 6 patients who had not manifested ATLL progression received antiviral therapy for a median of 1.5 mos (average of 3.4 mos, range 1–9 months); 2 eligible patients declined antiviral therapy.

Seven patients were non-evaluable, since they were on study for less than one month. This includes three patients with progressive disease, one patient each who died during the first cycle with fungal sepsis, cardiotoxicity, respiratory failure, or tumor lysis syndrome (Table 1).

Viral RNA Expression

Levels of viral RNA and DNA were determined in PBMCs in 43 samples from these subjects, by real time PCR and RT-PCR assays (Supplementary Table S1). Viral DNA measurements were not predictive of response (not shown). Viral RNA levels were normalized for levels of hprt mRNA (Supplementary Table S1). At diagnosis, viral RNA was undetectable in 6 subjects, expressed at 1.6-24 copies per 10^4 copies of hprt RNA in 6 subjects, and at 180-283,000 copies per 10^4 copies of hprt RNA in 3 subjects. Multiple samples were available for viral RNA measurements in 12 patients during their treatment course (Supplementary Table S1). In three subjects, no changes in viral RNA were detected (subjects 3, 10, 11). In two subjects, variable levels of viral RNA were seen during the course of treatment, variably low levels in subject 19 (0.6-55 copies per 10^4 copies of hprt RNA), and variably high levels in subject 17 (2250-14,000 copies per 10^4 copies of hprt RNA). In 6 subjects increasing viral RNA levels were found during the course of therapy (median 190-fold increase, range 11.8-97,800 fold increase; subjects 8, 9, 12, 13, 14, 15). In subject 16, decreasing viral RNA levels were seen over the course of therapy.

The portion of the pol gene encoding residues 41-219 of reverse transcriptase was sequenced from PBMCs obtained at the same time points used for viral RNA measurements. Changes in coding sequences were found at 0-5 residues over the course of therapy in different patients (Supplementary Table S1). Changes in pol were found in 4 of 6 patients with increasing viral RNA levels (subjects 8, 9, 12, and 15) and 2 of 4 patients that did not manifest increasing viral RNA levels (subjects 10 and 16 versus subjects 3 and 11). In the subjects with changes in pol and increasing viral RNA levels, these changes occurred at the same time (Fig 2 and Supplementary Table S1). Overall, eight of twelve patients had changes in pol sequence and/or increases in viral RNA expression, during the course of therapy, and a ninth patient had variable high levels of viral RNA throughout the treatment course.

Responses

Eleven of twelve evaluable patients (or 11 of 19 total patients) had responsive disease, including two complete remissions and nine partial remissions, for an overall response rate of 91% for evaluable patients and 58% for all patients (95% confidence intervals from 60% to 99% and 36% to 77%, respectively). Disease relapsed in four patients after 1, 1, 13, and 18 mos, respectively. Progression-free and overall survival curves are shown in Fig 3. Nine patients are alive at the time of this report, at 0, 1, 3, 4, 5, 7, 11, and 16 mos after completion of therapy.

### Table 1. Patient Characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject #</th>
<th>ALC</th>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>PS2-4</th>
<th>LDH elevation</th>
<th>Age &gt;60</th>
<th>&gt;2 extranodal</th>
<th>IPI</th>
<th>Best Response</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NE-sepsis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NE-toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>17200</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>NE-toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>1900</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NE-toxicity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1600</td>
<td>II</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>NE-PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>127000</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>NE-PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>11700</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>NE-PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>2000</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>66000</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>17000</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>I</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5400</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>2300</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>1800</td>
<td>III</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>1100</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>PR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>1400</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>1500</td>
<td>IV</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>CR</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key: ALC, absolute lymphocyte count; PS, performance status (ECOG); LDH, lactate dehydrogenase; IPI, international prognostic index; ND, not done; CR, complete remission; NE, not evaluable; PR, partial remission; PD, progressive disease.

doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0004420.t001
Discussion

The response rates, duration of responses, and overall survival of the current trial are similar to previous trials of ATLL. The most recent published Japanese cooperative ATLL trial utilized the LSG15 protocol, and included 58 patients with leukemic ATLL, 28 patients with lymphoma type, and 10 patients with unfavorable chronic ATLL [24]. Patients received seven cycles of VCAP (vincristine, cyclophosphamide, doxorubicin, and prednisone), AMP (doxorubicin, ranimustine, and prednisone), and VECP (vindesine, etoposide, carboplatin, and prednisone). This resulted in 35% complete remissions and 45% partial remissions, median survival of 13 mos, and 31% 2-yr survival.

The limitations of the current trial include the small number of patients, and several patients were non-evaluable. The high proportion of non-evaluable patients is likely due to the intensity of the treatment regimen and the poor prognostic features of the enrolled patients. In addition, only six patients received antivirals, and no patient received the planned 12 mos of antiviral therapy, making it impossible to evaluate the relative contribution of this therapy in the trial.

Viral DNA measurements were feasible, but did not have prognostic or predictive power. However, results of viral RNA measurements were quite unexpected. Although much of the literature suggests that little if any viral RNA is expressed in patients at the time of presentation with ATLL [25–28], in fact, the majority of our patients had detectable \( \text{tax} \) viral RNA at diagnosis, including 3 of 15 patients with high levels of viral RNA at presentation. During the course of therapy, viral RNA levels were measured on subsequent samples in 12 subjects. In 6 of these subjects, increased viral RNA levels were found on subsequent measurements, and in one other patient with a high initial viral level, variably high levels were found at subsequent measurements. In 4 of 6 patients with increasing viral RNA levels during the course of therapy, changes were first identified in the reverse transcriptase open reading frame at the same time. Sequence changes in reverse transcriptase did not correspond with those predicted to give rise to zidovudine or lamivudine-resistance based on studies of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase, and generally preceded antiviral therapy [29]. These sequence changes may have arisen from selection of rare viral variants, or more likely, as a result of error-prone retrovirus replication. Such sequence changes have not been described in patients who are not undergoing therapy [30–32].

The finding of virus expression and mutation raises questions as to whether or not these activities are related to ATLL relapse or progression. If there is such a relationship, virus expression and mutation could be a marker of progression, perhaps a manifestation of lymphocyte activation [33]. Alternatively, virus expression and mutation could be a cause of progression, possibly through generation of clonal heterogeneity of infected tumor cells. This could result from changes in the viral integration site which...
could select for expression or inactivation of critical cellular sequences, or for integration in sites that are more transcriptionally active [25]. Alternatively, this could be due to therapy-mediated clearance of clonal ATLL cells carrying quiescent virus, and subsequent repopulation and infection of T cells with HTLV-1. Changes in integration sites during the treatment course were confirmed in several of these patients (not shown). Another possibility is that virus expression or mutation results in secondary genetic events that select for tumor progression, independent of new integration events [34]. In either event, incorporation of antiviral therapy earlier in the course of therapy could be beneficial.

Although most evaluable patients achieved responses, responses were not long, with relapses occurring during or shortly after completion of chemotherapy in many patients. Thus, more effective therapies are required. Although the leukemic form of ATLL responds well to zidovudine and alpha interferon-2a, the lymphomatous form is poorly responsive to most therapies [16,17]. Various strategies might be considered to improve current chemotherapy regimens, such as simultaneous use of chemotherapy and antiviral agents, addition of a proteasome inhibitor or other agent to overcome NFkB-induced chemoresistance [35,36], or p53 inactivation in tumor cells [37]. Alternatively, chemotherapy combined with antibody or antibody conjugates may be considered [38]. Approaches using allogeneic stem cell transplantation, perhaps earlier in the course of disease, may be appropriate for younger patients for whom a donor can be identified [39]. The current trial of chemoantiviral therapy and the translational studies of viral RNA provide novel insights to improve therapy for this hematopoietic malignancy.
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