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Roles of ON Cone Bipolar Cell Subtypes in Temporal Coding in the Mouse Retina

Tomomi Ichinose, Bozena Fyk-Kolodziej, and Jesse Cohn

Department of Ophthalmology and Visual Sciences, Washington University School of Medicine, St. Louis, Missouri 63110, and Departments of Anatomy and Cell Biology, and Ophthalmology, Wayne State University School of Medicine, Detroit, Michigan 48201

In the visual system, diverse image processing starts with bipolar cells, which are the second-order neurons of the retina. Thirteen subtypes of bipolar cells have been identified, which are thought to encode different features of image signaling and to initiate distinct signal-processing streams. Although morphologically identified, the functional roles of each bipolar cell subtype in visual signal encoding are not fully understood. Here, we investigated how ON cone bipolar cells of the mouse retina encode diverse temporal image signaling. We recorded bipolar cell voltage changes in response to two different input functions: sinusoidal light and step light stimuli. Temporal tuning in ON cone bipolar cells was diverse and occurred in a subtype-dependent manner. Subtypes 5s and 8 exhibited low-pass filtering property in response to a sinusoidal light stimulus, and responded with sustained fashion to step-light stimulation. Conversely, subtypes 5f, 6, 7, and XBC exhibited bandpass filtering property in response to sinusoidal light stimuli, and responded transiently to step-light stimuli. In particular, subtypes 7 and XBC were high-temporal tuning cells. We recorded responses in different ways to further examine the underlying mechanisms of temporal tuning. Current injection evoked low-pass filtering, whereas light responses in voltage-clamp mode produced bandpass filtering in all ON bipolar cells. These findings suggest that cone photoreceptor inputs shape bandpass filtering in bipolar cells, whereas intrinsic properties of bipolar cells shape low-pass filtering. Together, our results demonstrate that ON bipolar cells encode diverse temporal image signaling in a subtype-dependent manner to initiate temporal visual information-processing pathways.
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Introduction

The retina is the gateway to the visual system. Images are captured by photoreceptors, and then bipolar and ganglion cells encode distinct features of image signaling by forming selective neural streams. Retinal neurons in many species comprise numerous subtypes: >10 subtypes of bipolar cells (Boycott and Wässle, 1991; Euler and Wässle, 1995; Wu et al., 2000; Ghosh et al., 2004; MacNeil et al., 2004; Pignatelli and Strettoi, 2004); 15 subtypes of ganglion cells (Sun et al., 2002a,b; Dacey et al., 2003); and numerous subtypes of amacrine cells (Masland, 2001). Multiple subtypes of retinal neurons are thought to encode distinct features of visual signaling, such as motion and color, and these subtypes form multiple neural pathways (Masland, 2001; Wässle, 2004). Visual signaling pathways have been studied for decades. X and Y ganglion cells were characterized as the origins of two separate visual signaling pathways; they respond linearly and nonlinearly to sinusoidal light stimuli and in a sustained and transient fashion to step-pulse light stimuli, respectively (Enroth-Cugell and Robson, 1966; Cleland et al., 1971). This transient and sustained dichotomy is observed throughout the visual system, from retinal bipolar cells to striate cortical cells (Cleland et al., 1971; Ikeda and Wright, 1974; Awatramani and Slaughter, 2000). Psychophysical experiments further characterized the functions of the two distinct neural pathways: parvocellular (sustained) pathways for encoding color and shape, and magnocellular (transient) pathways for encoding motion (Livingstone and Hubel, 1987, 1988). These two visual pathways are representative of parallel processing pathways; however, it is not fully understood how this dichotomy corresponds to multiple retinal neuron subtypes.

Technical difficulties have prevented the investigation of bipolar cell physiological functions in the mammalian retina because bipolar cells are small and are not easily accessible (Sterling and Smith, 2004). We have overcome these difficulties by using improved techniques and methods, so as to maintain cells in excellent condition. The retinal preparation was maintained in a cold and oxygenated solution throughout the dissection, and Ames’s medium was used during recordings. Also, relatively small-tip pipettes (~10 MΩ) were used to stabilize optimal recording conditions. Furthermore, we used neurobiotin injection
and calretinin [or choline acetyltransferase (ChAT)] immuno-staining to verify the subtype of each recorded bipolar cell.

We characterized temporal aspects of visual signaling in six subtypes of ON cone bipolar cells in the mouse retina using two input functions: step-light and sinusoidal light stimuli. We found that different subtypes of ON cone bipolar cells tune to distinct temporal visual inputs. Subtypes 7, 5f, and XBC ON bipolar cells were sensitive to changing stimuli, whereas subtypes 5s and 8 ON bipolar cells were sensitive to static objects. Subtype 6 was in between these two groups. We also recorded sinuosoidal responses with distinct methods to elucidate possible underlying mechanisms for these differences. Collectively, our results indicate that temporal processing begins in ON bipolar cells in a subtype-dependent manner.

Materials and Methods

Retinal preparation. Animal protocols were approved by the Washington University School of Medicine Animal Studies Committee and the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee of Wayne State University. The experimental techniques were similar to those described previously (Ichinose and Lukasiewicz, 2012). Mice (28–60 d old; male, C57BL/6j strain; The Jackson Laboratory) were dark adapted overnight, and were killed using carbon dioxide and pneumothorax. An eye was placed in the cooled, oxygenated dissecting solution (see Solution and drugs, below) in a 10 cm plastic dish. Using a dissecting microscope, the cornea and the lens were quickly removed to make the eye cup, which was incubated with hyaluronidase (0.5 mg/ml; Sigma) for 15 min to digest vitreous matter. The vitreous was also gently removed with an extra-fine forceps after enzyme application. Then, the retina was isolated, placed on a piece of filter membrane (HA50G1300, Millipore), and cut into slice preparations (250 μm thickness) using a hand-made chopper. Slices used for recording were from the dorsal part of the retina. Retinal dissection and physiological recording procedures were performed in dark-adapted conditions under infrared illumination. The dissection medium was cooled and continuously oxygenated. The retinal preparations were stored in an oxygenated dark box at room temperature until physiological recordings were performed.

Patch-clamp recording. Whole-cell patch recordings and perforated patch-clamp with amphotericin B (0.3 mg/ml, Sigma) were made from bipolar cell somas in retinal slices by viewing them with an upright microscope (Slicescoper Pro 2000) equipped with a CCD camera (Retiga-2000R, Q Imaging). Light-evoked EPSPs (L-EPSPs) or light-evoked EPSCs (L-EPSCs) were recorded at the resting membrane potential and at −60 mV, respectively. If the resting potential was above −40 mV, the cell was not used for further analysis. All recordings were made at 30°C except for a few bipolar cells used in temperature effect experiments. Liquid junction potentials were corrected after each recording. Electrodes were pulled from borosilicate glass (1B150F-4, World Precision Instruments) with a P1000 Micropipette Puller (Sutter Instruments) and had resistances of 7–11 MΩ.

Light stimulation. Light stimuli were generated using a CoolLED pE-2 system that was controlled with Clampex software. Either 500 or 360 nm LED light was projected to the slice preparation through a 60× objective lens. The diameter of the light was adjusted to 100 μm, which is slightly larger than the size of the receptive field center of a bipolar cell (Bertson and Taylor, 2000; Borghuis et al., 2013). The spot light illuminated photoreceptors in the vicinity of recording bipolar cells. L-EPSPs and L-EPSCs were evoked in bipolar cells. Initially, step L-EPSPs were recorded in the dark-adapted conditions. If sizable L-EPSPs were observed, the preparations were adapted to continuous background light at the rod photoreceptor saturated level of 4.35 × 10⁷ photons/μm²/s for at least 5 min until the amplitude of light-adapted L-EPSPs stabilized. A step light (30% Weber contrast, 1 s) and a sinusoidal light composed of various frequencies (0.3–20 Hz, 30% Weber contrast) were projected sequentially on top of the background illumination (Fig. 2). L-EPSPs in rod bipolar cells were evoked in response to a step light of 10⁷ photons/μm²/s for 1 s in dark-adapted conditions. Sinusoidal patterns were created and customized using MatLab (MathWorks). For some ON bipolar cells, all frequencies of sinusoidal patterns were combined and applied at the same time (Fig. 2E). This pattern was made by adding eight sinusoidal waves of 0.15, 0.6, 1, 2.5, 6, 9, 15, and 21 Hz.
The IPL depth was the ratio of the distances from the inner nuclear layer (INL)/IPL border to the object and to the IPL thickness. The IPL depth was 0% if the object was on the INL/IPL border, and 100% if it was on the IPL/ganglion cell layer. Axon terminal ramification width was measured, and the ratio against the IPL thickness was calculated.

**Data analysis.** For step-pulse light-evoked L-EPSPs, the amplitudes (in millivolts) of the transient and sustained components at 0.8–1.0 s were measured using Clampfit software (Molecular Devices). The transient-sustained ratio was calculated as 1 – (sustained amplitude)/ (transient amplitude). The ratio is close to 1.0 for a transient response, and 0.0 for a sustained cell. The offset response was also measured at the negative peak after step-pulse stimulation relative to the sustained component of L-EPSPs.

For sinusoidal responses, Clampfit and MatLab were used to measure amplitude (in millivolts) by FFT analysis. Fundamental and multiple harmonics amplitudes were added to achieve accurate amplitude measurements. Sinusoidal responses were analyzed when responses were stabilized for each frequency, usually after a few cycles (Fig. 2). The trough-to-peak amplitudes of L-EPSPs and L-EPSCs were normalized to the maximum responses in each cell, and converted to the decibel scale. For each cell, the response was plotted as a function of sinusoidal frequency (0.3–20 Hz). Cutoff responses were −3 dB of the maximal response, where the energy has fallen to half of its peak. If the L-EPSP amplitude attenuated >3 dB only at higher frequencies, it was categorized as a low-pass filter. If the L-EPSP amplitude attenuated >3 dB both at lower and higher frequencies from its peak, it was categorized as a bandpass filter. The filter property was determined only at measured sinusoidal frequencies from 0.3 to 20 Hz. The bandwidth was measured as the frequency 3 dB down points. For cells that exhibited properties near to low-pass filtering, the cutoff frequency was measured by extrapolating the frequency–response curves. The unit of bandwidth (BW) is an octave, which is calculated as $\text{BW} = \log_2 \left( \frac{f_2}{f_1} \right)$, where $f_1$ is the lower cutoff and $f_2$ is the higher cutoff. The bandpass-filtering nature was determined by bandwidth and the “peak frequency” of sinusoidal stimuli, which evokes the maximum amplitude of L-EPSPs for an ON bipolar cell. Values are presented as the mean ± SEM, and differences were considered significant at $p < 0.05$. Two-tailed Student’s $t$ tests were used to determine whether L-EPSPs were significant between ON bipolar cell subtypes.

**Results**

**ON bipolar subtype determination**

Around 13 subtypes of bipolar cells in the mouse retina have been characterized by morphological studies (Ghosh et al., 2004; Pignatelli and Strettoi, 2004; Helmsmaeder et al., 2013). However, it is not well understood to what extent each subtype plays a specific role in encoding distinct images. Before characterizing the temporal tuning of each ON bipolar cell subtype, we carefully categorized the subtypes of the recorded bipolar cells by referring to the study by Wässe et al. (2009). ON bipolar cell subtypes in the mouse retina have been characterized mainly by their axon terminal ramification patterns in the IPL (Ghosh et al., 2004; Pignatelli and Strettoi, 2004). We blindly performed patch-clamp recordings from ON bipolar cells in C57BL/6J mouse retinal slice preparations, injected sulforhodamine B and neurobiotin through...
the pipettes during physiological recordings, fixed the retinal preparation after recordings, and determined subtypes using an immunohistochemical method (Ghosh et al., 2004).

Bipolar cell axon terminals were clearly visualized by sulforhodamine B and neurobiotin injections (Fig. 1). We confirmed that neither sulforhodamine B nor neurobiotin injection during the physiological experiments affected the light responses. We recorded step light-evoked L-EPSPs in rod bipolar cells in dark-adapted retinas in the following three conditions: perforated patch-clamp; whole-cell recordings with sulforhodamine; and whole-cell recordings with both sulforhodamine and neurobiotin. L-EPSPs in response to step-pulse were 6.95 ± 1.7 mV (n = 4, perforated patch), 8.75 ± 2.7 mV (n = 3, sulforhodamine), and 8.3 ± 1.0 mV (n = 5, sulforhodamine and neurobiotin); and no differences were found among the groups (p > 0.1 in any combination, unpaired t test). Together, these data indicate that neither sulforhodamine nor neurobiotin affected light responses in bipolar cells.

Calretinin labels three discrete bands in the IPL. The outer and inner bands colocalize with ChAT and the mid-band divides sublaminae a and b (OFF and ON, respectively) IPLs in the mouse retina (Haverkamp and Wässle, 2000). In our data, the IPL depths of the calretinin bands were 23.9 ± 0.8%, 40.1 ± 0.7%, and 56.1 ± 1% (n = 19; Fig. 1), which are consistent with previous reports (Ghosh et al., 2004). We also confirmed that the upper and the lower calretinin bands colocalized with ChAT bands (data not shown). Neurobiotin labeling was not always successfully attributable to weak staining or slice-handling failure after fixation. When neurobiotin labeling was unsuccessful, we determined the ON bipolar cell subtype by analyzing sulforhodamine-labeled terminal images in comparison with other bipolar cells labeled both with sulforhodamine and neurobiotin (Fig. 1G). Sulforhodamine staining was 100% successful, while neurobiotin labeling was successful 56% of the time (24 of 43 ON cone bipolar cells with successful light response recordings). The IPL depth and axon terminal pattern were consistent in each subtype. All ON bipolar cells that depolarized at the onset of light stimuli ramified in the inner IPL (40–100%). No bipolar cells ramified in both the ON and OFF sublaminae.

Axon terminals of subtype 5 cells ramified between mid-calretinin band and inner calretinin band (ChAT band; n = 19; Fig. 1A–C). Some branches extended on top of the ChAT band (Fig. 1A); however, they never reached out to the inner IPL. The axon terminal widths varied from narrow field (0.19) to wide field (1.07), with an average IPL thickness of 0.59 ± 0.07. A recent article from Helmstaedter et al. (2013) reported XBC as a separate subtype from subtype 5 cells. We also confirmed that the ON bipolar cell subtype by analyzing sulforhodamine-labeled terminal images in comparison with other bipolar cells labeled both with sulforhodamine and neurobiotin (Fig. 1G). Sulforhodamine staining was 100% successful, while neurobiotin labeling was successful 56% of the time (24 of 43 ON cone bipolar cells with successful light response recordings). The IPL depth and axon terminal pattern were consistent in each subtype. All ON bipolar cells that depolarized at the onset of light stimuli ramified in the inner IPL (40–100%). No bipolar cells ramified in both the ON and OFF sublaminae.

The ramification pattern of subtype 6 ON bipolar cells was easily distinguished from the other subtypes. The axon terminal structure was triangle shaped, and ramified on the both outer and inner sides of the ChAT band from sublaminae 3–5, which was consistent with Syt2 immunolabeling (Fig. 1D, E; Wässle et al., 2009). The axon terminals were narrow field in the IPL with a width of 0.44 ± 0.1 of IPL thickness (n = 6). Axon terminals reached the ganglion cell layer in some cases (Fig. 1D), whereas the terminals of other cells ramified near the ChAT band (Fig. 1E).

Subtype 7 axon terminals ramified in parallel to the ChAT band, which was similar to the subtype 5 terminal ramification pattern. Subtype 5 cells ramified in the outer part of the ChAT band (S3). In contrast, all subtype 7 terminals started branching out after they crossed the ChAT band (n = 8; Fig. 1F). The majority of terminal branches stayed close to the ChAT band. Subtype 7 axon terminals were medium sized (0.44 ± 0.06 of the IPL thickness).

Finally, axon terminals of subtype 8 cells were easily distinguished from other subtypes. They ramified the innermost part of the IPL and were wide field (0.87 ± 0.2 of IPL thickness, n = 5; Fig. 1G). We could not identify any differences between subtypes 8 and 9 cells. Subtype 9 cells are blue cone bipolar cells (Haverkamp et al., 2005); however, all of the innermost ramifying cells we recorded responded both to green and UV light stimuli, and thus we identified them as subtype 8 cells.

Temporal tuning is ON bipolar cell subtype dependent

We investigated how each ON bipolar cell encoded distinct temporal visual inputs. We examined temporal encoding with the following two distinct input functions: sinusoidal light and step-pulse stimuli. Sinusoidal light stimuli directly measured the temporal sensitivity of the cell from slow (0.3 Hz) to fast (20 Hz; Fig. 2). Step-pulse stimuli evoked transient and/or sustained EPSPs. Both popular methods are useful tools to characterize the temporal profile of a cell (Cruse, 2008).

We used both green (500 nm) and UV (360 nm) light to examine chromatic sensitivity in ON bipolar cells (Breuninger et al., 2011). In the present study, all recorded bipolar cells responded to both wavelengths similarly; thus, we analyzed only the green light-evoked responses.

Our goal was to determine how cone bipolar cells responded to cone photoreceptor inputs. To achieve this goal, we isolated the transmissions between cones and bipolar cells by blocking the lateral inhibitory inputs. We used a small spot of illumination (diameter, 100 μm), which limited the activation of both the horizontal and amacrine cells. We also included inhibitory receptor antagonists in the bath solution to block amacrine cell inputs. The cocktail of the inhibitory receptor blockers eliminated light-evoked IPSCs recorded at 0 mV (data not shown, n = 3). We also tested the effect of inhibitory receptor blockers on L-EPSPs in these conditions. Unlike previous results (Molnar and Werlin, 2007; Eggers and Lukasiewicz, 2010), these blockers did not increase the amplitude of L-EPSPs (123 ± 19%; p = 0.6; n = 9) or change the temporal properties (peak frequency: no change; bandwidth: 115 ± 10% of control solution; p = 0.2, n = 9; ON bipolar cell subtypes: n = 3 for subtype 5; n = 3 for XBC; n = 1 each for subtypes 6, 7, and 8), which was most likely attributable to our light stimulus conditions. We also applied background illumination at a rod-saturated level to suppress rod-signaling pathways. In this condition, both step light and sinusoidal light stimuli barely evoked light responses in rod bipolar cells (n = 23). Together, our recording conditions effectively isolated cone photoreceptor–cone bipolar cell transmission.

We recorded L-EPSPs to avoid disturbing any active conductance, such as voltage-gated channel activity. Whole series of sinusoidal light stimuli and step-pulse light stimuli were repeated at least three times for each cone bipolar cell, and the average responses were analyzed. L-EPSPs in response to each frequency of sinusoidal stimulation were consistent for most bipolar cell recordings. L-EPSPs were also consistent when we changed the
order of the sinusoidal frequencies \((n = 4)\), suggesting that the 10 s interval between distinct frequencies was sufficient to recover from adaptation to previous sinusoidal stimulation paradigms (Fig. 2).

To compare the temporal properties in different subtypes of ON bipolar cells, we needed to select an appropriate stimulus contrast level. The stimulus should evoke stable L-EPSPs without saturation because a high-contrast stimulus might cause response distortion or rundown (Burkhardt et al., 2004). We tested different contrast levels using a combination of sinusoidal stimuli, which enabled us to record temporal tuning in different conditions (Fig. 2E). We found that this stimulus protocol evoked L-EPSPs similar to the ones evoked by sequential sinusoidal stimulation [Fig. 2B; peak frequency 2.6 ± 0.4 (combination protocol)] vs 2.8 ± 0.2 (sequential protocol); bandwidths 1.96 ± 0.3 (combination) vs 2.59 ± 0.6 (sequential), \(p = 0.3\), paired \(t\) test; \(n = 3\) ganglion cells and \(n = 2\) bipolar cells].

We examined the different contrast levels from 10 to 60% (Fig. 3). The L-EPSP amplitude was continuously increased both for a bandpass-filtering bipolar cell (Fig. 3A, left) and for a low-pass-filtering bipolar cell (Fig. 3A, right). The amplitude at the peak frequency continuously increased in seven ON bipolar cells (Fig. 3B). However, temporal properties were consistent at these contrast levels (peak frequency and bandwidth, \(p > 0.1\) between different contrast levels; \(n = 6\) including subtypes 5, 6, and 7 cells). We chose a 30% contrast level to investigate temporal properties in ON bipolar cells because it evoked stable and subsaturated L-EPSPs. The peak amplitude of the step light-evoked L-EPSPs also increased similarly at this range of contrast changes (Fig. 3C). However, the sustained/transient ratio did not change [transient bipolar cells: 25 ± 4% (20% contrast), 17 ± 2% (30% contrast), and 22 ± 1% (60% contrast), \(n = 3\); sustained bipolar cells: 80 ± 8% (20% contrast), 69 ± 13% (30% contrast), and 62 ± 9% (60% contrast), \(n = 2\)]. Together, the data indicate that 30% contrast light stimuli are suitable to test temporal properties in ON bipolar cells.

Sinusoidal light stimuli evoked two different patterns in ON bipolar cells. One was low-pass filtering, where the L-EPSP am-

Figure 3. L-EPSPs were increased when stimulus contrast was increased; however, the temporal features did not change. A. When stimulus contrast was increased, L-EPSPs were increased at most of the stimulus frequencies in a transient ON bipolar cell (left) and in a sustained ON bipolar cell (right). B. The L-EPSP amplitude at a peak frequency was plotted as a function of the stimulus contrast levels. C. Higher-contrast sinusoidal stimuli evoked higher L-EPSPs in 7 ON bipolar cells. The amplitude of step light-evoked L-EPSPs were increased when contrast was increased in this range.

plitude only fell >3 dB at the higher frequency within a range we recorded from 0.3 to 20 Hz. The other was bandpass filtering, where L-EPSP amplitude increased from 0.3 Hz to a peak frequency and then decreased at even higher frequencies. The L-EPSP amplitude of the second group fell >3 dB at both the lower and higher frequencies. We did not observe high-pass filtering in any of the bipolar cells.

Both patterns were observed in subtype 5 ON bipolar cells. We plotted the normalized amplitude of each frequency tested for all subtype 5 cells \((n = 15; \text{Fig. 4A, right)}\). One group of subtype 5 cells was low-pass filtering to sinusoidal light stimuli (Fig. 4A, right, sample traces and blue curves). Another group was bandpass filtering to sinusoidal light stimuli (Fig. 4A, right, black curves). Various temporal tuning patterns might be attributable to multiple subsets in subtype 5 ON bipolar cells as reported previously (Fyk-Kolodziej and Pourcho, 2007; Wäsle et al., 2009). We analyzed these cells to determine whether the filtering property corresponded with the morphology of the cells. The axon terminals of all subtype 5 cells ramified between the middle and inner calretinin bands; however, the extent of their terminals was diverse. Some terminals were compact \((n = 8; \text{Fig. 1A)}\), and others were wider field \((n = 7; \text{Fig. 1B)}\); axon terminal length, 0.40 ± 0.1 vs 0.73 ± 0.1 of IPL thickness, \(p = 0.009\), unpaired two-tailed \(t\) test). The compact terminals were not created by retinal tissue slicing because we captured the images of all the processes deep in the tissue using confocal microscopy. Cells with compact terminal exhibited low-pass filtering, whereas cells with wider terminals exhibited bandpass filtering. The bandwidth was significantly wider in the former group than in the latter group \((p = 0.017, \text{unpaired two-tailed} t\) test; Table 1). We named these subtypes 5s (slow) and 5f (fast) for compact and wider cells, respectively.

XBCs were bandpass filtering to sinusoidal light stimuli \((n = 5; \text{Fig. 4B)}\). Also, subtypes 6 and 7 ON bipolar cells were bandpass filtering (Fig. 4C,D). However, their bandpass filtering Nature was different from each other. Subtype 7 cells had the narrowest bandwidth and the highest low cutoff, indicating that they were the highest tuned cells (Table 1). XBCs were similar to subtype 7 (for bandwidth, \(p = 0.3\), unpaired two-tailed \(t\) test), indicating that all cell types are the highest tuned ON bipolar cells. Subtype 5f cells were similar to XBCs; however, their bandwidth and low cutoff were slightly different from those in subtype 7 cells (bandwidth, \(p = 0.05\); low cutoff, \(p = 0.038\); unpaired two-tailed \(t\) tests). Subtype 6 cells were less tuned cells compared with other bandpass cells (bandwidth: \(p = 0.036\) vs subtype 5f; \(p = 0.003\) vs XBC; \(p = 10^{-5}\) vs subtype 7; unpaired two-tailed \(t\) tests). Overall, the order of the temporal tuning from high to low is subtype 7 ≥ XBCs > subtype 5f > subtype 6 cells. Subtype 8 ON bipolar cells were low-pass filtering (Fig. 4E).

The resting membrane potential in ON bipolar cells in dark-adapted conditions was −59.3 ± 1.3 mV \((n = 36)\) with no significant differences among subtypes. Temporal features might be affected by different temperature settings. Most of our recordings were performed at 30°C. We examined whether sinusoidal light-
Table 1. ON bipolar cell L-EPSPs in response to sinusoidal and step light stimuli

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subtypes</th>
<th>Type 5s</th>
<th>Type 5f</th>
<th>XBC</th>
<th>Type 6</th>
<th>Type 7</th>
<th>Type 8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Filtering</td>
<td>Low-pass to bandpass</td>
<td>Bandpass</td>
<td>Bandpass</td>
<td>Bandpass</td>
<td>Bandpass</td>
<td>Low-pass</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low cutoff (Hz)</td>
<td>0.19 ± 0.05</td>
<td>0.56 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.1</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.63 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High cutoff (Hz)</td>
<td>5.21 ± 0.5</td>
<td>4.88 ± 0.5</td>
<td>5.79 ± 0.7</td>
<td>7.12 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.34 ± 0.8</td>
<td>3.78 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth (Hz)</td>
<td>5.29 ± 0.6</td>
<td>3.33 ± 0.4</td>
<td>2.84 ± 0.4</td>
<td>4.57 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.3</td>
<td>8.98 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transient/sustained ratio</td>
<td>0.53 ± 0.06</td>
<td>0.69 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.61 ± 0.04</td>
<td>0.77 ± 0.03</td>
<td>0.36 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFF overshoot (mV)</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.1</td>
<td>2.32 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.23 ± 0.5</td>
<td>2.66 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.98 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.94 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as the mean ± SEM.

*All type-8 cells were low-pass filtering feature. Low cut-off was determined as the lowest frequency among all other bipolar cells.

Figure 4. EPSPs elicited by sinusoidal light stimuli were ON bipolar cell subtype specific. A, Subtype 5s ON bipolar cells were near-low-pass filtering (n = 8, blue curves), whereas subtype 5f cells were bandpass filtering (n = 7, black curves). B, XBCs were bandpass filtering (n = 6). C, Subtype 6 cells were bandpass filtering (n = 7). D, Subtype 7 cells were bandpass filtering with narrow bandwidths. A group of cells exhibited particularly narrow bandwidths compared with another subset of subtype 7 cells (p < 0.05); however, no differences were found between these groups in terms of transient/sustained ratio, high cutoff, or offshoot amplitude. The former group of cells is plotted in red (n = 4), whereas the latter group of cells is shown in black (n = 4). E, Subtype 8 cells were low-pass filtering (n = 5).
The transient and sustained responses to step-pulse light stimuli were also ON bipolar cell subtype specific. L-EPSPs in subtypes 5s and 8 were sustained, whereas subtypes 5f, 6, 7, and XBC were transient (Fig. 5A–F). We analyzed their transient–sustained features by measuring their peaks and plateau amplitudes (at 0.8 s; Table 1). The transient/sustained ratio was the highest in subtype 7 cells, which was similar to the ratios in subtypes 5f and XBC cells (p > 0.1, unpaired two-tailed t test). The ratio was significantly lower in subtype 6 cells (p = 0.008 vs subtype 7, unpaired two-tailed t test). The transient/sustained ratio in subtypes 8 and 5s was lower than that in other transient cells (p < 0.01 vs subtypes 5f, 6, 7, and XBC; p = 0.08 between subtypes 5s and 8, unpaired two-tailed t test).

In some cells, the offset light response was prominent, which might be attributable to “OFF overshoot” from cone terminals (Jackman et al., 2009). We measured the offset amplitude and found that it was also ON bipolar cell subtype dependent (Table 1). In subtypes 5f, 6, 7, and XBC ON bipolar cells, the OFF overshoot clearly existed, whereas it was barely observed in subtypes 5s and 8 (p < 0.01, between two groups, unpaired two-tailed t test).

Finally, we compared the results of the two input functions in each ON bipolar cell subtype. We plotted the transient/sustained ratio, bandwidth, and OFF overshoot amplitude for each subtype along three axes (Fig. 5G). The transient/sustained ratio is lower toward the center and higher toward the outer edge of the plot. The degree of bandwidth is lower toward the center and higher toward the edge of the plot, showing narrower bandpass feature toward the edge of the axis. The OFF overshoot amplitude is lower toward the center and higher toward the edge of the plot. For each ON bipolar cell subtype, the mean value was plotted and connected among three parameters (Table 1). For each parameter, subtypes are circled if they were not statistically different from each other (p > 0.1). For all parameters, subtype 7 was the most transient cell type, whereas subtype 8 was the most sustained cell type. XBC and subtype 5f cells were similar to subtype 7 cells (for the ratio and OFF overshoot, p > 0.1 among three subtypes; for bandwidth: p = 0.3 for subtype 7 vs XBC; p = 0.05 for subtype 7 vs subtype 5f; p = 0.4 for XBC vs subtype 5f; unpaired t test). Subtype 5s cells were similar to subtype 8 cells (for the ratio and the OFF overshoot, p = 0.7; for bandwidth, p = 0.05; unpaired t test). Subtype 6 cells were between these groups (for the ratio: p = 0.008 vs subtype 7; p = 0.006 vs subtype 8; for bandwidth: p = 0.03 vs subtype 5f; p < 0.01 vs XBC and subtype 7, p = 10^-5 vs subtype 8; for OFF overshoot: p < 0.001 vs subtypes 5s and 8; unpaired t test).

Based on this analysis, we conclude that subtype 7 cells are the most change-sensitive cells along with XBCs. Subtype 5f cells are three axes (Fig. 5G). The transient/sustained ratio is lower toward the center and higher toward the outer edge of the plot. The degree of bandwidth is lower toward the center and higher toward the edge of the plot, showing narrower bandpass feature toward the edge of the axis. The OFF overshoot amplitude is lower toward the center and higher toward the edge of the plot. For each ON bipolar cell subtype, the mean value was plotted and connected among three parameters (Table 1). For each parameter, subtypes are circled if they were not statistically different from each other (p > 0.1). For all parameters, subtype 7 was the most transient cell type, whereas subtype 8 was the most sustained cell type. XBC and subtype 5f cells were similar to subtype 7 cells (for the ratio and OFF overshoot, p > 0.1 among three subtypes; for bandwidth: p = 0.3 for subtype 7 vs XBC; p = 0.05 for subtype 7 vs subtype 5f; p = 0.4 for XBC vs subtype 5f; unpaired t test). Subtype 5s cells were similar to subtype 8 cells (for the ratio and the OFF overshoot, p = 0.7; for bandwidth, p = 0.05; unpaired t test). Subtype 6 cells were between these groups (for the ratio: p = 0.008 vs subtype 7; p = 0.006 vs subtype 8; for bandwidth: p = 0.03 vs subtype 5f; p < 0.01 vs XBC and subtype 7, p = 10^-5 vs subtype 8; for OFF overshoot: p < 0.001 vs subtypes 5s and 8; unpaired t test).

Based on this analysis, we conclude that subtype 7 cells are the most change-sensitive cells along with XBCs. Subtype 5f cells are
similar to XBCs. On the contrary, subtype 8 cells are the most sustained cells, followed by subtype 5s cells, which might be responsible for detecting static objects. Subtype 6 cells exhibit properties that are between these two groups. Therefore, our results confirm that ON cone bipolar cells encode distinct temporal visual inputs in a subtype-dependent manner.

Search for underlying mechanisms of ON bipolar cell response diversity

Our results indicated that temporal encoding in ON cone bipolar cells was subtype specific. Because we isolated the transmission between photoreceptors and ON bipolar cells, the response diversity most likely occurs by signal modulation within ON bipolar cells. Heterogeneous expression of voltage-gated channels has been reported (Connaughton and Maguire, 1998; Pan and Hu, 2000; Pan, 2000; Ichinose et al., 2005; Cangiano et al., 2007) and might contribute to subtype-dependent temporal tuning in ON bipolar cells.

We first examined photoreceptor inputs without activating voltage-gated channels in bipolar cells. We recorded L-EPSCs in response to the sinusoidal light stimuli using the voltage-clamp mode (Fig. 6). L-EPSCs in response to sinusoidal light stimuli were bandpass filtering for all subtypes of ON bipolar cells. Even for cells with low-pass-filtering L-EPSPs, L-EPSCs were bandpass filtering (Fig. 6B, subtype 5s, G, subtype 8). This indicates that the photoreceptor inputs in ON bipolar cells are bandpass filtering in nature, which is consistent with previous findings in the rod pathway (Armstrong-Gold and Rieke, 2003).

We then investigated the role of voltage-gated channels by recording voltage changes in response to sinusoidal current injection. We used the same stimulus protocol as that used for sinusoidal light stimulation (Fig. 2). We injected current at 1–2 pA, which evoked amplitudes of sinusoidal responses similar to L-EPSPs at 0.3 Hz (compare Figs. 7A, 4A). Responses to sinusoidal current injection were low-pass filtering in all tested ON bipolar cells (Fig. 7B). This was also the case in cells that responded to light stimuli in a bandpass fashion; responses to current injection were low-pass filtering in nature (Fig. 7C, subtype 6, D, subtype 7). These results indicate that bipolar cells are intrinsically low-pass filtering, and that photoreceptor inputs are bandpass filtering in nature.

Together, our results indicate that ON bipolar cells can be divided into three groups. The first is subtype 6, which are passive ON bipolar cells. L-EPSCs and L-EPSPs were similar in these cells (bandwidth, 4.93; p = 0.3 vs L-EPSP in subtype 6, unpaired t test; Fig. 6F), suggesting that visual signaling from photoreceptors is passively encoded. In the second group, subtypes 5s and 8 are sustained cells with low-pass filtering properties. Because sinusoidal current evoked low-pass filtering responses (Fig. 7) and L-EPSCs were still of a bandpass filtering nature in these cells, voltage-gated channels might robustly contribute to the sustained responses. The third group, which is composed of subtypes 7, 5f, and XBC ON bipolar cells, is transient. Although current-evoked responses were low-pass filtering (Fig. 7D), L-EPSCs were not overlaid with L-EPSPs (Fig. 6C, D, F), suggesting that multiple mechanisms including voltage-gated channels contribute to shape their bandpass-filtering nature.

Discussion

Diverse visual signal-processing pathways start at the retinal bipolar cell level (Awatramani and Slaughter, 2000; DeVries, 2000; Wässle, 2004). Several subtypes of ON cone bipolar cells have been identified by morphological studies in the mouse retina (Ghosh et al., 2004; Wässle et al., 2009); however, except for blue cone bipolar cells (Haverkamp et al., 2005), the functions of ON bipolar cells remain poorly understood. In the present study, we characterized the visually evoked temporal properties along with the morphology of six subtypes of ON bipolar cells for the first time in the mouse retina.

Bipolar cell subtypes and functions

Morphological studies have revealed >10 subtypes of bipolar cells in the mouse retina (Ghosh et al., 2004; Pignatelli and Strettoi, 2004), rat retina (Euler and Wässle, 1995), primate retina (Boycott and Wässle, 1991), rabbit retina (MacNeil et al., 2004),
and salamander retina (Wu et al., 2000). Wässle et al. (2009) used subtype-specific markers and concluded that there are 11 subtypes of cone bipolar cells in the mouse retina (types 1 through 9 with two subsets of types 3 and 5). Multiple subsets of subtype 5 cells have been described (Ghosh et al., 2004; Fyk-Kolodziej and Pourcho, 2007). Recently, Helmsstaedter et al. (2013) used connectomic reconstruction analysis to identify the XBC subtype in sublamina 3 of the IPL, which is distinct from the other kinds of subtype 5 cells. Similarly, our morphological and physiological analyses also revealed three subsets of ON bipolar cells in sublamina 3: subtypes 5s, 5f, and XBC. Therefore, a total of 13 subtypes of bipolar cells, including rod bipolar cells, have been identified in the mouse retina. Each subtype of bipolar cell is thought to contribute to visual signaling in a distinct way.

Functions of each bipolar subtype have been studied. Light sensitivity varies among subsets of ON cone bipolar cells, which is attributable to the mixed inputs from rods and cones (Pang et al., 2004, 2010). The effect of surround inhibition on visual signaling is diverse among bipolar cell subsets (Molnar and Werblin, 2007; Zhang and Wu, 2009). Chromatic responses have been shown to be bipolar cell subtype dependent (Haverkamp et al., 2005; Breuninger et al., 2011).

The diversity of temporal tuning has also been investigated. Transient and sustained light responses were found in distinct subsets of ON bipolar cells in salamander and rat retinas (Awatramani and Slaughter, 2000; Euler and Masland, 2000). Three subtypes of OFF cone bipolar cells in the ground squirrel retina tune distinctively (DeVries, 2000; DeVries et al., 2006). Recently, bipolar cell temporal processing has been characterized with imaging studies. Calcium signals at bipolar axon terminals (Baden et al., 2013) and glutamate sensor imaging (iFluSnFR) throughout the IPL (Borghuis et al., 2013) revealed the detailed transient/sustained features in the IPL. In the present study, we performed whole-cell recordings from individual bipolar cells and found that temporal processing occurs in these cells in a subtype-dependent manner. Specifically, we found that XBCs are highly tuned cells along with subtype 7 and 5f ON bipolar cells. In contrast, subtype 8 and 5s cells exhibit a low-pass-filtering feature. Subtype 6 cells show properties of both groups.

### Distinct temporal filtering mechanisms

In the present study, we isolated photoreceptor–ON bipolar cell transmission from the rest of the retinal network; thus, the observed diversity in responses is likely attributable to signal modulation within ON bipolar cells. Diverse temporal encoding in OFF bipolar cells occurs by distinct ionotropic glutamate receptors in their dendrites (DeVries, 2000). ON bipolar cells receive synaptic inputs from photoreceptors via the metabotropic glutamate receptor 6 (mGluR6). mGluR6-linked cation channels were recently identified (Morgans et al., 2009; Shen et al., 2009; Koike et al., 2010). The diversity of mGluR6 has been suggested by Awatramani and Slaughter (2000); however, molecular biological evidence has not been provided. Preliminary work with low-pass-filtering ON bipolar cells (n = 2) converted to bandpass filtering in the presence of a low dose of mGluR6 agonist (L-AP4, 1.6 μM) suggests that the state of mGluR6 is critical to the filtering process (data not shown). Further experimental studies are required to expand this preliminary work on the mechanisms of distinct filtering in ON bipolar cells.

Voltage-gated channels represent another key candidate modulator for signaling diversity in ON bipolar cells. In the present study, all photoreceptor inputs (L-EPSCs) were bandpass filtering; however, L-EPSPs were diverse (Fig. 6). Because current injection sinusoidal responses were low-pass filtering (Fig. 7), voltage-gated channels might play a key role. For example, HCN channels suppressed lower-frequency responses to shape bandpass filtering in rod bipolar cells (Cangiano et al., 2007). HCN channels are expressed in cone bipolar cells (Ma et al., 2003; Puthussery et al., 2013) and might contribute to shaping sustained responses in some bipolar cells.

In contrast, the voltage responses (L-EPSPs) of subtype 7 and XBC bipolar cells exhibited slightly narrower bandpass features than L-EPSCs (Fig. 6). These cells might be tuned by excitatory modulation via Ca²⁺ channels and/or Na⁺ channels because
voltage-gated Na⁺ channels enhance temporal filtering in fish sensory neurons (Fortune and Rose, 1997, 2003) and are heterogeneously expressed among bipolar cells (Pan and Hu, 2000; Pan, 2000; Pan et al., 2001; Zenisek et al., 2001; Ichinose et al., 2005; Saszik and DeVries, 2012; Puthussery et al., 2013). Especially, spiking activity in some bipolar cells might be a key mechanism for fast temporal tuning (Cui and Pan, 2008; Saszik and DeVries, 2012; Puthussery et al., 2013), although we did not find spiking activity probably because our pipette solution did not contain creatine phosphate (Baden et al., 2011).

Roles of retinal ON bipolar cells in visual signal processing
Bipolar cells are called “relay cells” because they pass on visual signals from photoreceptors to the retinal output neurons, ganglion cells. Our results indicate that they dynamically relay visual signaling. Cone photoreceptors in the mouse retina are low-pass filtering with a peak of 5–6 Hz and a cutoff frequency of ~10 Hz (Burkhardt et al., 2007; Qian et al., 2008). In the present study, we found that cone inputs in bipolar cells are bandpass filtering with a peak of 3–6 Hz (Fig. 6B), suggesting that there is a mechanism modulating the signal between photoreceptor output and bipolar cell encoding. “OFF overshoot” is the light offset response at the cone photoreceptor terminals, which enables phasic transmitter release by tonic-responding photoreceptors (Jackman et al., 2009). Because of its regenerative nature (Wu, 1988), the OFF overshoot might be responsible for this conversion from low-pass filtering in cones to bandpass filtering in bipolar cells. Consistent with this notion, OFF overshoot was clearly detected in bandpass-filtering bipolar cells (Fig. 5).

How do bipolar cells contribute to temporal processing in ganglion cells and at higher levels? It is assumed that low-pass-filtering bipolar cells contribute to linear ganglion cells, whereas bandpass-filtering bipolar cells contribute to nonlinear and dynamic ganglion cells. Detailed subtyping of ganglion cells has been revealed only recently (Völgyi et al., 2009; Sümbl et al., 2014), and the elucidation of synaptic architecture between bipolar and ganglion cells awaits future experiments. Several mechanisms functioning between bipolar and ganglion cells have been suggested. One is the amacrine cell feedback, which shapes visual signaling in bipolar cells (Dong and Hare, 2002; Eggers and Lukasiewicz, 2006). Another mechanism is the frequency-doubled response, which occurs at the synapses between bipolar and ganglion cells (Demb et al., 1999; Borghuis et al., 2013).

Figure 8 summarizes how ON bipolar cells might contribute to temporal processing. Interestingly, because of their terminal ramification patterns, both high and low temporal tuned signaling can be transferred to ganglion cells in most of the ON sublaminae in the IPL. This is consistent with the ganglion cell ramification patterns, which ramify in most layers of the IPL (Sümbl et al., 2014). Subtype 7 and XBC ON bipolar cells demonstrated the highest temporal profiles (Fig. 5, Table 1) and axons from these cells ramify very close to the ChAT band, suggesting that these cells provide fast visual signaling to the direction-selective (DS) cells and thus contribute to motion detection. Such a hypothesis is consistent with an article by Yonehara et al. (2013), which identified subtype 5- and also 7-like ON bipolar cells, providing synaptic inputs to DS ganglion cells. Subtype 6 cells demonstrated a passive feature that might be similar to midget bipolar cells in the primate retina (Puthussery et al., 2013).

In conclusion, we investigated temporal encoding in six subtypes of ON cone bipolar cells in the mouse retina. For the first time, we were able to analyze temporal frequency and transient-sustained light responses, occurring at the dendrites to the soma, in a subtype-specific manner. We believe that our results will contribute to the elucidation of parallel processing in the visual system.
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