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ABSTRACT

Background: Disorders within the schizophrenia spectrum genetically overlap with bipolar disorder, yet questions remain about shared biological phenotypes. Investigation of brain structure in disease has been enhanced by developments in shape analysis methods that can identify subtle regional surface deformations. Our study aimed to identify brain structure surface deformations that were common across related psychiatric disorders, and characterize differences.

Methods: Using the automated FreeSurfer-initiated Large Deformation Diffeomorphic Metric Mapping, we examined volumes and shapes of seven brain structures: hippocampus, amygdala, caudate, nucleus accumbens, putamen, globus pallidus and thalamus. We compared findings in controls (CON; n = 40), and those with schizophrenia (SCZ; n = 52), schizotypal personality disorder (STP; n = 12), nonpsychotic bipolar disorder (P-BP; n = 49) and nonpsychotic bipolar disorder (N-BP; n = 24), aged 15–35. Relationships between morphometric measures and positive, disorganized and negative symptoms were also investigated.

Results: Inward deformation was present in the posterior thalamus in SCZ, P-BP and N-BP; and in the subiculum of the hippocampus in SCZ and STP. Most brain structures however showed unique shape deformations across groups. Correcting for intracranial size resulted in volumetric group differences for caudate (p < 0.01) and globus pallidus (p < 0.001). Shape analysis showed dispersed patterns of expansion on the basal ganglia in SCZ. Significant clinical relationships with hippocampal, amygdalar and thalamic volumes were observed.

Conclusions: Few similarities in surface deformation patterns were seen across groups, which may reflect differing neuropathologies. Posterior thalamic contraction in SCZ and BP suggest common genetic or environmental antecedents. Surface deformities in SCZ basal ganglia may have been due to antipsychotic drug effects.

© 2016 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/).
(Mamah et al., 2009). Since shape analysis enables the uncovering of localized deformations on the surface of a brain structure, it may more precisely identify impaired pathways within the brain. This is particularly important in the study of brain structures with explicit regional differentiation in function, such as the thalamus (Sherman and Guillery, 2013) or striatum (Verstynen et al., 2012; Draganski et al., 2008; Lehericy et al., 2004). Previous shape analyses have been conducted in psychiatric patients, including those with SCZ, (Mamah et al., 2009; Csernansky et al., 2004; Smith et al., 2011; Danivas et al., 2013; Kang et al., 2008; Csernansky et al., 2002; Mamah et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2013; Qiu et al., 2010; Zierhut et al., 2013; Stynyer et al., 2004; Shenton et al., 2002; Mamah et al., 2008; Mamah et al., 2007; Ballmaier et al., 2008) BP (Qiu et al., 2013; Hwang et al., 2006; Womer et al., 2014; Ong et al., 2012; Liberg et al., 2014; Liberg et al., 2015) or STP, (Levitt et al., 2009; Levitt et al., 2004) often with varying results. However, studies are often conducted using differing recruitment criteria, scanners, imaging protocols and analyses methodology, which can significantly influence results. Thus, investigating various diagnostic patient groups in a single study, with identical protocol, is therefore necessary to obtain valid comparisons. Our shape analysis represents the most extensive investigation of its kind to our knowledge, comparing multiple subcortical brain structures across several diagnostic groups. We used an automated shape analysis methodology involving Large Deformation Diffeomorphic Metric Mapping (LDDMM) that has been validated and previously applied in the evaluation of disease (Khan et al., 2008; Ceyhan et al., 2011; Qiu et al., 2009).

In the current study, we investigated the volumes and shapes of seven subcortical structures simultaneously (i.e. the hippocampus, amygdala, caudate, putamen, globus pallidus, nucleus accumbens, and thalamus). We compared findings in healthy controls to those of individuals with SCZ, psychotic (P-BP) and nonpsychotic (N-BP) bipolar disorder, and STP, obtained using the same MRI scanner, imaging protocol and analysis methodology. We hypothesize that overlapping structural abnormalities will exist across these groups, with SCZ most affected. Abnormalities are expected to be largely trend toward shrinkage, and be best captured by shape analysis. Due to a probable past history of typical antipsychotic drug use, we hypothesize that the basal ganglia in SCZ will be enlarged.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Participants

The study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of Washington University. Participant groups included: 1) healthy controls (CON; n = 40); 2) bipolar disorder (BP; n = 73); 3) schizophrenia (SCZ; n = 52); and 4) schizotypal personality disorder (STP; n = 12). Participants’ ages ranged between 15 and 35 yrs. Participants were recruited through targeted advertisements in local psychiatric clinics, hospitals, and newspapers and through the Washington University volunteers for health recruitment system. All participants gave written informed consent for participation. SCZ and BP participants were all outpatients, and clinically stable for at least two weeks. They were diagnosed on the basis of a consensus between a research psychiatrist and a trained research assistant who used the Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis I Disorders (SCID-I). The Structured Clinical Interview for DSM-IV Axis II Disorders (SCID-II) was used to ascertain group diagnosis in STP participants. CON subjects were required to have no lifetime history of Axis I psychotic or mood disorders. Participants were included if they: (a) met DSM-IV criteria for substance dependence or severe/moderate abuse during the prior 6 months; (b) had a clinically unstable or severe general medical disorder; or (c) had a history of head injury with documented neurological sequelae or loss of consciousness. BP participants were subdivided into psychotic bipolar disorder (P-BP; N = 49) and nonpsychotic bipolar disorder (N-BP; N = 24) based on the presence or absence of a lifetime history of hallucinations and/or non-grandiose delusions using the SCID-I. Demographic data are shown in Table 1.

2.2. Clinical assessment

Psychopathology was assessed by trained Masters level research assistants using the Scale for the Assessment of Negative Symptoms (SANS) and the Scale for the Assessment of Positive Symptoms (SAPS) (Andreasen et al., 1995). Specific subscale scores were summed to derive measures of positive symptoms (i.e. hallucination and delusion subscales), disorganization (i.e. formal thought disorder, bizarre behavior and attention subscales), and negative symptoms (i.e. flat affect, alogia, anhedonia and amotivation subscales).

2.3. Image acquisition and surface mapping

Magnetic Resonance (MR) scans were obtained using a Siemens (Erlangen, Germany) 3T Tim TRIO Scanner at Washington University Medical School. T1-weighted images were acquired using a sagittal MPRAGE 3D sequence (TR = 2400 ms, TE = 3.16 ms, flip = 8°; voxel size = 1 x 1 x 1 mm).

Surfaces of the hippocampus, amygdala, basal ganglia (i.e. caudate, nucleus accumbens, putamen and globus pallidus), and thalamus were automatically generated using FS + LDDMM, as previously described (Khan et al., 2008). In brief, this method combines a probabilistic voxel-based classification method of FreeSurfer (Desikan et al., 2006) and a deformable template-based method of large deformation diffeomorphic metric mapping (LDDMM) (Beg et al., 2005). The initial subcortical segmentations for the hippocampus, amygdala, basal ganglia and thalamus were obtained from FreeSurfer version 5.3.0, followed by image registration with LDDMM that produced smooth transformations for each region of interest (ROI). A previously-published template based on a healthy volunteer was used (Wang et al., 2008) to derive the segmentations and surfaces. Subcortical segmentations for the hippocampus and thalamus also included boundaries demarcating constituent subfields. Each ROI volume was calculated as the enclosed volume of the mapped surface. Intracranial volume, total gray matter volume and cortical white matter volume were obtained directly from the FreeSurfer pipeline output.

2.4. Statistical analyses

Statistical analyses (excluding shape) were done using SAS 9.4 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, NC). Repeated measures ANCOVA (covaried for age and sex) were used to investigate volumetric group differences in subcortical brain regions, using hemisphere as the repeated measure. To
investigate volumetric effects relative to brain size, intracranial volume was also included as a covariate in specific analyses. Relationships with volumes were explored using Pearson correlations, partialling out diagnosis, age and sex.

To compare structural shape across groups, surface displacement maps were first generated by computing the surface-normal component of the displacement of each surface vertex relative to the overall average for every participant. Then, pairwise group differences were examined using SurfStat,(Chung et al., 2010; Worsley et al., 2009) comparing each clinical group with CON. For each group pair, a linear mixed-effects model was performed at each vertex, modeling the displacement and using group, age and sex as the independent predictors. This produced a coefficient estimate and pooled standard error for group, from which a t-statistic was calculated. Significance was corrected for multiple comparisons by applying random field theory (RFT),(Adler, 1981; Adler and Hasofer, 1976) and visualized as a color map on the overall average surface. RFT on surfaces was an extension of the body of work by Worsley and colleagues on detecting functional MRI activation in 3-dimensional volumetric data (Worsley et al., 1999; Taylor and Worsley, 2007). Multiple comparison correction methods such as Bonferroni or false discovery rate (FDR)(Genovese et al., 2002) are not appropriate because certain properties of the neuroimaging data are not considered by these methods (Perne越好, 1998). Namely, signals on adjacent vertices are correlated; signals may be spatially continuous (i.e., forming clusters) therefore the spatial extent of the signals must be considered in addition to peaks. RFT considers both peaks and spatial extent of the signal by modeling the noise as Gaussian random fields (Worsley, 2005; Chumbley and Friston, 2009). The topology is described by the expected Euler characteristic, which at high thresholds of the unadjusted p-value, becomes the expected number of clusters and approximates the family-wise error rate (FWER). On the surface, the expected Euler characteristic is calculated in terms of a search area, a roughness matrix and a given unadjusted p-value. The first two terms can be expressed together as counts of resel (resolution element), where resel is defined by the FWHM filter used to smooth the surface data. Then for a given unadjusted highly significant p-value at the vertex level, the Euler characteristic is the number of clusters, and the expected Euler characteristic approximates the adjusted p-value at the cluster level.

3. Results

3.1. Intracranial, gray matter and white matter volumes

Intracranial volumes showed a significant group effect (F = 3.6; p = 0.008). Least squared (controlling for age and sex) means of intracranial volumes were (in mm³): 1,518,123 for CON; 1,486,408 for SCZ; 1,525,578 for STP; 1,598,787 for P-BP; and 1,556,028 for N-BP. Post hoc analyses showed significant differences between SCZ and P-BP (p = 0.0004).

Total gray matter volumes showed a significant group effect (F = 3.9; p = 0.005). Least squared means of total gray matter volumes were (in mm³): 653,545 for CON; 622,722 for SCZ; 649,297 for STP; 667,948 for P-BP; and 660,335 for N-BP. Post hoc analyses showed significant differences between CON and SCZ (p = 0.01); SCZ and P-BP (p = 0.0002); and SCZ and N-BP (p = 0.01).

Total white matter volumes did not show a significant group effect (F = 1.8; p = 0.14).

3.2. Hippocampus volume and shape

Hippocampal and other subcortical volumes are shown in Table 2. After controlling for age and sex, there were no significant group effects. Including total intracranial volume as a covariate did not change non-significant group effects.

Table 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Control</th>
<th>SCZ</th>
<th>Schizotypal</th>
<th>PBP</th>
<th>NPBP</th>
<th>F</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>2418</td>
<td>2330</td>
<td>2417</td>
<td>2512</td>
<td>2443</td>
<td>2.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>2441</td>
<td>2396</td>
<td>2431</td>
<td>2431</td>
<td>2421</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>2799</td>
<td>2742</td>
<td>2794</td>
<td>2903</td>
<td>2869</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>2827</td>
<td>2820</td>
<td>2811</td>
<td>2807</td>
<td>2843</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>1350</td>
<td>1315</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>1386</td>
<td>1340</td>
<td>1.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>1364</td>
<td>1354</td>
<td>1334</td>
<td>1338</td>
<td>1327</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1392</td>
<td>1352</td>
<td>1376</td>
<td>1439</td>
<td>1391</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>1405</td>
<td>1390</td>
<td>1385</td>
<td>1392</td>
<td>1377</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>3549</td>
<td>3581</td>
<td>3399</td>
<td>3585</td>
<td>3432</td>
<td>1.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>3588</td>
<td>3600</td>
<td>3422</td>
<td>3460</td>
<td>3396</td>
<td>6.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>3516</td>
<td>3555</td>
<td>3416</td>
<td>3584</td>
<td>3409</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>3553</td>
<td>3660</td>
<td>3439</td>
<td>3454</td>
<td>3373</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus accumbens</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>376</td>
<td>379</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>383</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>0.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>388</td>
<td>390</td>
<td>371</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>370</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>378</td>
<td>369</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>373</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>366</td>
<td>366</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>4767</td>
<td>4899</td>
<td>4868</td>
<td>4927</td>
<td>4692</td>
<td>1.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>4811</td>
<td>5022</td>
<td>4894</td>
<td>4775</td>
<td>4651</td>
<td>3.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>5074</td>
<td>5192</td>
<td>5137</td>
<td>5258</td>
<td>5020</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>5119</td>
<td>5320</td>
<td>5165</td>
<td>5100</td>
<td>4977</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globus pallidus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>1648</td>
<td>1683</td>
<td>1615</td>
<td>1698</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>1663</td>
<td>1726</td>
<td>1624</td>
<td>1646</td>
<td>1575</td>
<td>4.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>1652</td>
<td>1687</td>
<td>1631</td>
<td>1711</td>
<td>1608</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>1666</td>
<td>1728</td>
<td>1640</td>
<td>1661</td>
<td>1594</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Absolute</td>
<td>7681</td>
<td>7495</td>
<td>7617</td>
<td>7751</td>
<td>7599</td>
<td>0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>7749</td>
<td>7689</td>
<td>7658</td>
<td>7512</td>
<td>7533</td>
<td>1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Right</td>
<td>7353</td>
<td>7225</td>
<td>7289</td>
<td>7438</td>
<td>7237</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Relative</td>
<td>7412</td>
<td>7392</td>
<td>7325</td>
<td>7232</td>
<td>7181</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reported volumes are in mm³. All volumes and results were controlled for age and sex. Results were derived from repeated measures ANOVA using hemisphere as a repeated measure.

* p < 0.01.
Fig. 1. Shape analysis of individual subcortical structures. The figures depict displacement maps, generated from mean surfaces of the indicated diagnostic groups relative to healthy controls, for the: hippocampus (A), amygdala (B), caudate (C), nucleus accumbens (D), putamen (E), globus pallidus (F), and thalamus (G). Results were corrected for age and sex. Demarcation lines on hippocampal and thalamic surfaces separate designated subfields or nuclei, and are indicated on the first listed comparisons. Hippocampal subfields: CA1, subiculum (SUB), and the remaining regions which include CA2, CA3, CA4 and the dentate gyrus (REM). Thalamic nuclei: anterior nucleus (ANT), medial dorsal nucleus (MD), pulvinar (PUL), and the remaining nuclei (REM), which include the lateral dorsal, lateral posterior, ventral anterior, ventral lateral, ventral intermedial, and ventral posterior nuclei, as well as the medial and lateral geniculate bodies. Regions in green did not show significant group differences after RFT multiple comparison correction. T-values with cooler colors ($t < 0$) indicate inward surface deformity, and warmer colors ($t > 0$) indicate outward surface deformity. (For interpretation of the references to color in this figure legend, the reader is referred to the web version of this article.)
There were no significant group effects for amygdala volume. Fig. 1B shows shape findings for the amygdala.

3.4. Caudate volume and shape

There were no significant group effects for caudate volume; and there were no significant hemispheric effects. Shape findings involving the caudate are shown in Fig. 1C. Including total intracranial volume as a covariate resulted in a significant group effect for caudate volume ($p = 0.001$). Post hoc analyses showed the following significant group effects for caudate volume on the left: CON > N-BP ($p = 0.018$); SCZ > SPE ($p = 0.012$); SCZ > P-BP ($p = 0.0007$); and SCZ > N-BP ($p = 0.0003$). Significant volume effects on the right were: CON > N-BP ($p = 0.029$); SCZ > SPE ($p = 0.04$); SCZ > P-BP ($p = 0.003$); and SCZ > N-BP ($p = 0.0005$). By correcting shape findings for intracranial size, we explored the regions of the caudate contributing to the described volumetric group differences. As seen in Fig. 2A, the increase in caudate volume in SCZ is localized to the most anterior region of the head.

3.5. Nucleus accumbens volume and shape

There were no significant group effects for nucleus accumbens volume (with or without intracranial volume correction). There were no significant hemispheric effects for volume. Fig. 1D shows shape findings for the nucleus accumbens.

3.6. Putamen volume and shape

There were no significant group effects for putamen volume. There were however significant hemispheric effects ($p < 0.0001$), but no group by hemisphere effects. Putamina were smaller on the left than on the right. Shape findings for the putamen are shown in Fig. 1E. Including total intracranial volume as a covariate resulted in a significant group effect for putamen volume ($p = 0.006$). Post hoc analyses showed the following significant group effects for volume on the left: CON < SCZ ($p = 0.01$); SCZ > P-BP ($p = 0.004$); and SCZ > N-BP ($p = 0.0003$). Significant effects for putamen volume on the right were: CON < SCZ ($p = 0.03$); SCZ > P-BP ($p = 0.02$); and SCZ > N-BP ($p = 0.002$). In SCZ, after correcting for intracranial volumes, surface
Table 3
Notable subcortical shape findings.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Surface Deformation</th>
<th>SCZ</th>
<th>STP</th>
<th>PBP</th>
<th>NBP</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subiculum contraction</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>R</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA2-A + dentate contraction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1 contraction</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CA1 expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posteriorolateral contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posteromedial contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsal contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus Accumbens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ventral contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dorsolateral expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posteromedial expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterolateral expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mediolateral expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medial expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Posterosuperior expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globus pallidus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterosuperior contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inferior contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superomedial expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lateral expansion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anterior contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pulvinar contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior contraction</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Surface deformation estimates are derived from comparisons of mean groups to mean control subjects, and controlled for age and sex.

+ : mild or moderate surface deformation.
+ + : large surface deformation.

expansion was observed in the posterior dorsal putamen, and laterally on the right (Fig. 2A). No surface expansion was seen in the other groups (Fig. 2B-D).

3.7. Globus pallidus volume and shape

There were no significant group effects or hemispheric effects for globus pallidus volume. Shape findings are shown in Fig. 1F. Including total intracranial volume as a covariate resulted in a significant group effect for volume (p = 0.0007). Post hoc analyses showed the following significant group volume effects on the left: CON < SCZ (p = 0.02); CON > N-BP (p = 0.009); SCZ > SPE (p = 0.02); SCZ > P-BP (p = 0.004); SCZ > N-BP (p < 0.0001); and P-BP > N-BP (p = 0.03). Significant volume effects on the right were: CON < SCZ (p = 0.03); CON > N-BP (p = 0.04); SCZ > P-BP (p = 0.02); and SCZ > N-BP (p = 0.0002). Fig. 2A shows surface deformation in SCZ after correcting for intracranial volumes. Regions of surface expansion were present dorsally along the ventrolateral edges.

3.8. Thalamus volume and shape

There were no significant group volume effects, and correcting for intracranial volumes did not change overall results. There were also no significant hemispheric effects for volume. Shape findings involving the thalamus are shown in Fig. 1G.

3.9. Clinical correlations

Correlation results are shown in Table 4. Total gray matter volume inversely correlated with total SANS (r = -0.25; p = 0.0009) and SAPS positive symptoms (r = -0.2; p = 0.008), but not with SAPS disorganization symptoms. Cortical white matter volume showed a significant inverse relationship with the SANS (r = -0.16; p = 0.03), but not with SAPS domains. Among the subcortical structures, significant clinical relationships were only found for the hippocampus, amygdala and thalamus. The left hippocampus only correlated with SAPS disorganization (r = -0.19; p = 0.01) and SANS (r = -0.21; p = 0.006). There were no significant correlations for the right hippocampus. Both left and right amygdala volumes were significantly related to SANS scores (left: r = -0.17, p = 0.02; right: r = -0.19, p = 0.01). Similarly, both left and right thalamus volumes were significantly related to SANS scores (left: r = -0.16, p = 0.03; right: r = -0.15, p = 0.049).

In those subcortical structures with group volume differences, vertex deformation was also investigated relative to clinical domain scores, excluding diagnosis, age and sex effects (Fig. 3). Hippocampal contraction with disorganization and negative symptoms was localized mainly to the lateral CA1 region. Additionally, negative symptoms were related to medial subiculum contraction. Positive symptoms, on the other hand were associated with anterior CA1 contraction (Fig. 3A). Reduced volumes of the amygdala with negative symptoms involved diffuse surface regions, most notably on the right posterior surface (Fig. 3B). Thalamus volume contraction with negative symptoms was localized to the lateral thalamic surface; while that with positive symptoms was mainly localized to the anterior and posterior extremes.

4. Discussion

Shape analysis complements volumetric analysis, and can identify subtle regional abnormalities on brain structures helping to localize specific pathophysiologic pathways. Our study compared the volumes and shapes of multiple subcortical brain structures in healthy and psychiatric populations. We did not find absolute volumetric group differences for any of the subcortical structures studied, but some structures showed differences after controlling for brain size. Shape analysis on the other hand showed regional surface deformations in each diagnostic group. Contrary to our expectation, we only found little overlap in the pattern of deformation across groups.

4.1. Hippocampus

In our study, hippocampal shape analyses revealed regional group differences despite similar volumes across groups. In SCZ, the hippocampus was most deformed on the right side with shrinkage in the ventral subiculum. The subiculum receives input from CA1 and entorhinal cortical layer III pyramidal neurons and is the main output of the hippocampus (Small et al., 2011). Given the widespread set of cortical and subcortical areas with which it interacts, it is able to influence activity in disparate brain regions (Small et al., 2011; O'Mara, 2005). Although the exact roles of the subiculum are unclear, it has been suggested that there is a segregation of function within the subiculum: the dorsal component mainly being involved in processing of spatial, movement and memory information; and the ventral component playing a major role in the inhibition of the HPA axis stress response (O'Mara, 2005). Smaller hippocampi is often seen in studies of SCZ patients, (Shepherd et al., 2012; Adriano et al., 2012) as well as smaller subiculum volumes (Hauvikt et al., 2015). Results regarding the location of hippocampal shrinkage however have varied across studies, having been reported in the head or anterior region (Csernansky et al., 2002; Mamah et al., 2012; Johnson et al., 2013; Qu et al., 2010; Zierhut et al., 2013) and the body or tail (Liberg et al., 2014; Liberg et al., 2015). Furthermore, CA1 regional deformation has been described in SCZ (Csernansky et al., 2002; Small et al., 2011). We found that in STP participants, the hippocampus was less deformed than in SCZ, without any notable overlapping regions. However, similar to SCZ, the STP group had hippocampal deformations in the subiculum, which thus may indicate a role of this region in schizophrenia spectrum disorder neurobiology. In the P-BP participants, hippocampal shrinkage involved regions outside of the subiculum and CA1; however N-BP participants had normal hippocampi suggesting that psychosis status in BP may be associated with...
hippocampal deformation. Smaller hippocampi are seen less commonly in BP than in SCZ (Otten and Meeter, 2015; van Erp et al., 2012). Shrinkage of the head and medial border of the left hippocampus has been reported in BP, along with expansion on the right hippocampal tail medially (Quigley et al., 2015). Our study results also showed a relationship between clinical symptoms and smaller hippocampal volumes on the left side. While our study did not show significant volumetric group effects, there was a trend level effect for left hippocampal volume in SCZ. This is consistent with some studies that have found a reduction in hippocampal size selectively on the left side (Kawano et al., 2015; Stefanis et al., 1999). Smaller left hippocampus has also been found to be a risk indicator for psychosis (Seidman et al., 2002) and may indicate a preferential vulnerability of the left hippocampus to environmental stress (Stefanis et al., 1999; Mohanakrishnan Menon et al., 2003; Shu et al., 2013).

4.2. Amygdala

Few studies have evaluated amygdala shape in psychiatric disorders. Surface deformation of the left amygdala has been reported in first episode mania and bilaterally in first episode SCZ (Qu et al., 2013). Others found bilateral shrinkage of the basolateral, basomedial and centromedial amygdala and the left lateral subregion in SCZ compared to P-BP (Mahon et al., 2015). Negative findings have also been reported in SCZ (Shenton et al., 2002). In our study, we found that the amygdala in SCZ has several shrunken regions, principally those corresponding to lateral and centromedial subfields. Both BP subgroups showed more extensive shrinkage than SCZ. Regional deformation may be relevant to abnormal emotional processing, particularly in BP patients. Sensory inputs to the amygdala (e.g. from the hippocampus, primary auditory cortex, processed visual information from temporal cortex) terminate in the lateral nucleus. The structural organization and cellular composition of the lateral portions of the amygdala are cortex-like, and the majority of neurons are glutamatergic projection neurons. In contrast, the medial structures are striatum-like, and consist of GABAergic neurons (Lee et al., 2013; Ehrlich et al., 2009). Our findings therefore suggest structural abnormalities involving both excitatory and inhibitory neurons of the amygdala in SCZ and BP.

4.3. Thalamus

In the SCZ thalamus, we observed surface contraction in the pulvinar and ventral lateral nucleus. The pulvinar is a collection of nuclei with widespread connections to areas that include visual cortex, posterior parietal cortex, cingulate, premotor, prefrontal and the superior colliculi (Grieve et al., 2000) and is involved in visual attention including linking visual stimuli with context-specific motor responses (Grieve et al., 2000; Arend et al., 2008). In addition to visual salience, the pulvinar also has been implicated in social cognition, including face processing (Benarroch, 2015; Nguyen et al., 2013; Saalmann and Kastner, 2013). The ventral lateral nucleus targets efferents including the motor cortex, premotor cortex and supplementary motor cortex, facilitating the coordination and planning of movement. Previous shape studies in SCZ have found decreased sizes of various nuclei including the pulvinar, and the ventral lateral, anterior and mediodorsal nuclei (Csernansky et al., 2004; Smith et al., 2011; Danivas et al., 2013). In the current study, the BP participants also showed posterior thalamic shrinkage. However, BP participants also had shrinkage in regions of the anterior and dorsal lateral nuclei. Connectionally and structurally, the lateral dorsal nuclei are reportedly similar to that of the anterior nuclei, and play a role in spatial memory and learning (van Groen et al., 2002). The clinical relevance of the observed shape abnormalities is
suggested by results of our correlational analyses, which showed that psychotic symptoms were localized to anterior and posterior thalamic nuclei.

### 4.4. Basal ganglia

Brain size corrected analyses resulted in significant group volume effects in the basal ganglia, driven primarily by enlargement in SCZ. Basal ganglia enlargement was localized to specific surface regions (across all nuclei), generally with some symmetry. The significance of the affected regions is unclear, and do not correlate with the distribution dopamineergic receptor subtypes (Rosa-Neto et al., 2004). Considering the well documented medication effects on basal ganglia structures (Mamah et al., 2007; Breier et al., 1992; McCarley et al., 1999; Staal et al., 2000; van Erp et al., 2016), it is probable that basal ganglia enlargement in our study is a consequence of past use of typical antipsychotic drugs (et al., 2007; Breier et al., 1992; McCarley et al., 1999; Staal et al., 2000; van Erp et al., 1998; Shihabuddin et al., 1998; Swayze et al., 1992). In contrast to multiple studies (Chakos et al., 1998; Andersson et al., 2002; Vernon et al., 2014), others have found no surface abnormality in BP, but a relationship of striatal deflation to illness severity (Liberg et al., 2014). Others found no surface abnormality in BP, but a relationship of striatal deflation to illness severity (Liberg et al., 2015).

### 4.5. Conclusions & limitations

In summary, our study found group differences in surface shapes of several subcortical brain structures, despite an absence of volumetric effects. Surface deformation patterns in individual diagnostic groups were largely unique; however related deformities were seen in the posterior thalamus in SCZ, P-BP and N-BP, as well as in the hippocampal subiculum in SCZ and STP. Basal ganglia surface enlargements in SCZ suggested typical antipsychotic drug effects. The results of our studies suggest distinct neurobiological abnormalities in each disorder, with minimal overlap across disorders. Findings however can be confounded by factors, which can limit the conclusion drawn from our study. Medications and recreational drugs could also influence brain structure (other than the basal ganglia), which are difficult to correct. For example, the typical antipsychotic drug, haloperidol has been reported to decrease cortical gray matter and whole brain volume, (Vernon et al., 2012) as well as subcortical structures such as the hippocampus (Mamah et al., 2012). Lithium and antidepressant drugs have also been reported to increase cortical gray matter (Otten and Meeter, 2015; Vernon et al., 2012; Hajek et al., 2014; Connor et al., 2004; Hartberg et al., 2015). However, studies showing similar regional deformations in nonpsychotic and unmedicated siblings of patients suggest that surface structural abnormalities can be fairly well estimated despite potential drug effects (Mamah et al., 2008; Harms et al., 2007; Tepest et al., 2003). The FS + LDDMM shape methodology, while robust, may also be less accurate in delineating smaller structures (Khan et al., 2008). Thus, results may have underestimated shape abnormalities in the smaller nucleus accumbens or amygdala. Future studies involving more extensive behavioral measures, including cognition, would also be beneficial in further clarifying the clinical relationships of regional patients or those on newer generation antipsychotic drugs. Also, an absence of basal ganglia enlargement in unaffected schizophrenics relative to other disorders is typical (Mamah et al., 2008; Staal et al., 2000) although some authors have found increased basal ganglia size in relatives (Oertel-Knochel et al., 2012). Several mechanisms underlying basal ganglia volume increase following D2-receptor blockade have been proposed. Disruption of the normal homeostatic mechanisms of target neurons in the basal ganglia appears to occur leading to a number of compensatory changes, manifesting as hypertrophy macroscopically (Andersson et al., 2002; Konrad and Heckers, 2001). Chronic haloperidol use has been associated with increased synaptic density in the striatum,(Mesul and Casey, 1989; Mesul et al., 1994; Eastwood et al., 1997) mainly of the glutamatergic type(Mesul and Casey, 1989; Kerns et al., 1992; See et al., 1992; Uranova et al., 1991) and possibly associated with increased synaptophysin expression(Eastwood et al., 1997). Others have found increased neuronal size, particularly in axon terminals in the striatum,(Kerns et al., 1992; Uranova et al., 1991; Benes et al., 1985) or an increase in the number of striatal neurons (Beckmann and Lauer, 1997; Lauer and Beckmann, 1997). Complicating the understanding of antipsychotic trophic effects is that long-term treatment or high dosing can also induce neurotoxicity and loss of brain volume (Andreassen and Jorgensen, 2000; Burkhardt et al., 1993; Goff et al., 1995; Altmunkayak et al., 2012). Therefore, dependent on drug concentration, treatment time and individual sensitivity, the neurotrophic or neurotoxic properties appear to determine basal ganglia volume increase or decrease respectively (Konrad and Heckers, 2001). By contrast to SCZ, both BP subgroups had multiple deflated regions, presumably since typical antipsychotics are infrequently used in these populations. This is particularly true for N-BP patients, who had the most extensive basal ganglia deflation. Basal ganglia surface deflation has been previously reported in BP, with variable findings: in the anterior and ventral striatum,(Hwang et al., 2006) dorsal caudate,(Womer et al., 2014) left ventromedial caudate(Ong et al., 2012) and right putamen(Liberg et al., 2014). Others found no surface abnormality in BP, but a relationship of striatal deflation to illness severity (Liberg et al., 2015).

### Table 4

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Positive symptoms</th>
<th>Disorg. symptoms</th>
<th>Negative symptoms</th>
<th>p&lt;</th>
<th>p&lt;</th>
<th>p&lt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total gray matter</td>
<td>−0.20</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.25</td>
<td>0.008*</td>
<td>0.08−</td>
<td>0.009*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cortical white matter</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>−0.21</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>−0.10</td>
<td>−0.12</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.17</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Amygdala</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.17</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.19</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.01*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caudate</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.11</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>0.39</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nucleus accumbens</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−0.13</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>0.09</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.09</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Putamen</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>−0.08</td>
<td>−0.06</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>0.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Globus pallidus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−0.00</td>
<td>−0.02</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>−0.03</td>
<td>−0.01</td>
<td>−0.04</td>
<td>0.67</td>
<td>0.82</td>
<td>0.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thalamus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>−0.07</td>
<td>−0.16</td>
<td>0.04*</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>0.03*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>−0.14</td>
<td>−0.05</td>
<td>−0.15</td>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>0.05*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Relationships were analyzed using Pearson correlations partially controlled for diagnosis, age, and sex.

*a relates to positive symptoms (derived from SAPS) Pearson’s r or p values.
*b relates to disorganized symptoms (derived from SAPS) Pearson’s r or p values.
*c relates to negative symptoms (derived from SANS) Pearson’s r or p values.
*p < 0.05.
surface deformations. Developments in morphometric methods may allow more precise early identification of psychiatric disorders and risk states, and aid the monitoring of treatment effects.
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