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Impact of Neonatal Intensive Care Bed Configuration on Rates of Late-Onset Bacterial Sepsis and Methicillin-Resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* Colonization

Samuel Julian, MD;1 Carey-Ann D. Burnham, PhD;2 Patricia Sellenriek, M(ASCP)SM, MBA;3 William D. Shannon, PhD;4 Aaron Hamvas, MD;5 Phillip I. Tarr, MD;1 Barbara B. Warner, MD1

**Background.** Infections cause morbidity and mortality in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs). The association between nursery design and nosocomial infections is unclear.

**Objective.** To determine whether rates of colonization by methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA), late-onset sepsis, and mortality are reduced in single-patient rooms.

**Design.** Retrospective cohort study.

**Setting.** NICU in a tertiary referral center.

**Methods.** Our NICU is organized into single-patient and open-unit rooms. Clinical data sets including bed location and microbiology results were examined over 29 months. Differences in outcomes between bed configurations were determined by χ² and Cox regression.

**Patients.** All NICU patients.

**Results.** Among 1,823 patients representing 55,166 patient-days, single-patient and open-unit models had similar incidences of MRSA colonization and MRSA colonization-free survival times. Average daily census was associated with MRSA colonization rates only in single-patient rooms (hazard ratio, 1.31; *P* = .039), whereas hand hygiene compliance on room entry and exit was associated with lower colonization rates independent of bed configuration (hazard ratios, 0.834 and 0.719 per 1% higher compliance, respectively). Late-onset sepsis rates were similar in single-patient and open-unit models as were sepsis-free survival and the combined outcome of sepsis or death. After controlling for demographic, clinical, and unit-based variables, multivariate Cox regression demonstrated that bed configuration had no effect on MRSA colonization, late-onset sepsis, or mortality.

**Conclusions.** MRSA colonization rate was impacted by hand hygiene compliance, regardless of room configuration, whereas average daily census affected only infants in single-patient rooms. Single-patient rooms did not reduce the rates of MRSA colonization, late-onset sepsis, or death.


Late-onset infections continue to cause substantial morbidity and mortality in neonatal intensive care units (NICUs), increasing length of stay and costs. Although many studies have examined the impact of environmental factors on nosocomial infections, the cornerstone of which is proper hand hygiene by healthcare workers, the role of room configuration is less well defined. Many pathogens are transmitted via surfaces and fomites, and multipatient rooms are more difficult to decontaminate because of their greater number of surfaces and higher traffic. These concerns contributed to single-patient rooms becoming the standard design in healthcare facilities. Although improvements in air quality and nosocomial infections have been attributed to the change from open-unit to single-patient room facilities, these NICU bed configurations have not been directly compared contemporaneously. Our NICU, which has both single-patient and open-unit beds, provided an opportunity to test the hypothesis that infants in single-patient rooms have a lower risk of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) colonization, late-onset sepsis, and death.
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METHODS

Study Location

The NICU at St. Louis Children’s Hospital has 73 beds that can flex to 81 beds during times of high census. Thirty-six beds are in single-patient rooms while 3 open-unit areas have 9 or 14 beds, with flexible beds organized in an 8-bed open-unit model.

Open-unit and single-patient rooms were staffed by the same groups of nurses, residents, nurse practitioners, fellows, and attending physicians. Patients were assigned to 1 of 4 multidisciplinary teams. Nurse-to-patient staffing ratios are 1:1–3, depending on illness severity, and all patients in a nursing assignment are in the same bed configuration. Staffing was similar across bed configurations. Bed assignment was based on staffing and bed availability without regard to diagnosis, acuity, or bed configuration.

Patients

All patients who resided in the NICU from July 1, 2009, to November 30, 2011, were included, regardless of admission or discharge date. The study was approved by the Washington University Human Research Protection Office.

Data Acquisition

Billing and coding data from the hospital management information system were retrospectively queried for the study interval to determine dates of birth, admission, discharge and death, room location, sex, race, ethnicity, insurance type (Medicaid, private, uninsured), as well as International Statistical Classification of Disease, Ninth Revision, diagnosis codes that contain gestational age and birthweight information. Additionally, data were gathered from the hospital infection control service to identify all patients colonized with MRSA during the study period and the rates of hand hygiene compliance during patient encounters. Apgar score, temperature on admission, and initial blood gas results were gathered from our NICU’s National Institute of Child Health and Human Development data set and the Clinical Investigation Data Exploration Repository. Finally, patient-specific information regarding all positive cerebrospinal fluid and blood cultures from NICU patients for the study interval was provided by the microbiology laboratory information system (Cerner Millennium).

Hospital management information system data included daily room assignments, allowing for each patient’s NICU room assignment and bed configuration type to be tracked on a day-by-day basis. Patients who transferred between open-unit and single-patient rooms had all data removed from the analyses.

MRSA Genotyping

Anterior nares swab specimen cultures were used to screen for MRSA colonization as part of routine infection control measures on admission and weekly thereafter, per institution protocol. The first MRSA recovered from each subject was frozen for future analysis. DNA was extracted from bacterial isolates using the BiOstic Bacteremia DNA Isolation Kit (MoBio Laboratories) according to the manufacturers’ directions. Repetitive sequence polymerase chain reaction was then performed as previously described, using approximately 100 ng of DNA, a Ready-to-go RAPD analysis bead (GE), and primer RW3A in a final reaction volume of 25 µL. The repetitive sequence polymerase chain reaction products were resolved using the Agilent 2100 Bioanalyzer, and banding patterns were analyzed using Diversilab software, version 3.4 (bioMérieux), to measure strain similarity. Isolates with similarity indices greater than 95% were considered identical.

The Diversilab software compared the DNA banding pattern of each isolate to all other isolates and assembled this into a 2-dimensional scatterplot. Those with high similarity indices clustered closer than those with low similarity indices. This allowed visualization of genotype clustering within a set of isolates.

Barrier Precautions

All patients, regardless of MRSA colonization status, were cared for using standard precautions. In addition, infants colonized with MRSA were placed in contact isolation. These policies applied to all members of the staff, families, and visitors. No visitor restriction occurred for either group of patients. Use of alcohol foam or hand washing stations on room entry and exit is standard of care. Compliance with hand hygiene was assessed by direct observation of repeated patient encounters by members of the hospital infection control committee and included all providers. Observations of compliance occurred weekdays during the day shift and covered all areas of the NICU. A provider who exited one bed space, performed hand hygiene, and entered another bed space remained compliant as long as no other surfaces were contacted during this transition.

Definitions

Confirmed Late-Onset Sepsis

Confirmed late-onset sepsis (CLOS) was defined as a having a culture-positive bacterial infection of the blood or cerebrospinal fluid on or after 72 hours of life for which the patient was treated with antibiotics for 5 or more days. Episodes of positive bacterial cultures not meeting this definition and nonpathogenic bacteria typically considered contaminants were removed from further analysis.

Illness Severity Indices

Maximum Acuity Score. Acuity scores were based on level of care required by each patient and consisted of type and level of ventilator assistance, presence or absence of central lines, need for and frequency of laboratory draws, and patient monitoring.
Scores ranged from 2 to 4 with higher scores indicating greater level of resources. For each patient, the maximum acuity score throughout his or her stay was used in the analysis.

Clinical Risk Index for Babies–II Score. The Clinical Risk Index for Babies–II (CRIB–II) score is an aggregation of clinical and laboratory data that is used to provide risk adjustment of mortality and neurologic dysfunction across institutions.16–18 It is a sum of scores for the combination of birthweight, gestational age, and sex; admission temperature; and base excess. Scores range from 0 to 27 with higher scores associated with higher mortality risk. For patients born after more than 32 weeks gestation or whose birthweights were in excess of 3,000 grams, only the temperature and base excess portions of the CRIB–II were used.19 CRIB–II scores were available for 1,128 patients. Because this was a subset of patients, multivariate regressions were performed with and without this variable, which minimally affected the significance of the models.

Mean Colonization Pressure and Average Census

Colonization pressure is the ratio of MRSA-positive patient-days to total patient-days, expressed as a percentage. The mean colonization pressure (MCP) is the arithmetic mean of this ratio over a patient’s hospitalization. The MCP was calculated for the entire unit and for the patient-specific bed configuration. Average census for the entire unit and for the patient-specific bed configuration used the average census of the respective areas during the patient’s admission.

Statistical Analysis

The outcomes of time to MRSA colonization, CLOS, and combined CLOS or death were compared between patients in single-patient rooms and those in open-unit rooms. Kaplan-Meier curves yielding log-rank tests as well as univariate, bivariate, and multivariate Cox regressions were used to determine these time-dependent outcomes. Additionally, time-independent incidences of these end points were compared using χ² tests and Fisher exact tests, where appropriate. Analysis of variance was used to determine differences between bed configurations in CRIB–II scores, 5-minute Apgar scores, average daily census, MCP, and hand hygiene compliance upon room entry and room exit. Pearson χ² and log-rank tests with alpha values of .05 and 2-sided tests were used for statistical power calculations. Analyses were performed using SAS, version 9.3 (SAS Institute).

RESULTS

Demographic Characteristics

The 1,823 subjects representing 55,166 patient days were included in this analysis. Twenty-seven patients (1.5%) who transferred between single-patient and open-unit layouts were excluded from further analysis. Patients in single-patient and open-unit rooms were similar in terms of birthweight, gestational age at birth, sex, race, insurance type, and illness severity based on CRIB–II score, 5-minute Apgar score, and maximum acuity score (Table 1).

The median daily census over the study period was significantly greater in the single-patient rooms than open-unit rooms (32 vs 31; analysis of variance P < .001). MCP was significantly smaller in the single-patient rooms (2.7% vs 3.6%; analysis of variance P < .001).

A median of 48 hand hygiene assessments upon room entry (interquartile range, 37–60) and 53 hand hygiene assessments upon room exit (42–71) per bed configuration per month were available throughout the study period. Hand hygiene compliance upon room entry did not differ significantly between staff assigned to the different bed configurations. At room exit, hand hygiene compliance was slightly higher in single-patient rooms at Q1 (100% vs 98.6%) whereas median and Q3 were 100% for both groups (analysis of variance P = .052).

MRSA

The incidence of MRSA colonization in single-patient and open-unit rooms was similar (2.1% vs 3.3%; χ² P = .11). Figure 1 demonstrates the similarity in MRSA-free survival over time between the 2 bed configurations. Univariate Cox regression showed no difference in MRSA colonization rates between bed configurations (χ² P = .10); this similarity persisted when controlling for demographic (birthweight, gestational age, sex, race, insurance type), patient-driven (CRIB–II score, 5-minute Apgar score, and maximum acuity), and unit-driven (average census, MCP, and hand hygiene adherence) variables (Table 2). Average daily census was the only variable to interact significantly with bed configuration in the bivariate analyses. Within the subset of patients located in single-patient rooms, each additional one patient in the average census during their hospitalization correlated with 31% greater MRSA colonization rate (hazard ratio, 1.31 [95% CI, 1.02–1.68]; P = .039). This correlation was not seen within the open-unit configuration. A Cox regression model for MRSA colonization using bed configuration and average census was not significant.

The only variables to affect MRSA colonization involved hand hygiene. Lower rates of MRSA colonization of 17% (hazard ratio, 0.834 [95% CI, 0.731–0.951]; P = .0068) and 28% (hazard ratio, 0.719 [95% CI, 0.611–0.846]; P < .0001) were associated with 1% greater hand hygiene compliance on room entry or exit, respectively. This was independent of and similar across bed configurations.

A 2-dimensional scatter plot of the MRSA genotypes (Figure 2) demonstrated that 44 (86%) of the 51 isolates clustered into 2 distinct genotypes. There was no difference in MRSA genotypes between single-patient and open-unit rooms (2-tailed Fisher exact test P = .63) (Table 3).

Late-onset Sepsis

The rates of CLOS in single-patient and open-unit rooms were similar (3.9% vs 4.1%; χ² P = .89). Coagulase-negative
Staphylococcus species was the most frequent pathogen recovered from cultures in both configurations at 43% of all positive cultures. Methicillin-susceptible Staphylococcus aureus and Streptococcus agalactiae (group B streptococci) were the next most frequent gram-positive pathogens (11% and 8%, respectively) and Escherichia coli was the most frequent
gram-negative pathogen (10%). Overall, 56 gram-positive and 16 gram-negative bacterial pathogens were isolated from blood and/or cerebrospinal fluid (Online Supplemental Table 1). Of the 72 patients with CLOS, 6 had more than one episode. With few patients having multiple episodes and concerns that an earlier course of sepsis and antibiotics might influence a second episode of CLOS, only the first episode was entered into the analysis. A Kaplan-Meier plot (Figure 3) demonstrates

![Figure 1](image)

**Figure 1.** Patients without methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) colonization by bed configuration. Data are presented as a Kaplan-Meier plot, with patients censored at death or discharge (log-rank *P* = .19).

**Table 2.** Cox Proportional Hazards Model for MRSA Colonization

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Covariate(s) in model</th>
<th>Bed configuration <em>P</em> value&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Covariate <em>P</em> value&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Interaction <em>P</em> value&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + sex</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.08</td>
<td>.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + ethnicity</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + birthweight</td>
<td>.12</td>
<td>.79</td>
<td>.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + gestational age</td>
<td>.09</td>
<td>.75</td>
<td>.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + insurance</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.83</td>
<td>.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + CRIB-II score</td>
<td>.31</td>
<td>.55</td>
<td>.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + 5-minute Apgar score</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.21</td>
<td>.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + acuity</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.87</td>
<td>.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + average census (side)</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.90</td>
<td>.024</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + average census (entire unit)</td>
<td>.11</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + MCP (side)</td>
<td>.28</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + MCP (entire unit)</td>
<td>.10</td>
<td>.15</td>
<td>.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + hand hygiene (room entry)</td>
<td>.13</td>
<td>.01</td>
<td>.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + hand hygiene (room exit)</td>
<td>.59</td>
<td>.001</td>
<td>.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bed configuration + all covariates</td>
<td>.84</td>
<td>...</td>
<td>...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Note.** Cox regression models for methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) colonization using univariate, bivariate, and multivariate analyses of bed configuration. CRIB-II, Clinical Risk Index for Babies–II; MCP, mean colonization pressure.

<sup>a</sup>*χ<sup>2</sup>* *P*-values are shown for bed configuration in Cox proportional hazard models.

<sup>b</sup>*χ<sup>2</sup>* *P*-values are shown for listed covariates in bivariate Cox proportional hazard models.

<sup>c</sup>*χ<sup>2</sup>* *P*-values are provided for bivariate model interactions, which model the effect on MRSA colonization when subgroups of 1 of the 2 covariates are selected.
similarity of survival when controlling only for bed configuration (log-rank $P = .78$). This similarity holds in the univariate, bivariate, and multivariate Cox regression models when controlling for demographic, patient-driven, and unit-driven variables (Table 4). Lower birthweight and greater CRIB-II scores and acuity scores correlated with higher rates of CLOS, but only independently of bed configuration.

**Late-Onset Sepsis or Death**

To ensure that death did not mask differences in rates of sepsis between bed configurations, a combined outcome of CLOS or death was used. The combined outcome was similar in single-patient and open-unit rooms (11.6% vs 10.8%; $\chi^2 P = .56$). This similarity also existed in the univariate, bivariate, and multivariate Cox regression models (Table 4). Lower birthweight, early gestational age, male sex, higher acuity and CRIB-II score, and lower 5-minute Apgar score correlated with greater rates of CLOS or death.

**Discussion**

To our knowledge, this study was the first attempt to examine the association between bed configuration within a NICU and rates of MRSA colonization and CLOS using the same study period for both groups, while accounting for illness severity. Vietri et al.20 reported similarity in MRSA colonization rates between bed configurations before and after conversion of an adult ICU from an open-unit configuration to single- or double-patient rooms. Domanico et al.9 also compared intervals and found lower MRSA colonization rates in a NICU when converting from open-unit configuration to single-patient rooms. The contemporaneous comparison of the 2 bed configurations in our study minimized potential bias from changing clinical practices or practitioners.

In this analysis, single-patient rooms were not associated with reduced MRSA colonization, CLOS, or the combined outcome of CLOS or death compared with open-unit beds. The overall incidence of MRSA colonization in this study (2.7%) was similar to the 1.1%–5.6% colonization rates reported by other NICUs in the United States21–23 but less than the 20%–41% rates in Taiwan and Japan.24,25 Colonization pressure was significantly higher in the open-unit configuration. Although this and insurance provider have been shown to be risk factors for MRSA colonization in and out of the NICU,26,27 only the average daily census was positively associated with increasing MRSA colonization, and only in the single-patient configuration (Table 2). The only variable to significantly impact MRSA colonization rates was hand hygiene compliance upon room entry and exit; bed configuration, however, did not contribute to these models.

Rates of CLOS and the combined outcome of CLOS or death also did not differ between bed configurations. During the study, the overall rate of CLOS and the combined rate of CLOS or death were 4.0% and 11.2%, respectively. Of note, this study was performed in an era of focused efforts to decrease central line–associated bloodstream infections.

The large sample size of this study and the contemporaneous housing provide the power to detect clinically significant hazard ratios for each of our outcomes. The retrospective design of this study offers perspective into the clinical impact of our results while controlling for secular changes. For all 3 of the outcomes, our sample size had greater than 80% power.
to detect a hazard ratio of 1.25—that is, a difference of 25% in the outcomes between bed configurations would have been detected. Although an argument can be made that any reduction in the outcomes we selected (death, sepsis) might be considered to be worth an investment in single-patient rooms, proving that room configuration plays a role in averting late-onset sepsis...
undesirable outcomes would require an enormous study population. For instance, a 10% reduction in MRSA colonization rates, which for this study population equates to an absolute risk reduction of 0.4% or 1 of 250 babies per month, would be detected at 80% power only with 7,984 patients studied throughout their NICU stay.

Single-patient rooms might fail to prevent MRSA colonization and CLOS for several reasons. With single-patient rooms spread further apart in space, horizontal spread of infections would seem to decrease. If, however, hand-hygiene practices are universally followed and fomites carried by healthcare workers are limited, this may reduce the effect of spreading patients out geographically. Over the course of this study, there was high hand hygiene compliance, which may have diminished the impact of bed configuration, limiting generalizability for units in which hand hygiene compliance is low. In addition, nonhorizontal spread of pathogens may contribute sufficient amounts of infectious “noise” to the data, decreasing the ability to detect the horizontal spread of infectious material. Such nonhorizontal methods include vertical transmission at birth and contact with visitors, including parents and family, which would not be expected to differ on the basis of room configuration.

Our study indicates that high census periods are positively correlated with greater MRSA colonization only in single-patient room configurations. Although bed occupancy rates have been shown to correlate positively with MRSA colonization,28 the mechanisms leading to this configuration-specific result remain to be determined.

This study has several limitations. First, the data were collected retrospectively. However, a large prospective randomized study of this nature would be prohibitive given the constraints of patient staffing and physical space within a NICU environment. Second, although bed configuration might reduce horizontal spread of pathogens, such layouts might not mitigate other mechanisms of transfer, such as visitor-to-patient transmission or interhost transfer,29 which were not addressed. In a companion project conducted at the same time as this study, stools of 3 infants in open-unit rooms in the vicinity of 2 infants with group B streptococci sepsis, and 1 infant in the vicinity of an infant with Serratia marcescens sepsis, contained the infecting strain, suggesting that interhost spread is better detected by focusing on colonization than on culture-proven sepsis.30 Finally, our study was not designed to address culture-negative sepsis. Isolation of bona fide bloodstream pathogens is a challenge in NICUs because the volume of blood submitted for culture might be inadequate to confirm an etiologic agent.30 Identifying such cases in retrospect is difficult, and hence, we might have underestimated actionable events that occurred in either or both of the bed configurations. Using a broader definition than CLOS, however, could have overestimated sepsis events.

Considerations beyond infection have been put forth regarding choice of room configuration. Some studies demonstrate that single-patient rooms modestly improve breast-feeding initiation, are quieter, and have better air quality,9 whereas others have highlighted the larger space requirement,31 resulting in larger NICUs, greater construction costs,32,33 and longer distances traveled responding to emergencies, as well as issues with communication, patient monitoring,31,34 and nurse isolation.35 Although parent satisfaction scores, visitation rates, and noise levels favor single-patient rooms,31,35–37 parental stress and neonatal language and motor development favor open units.38,39

In conclusion, single-patient rooms did not provide protection against MRSA colonization, CLOS, and the combined outcome of CLOS or death in a NICU environment. Although single-patient rooms may have other benefits, neonates in this bed configuration were as likely as those in open-units to acquire these infections and the morbidities and mortality that come with them. In this analysis, average census positively correlated with MRSA colonization only within the single-patient room configuration. Increased vigilance is required during periods of high census, with particular attention paid to hand hygiene, the only variable that affected MRSA colonization. Further studies are warranted to assess how facility design might reduce the burden of sepsis and MRSA colonization in this high-risk population.
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