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**ABSTRACT**

*Escherichia coli* single-stranded DNA binding protein (SSB) is an essential homotetramer that binds ssDNA and recruits multiple proteins to their sites of action during genomic maintenance. Each SSB subunit contains an N-terminal globular oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding fold (OB-fold) and an intrinsically disordered C-terminal domain. SSB binds ssDNA in multiple modes in vitro, including the fully wrapped (SSB)$_{65}$ and (SSB)$_{56}$ modes, in which ssDNA contacts all four OB-folds, and the highly cooperative (SSB)$_{35}$ mode, in which ssDNA contacts an average of only two OB-folds. These modes can both be populated under physiological conditions. While these different modes might be used for different functions, this has been difficult to assess. Here we used a dimeric SSB construct with two covalently linked OB-folds to disable ssDNA binding in two of the four OB-folds thus preventing formation of fully wrapped DNA complexes in vitro, although they retain a wild-type-like, salt-dependent shift in cooperative binding to ssDNA. These variants complement wild-type SSB in vivo indicating that a fully wrapped mode is not essential for function. These results do not preclude a normal function for a fully wrapped mode, but do indicate that *E. coli* tolerates some flexibility with regards to its SSB binding modes.

**INTRODUCTION**

In order for DNA replication, repair and recombination to occur enzymes must unwind the DNA double helix to form single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) intermediates. During these processes, organisms in all kingdoms of life use single-stranded DNA binding proteins (SSBs) to protect these ssDNA intermediates (1–4). SSB proteins also serve as hubs to recruit multiple enzymes and accessory proteins that act on these intermediates during genome maintenance (5). Indeed, the *E. coli* SSB protein (EcSSB) interacts directly with at least 14 SSB interacting proteins (SIPs) (5).

Wild-type EcSSB (wtSSB) is a D$_2$-symmetric homotetramer (Figure 1A) (6–9), with each 177 amino acid (aa) subunit composed of two domains. The N-terminal domain, residues 1–112, forms an oligonucleotide/oligosaccharide binding (OB) fold containing an ssDNA binding site. The C-terminal domain contains an intrinsically disordered linker (IDL, residues 113–168) that connects the OB-fold to the terminal 9 aa acidic tip (MDFDIDIPF) which mediates interactions between SSB and SIPs (5) (Figure 1B).

A consequence of the tetrameric structure of EcSSB is that it can bind ssDNA in multiple binding modes. The major binding modes at 25°C include the (SSB)$_{65}$, (SSB)$_{56}$ and (SSB)$_{35}$ modes where each subscript indicates the number of nucleotides occluded by a bound SSB tetramer (10,11). In the (SSB)$_{65}$ mode ssDNA interacts with all four SSB subunits with a topology that resembles the seams on a baseball (Figure 1A and C) (8,12). Conversely, in the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode ssDNA interacts with an average of only two subunits of the tetramer (Figure 2C) (10,11,13,14). The (SSB)$_{65}$ mode is favored at moderate monovalent salt concentrations (e.g., [NaCl] $\geq$ 200 mM) (10,11,15) as well as millimolar concentrations of Mg$^{2+}$ and micromolar concentrations of polyamines (11,16,17). The (SSB)$_{35}$ mode is stabilized at low monovalent salt concentrations ([NaCl] < 10 mM) (10,11), and by high protein binding densities (13–16,18–20). Since the properties of these different SSB binding modes differ significantly, it has been suggested that they might be used selectively in DNA replication, recombination and repair (3,5,21).

Although SSB binds ssDNA with sub-nanomolar affinity depending on solution conditions (10,22), it is highly dynamic when bound to ssDNA. SSB is able to diffuse along ssDNA (12,23,24). This diffusion is functional as it provides the mechanism by which SSB can destabilize DNA hairpin structures (24,25). This ability to diffuse also stimulates RecA filament formation on natural ssDNA (24). SSB can even diffuse when bound to the RecO protein, a SIP that interacts with the C-terminal acidic tip (23). Recent studies have also suggested a further compaction of long ssDNA
at higher monovalent salt concentrations beyond what is expected based on ssDNA wrapping in the (SSB)$_{65}$ mode (26). In its (SSB)$_{35}$ mode SSB binds with high inter-tetramer cooperativity, resulting in the formation of protein clusters on the DNA (10,11,19,27). This highly cooperative cluster-

Figure 2. Design of SSB mutants with asymmetric ssDNA binding sites. (A) Schematic diagrams of DrdSSB and SSB LD that uses the Drd linker (DrL, shown in red) to covalently link two identical EcSSB OB folds together (30). Left: Domain organization of individual subunits. Right: Final structures of folded proteins. (B) Crystal structure of two subunits of EcSSB (white and gray) bound to oligo dC (blue) (8). The positions of W40, W54 and W88 residues are indicated in red, and the positions of F60 residues are indicated in green. (C) Mutants designed to have decreased affinity for ssDNA binding in two of the four OB folds of SSB LD. For clarity, α and β prefixes are used to indicate in which OB fold the mutation(s) reside so that the amino acids could be referenced by wild-type EcSSB numbering.

Figure 1. Structure and binding modes of EcSSB (A) Structural model of 65 nucleotides of ssDNA (red ribbon), wrapped around the EcSSB tetramer (8) in the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode. (B) Domain organization of EcSSB, depicting the DNA binding domain (OB), the C-terminal IDL and the 9-residue acidic ‘tip.’ (C) The proposed ssDNA binding pathways of the (SSB)$_{65}$ and (SSB)$_{35}$ modes of EcSSB (8,12). The EcSSB tetramer is depicted in blue with the ssDNA in red. ssDNA that passes along the backside of the schematic is depicted as a dotted line. OB-fold binding sites are represented with either an open circle, for an unoccupied binding site; a half-closed circled, for a partially occupied binding site; or a closed circle, for a fully occupied binding site (see Discussion for details of this model). Two EcSSB tetramers are shown in the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode to denote high cooperativity. The C-terminal tails are not depicted for clarity.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Reagents and buffers

All buffers were prepared with reagent-grade chemicals purchased from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA) unless otherwise noted. Water was treated with a MilliQ water purification system (Millipore; Bedford, MA, USA). Buffer T is 10 mM Tris (pH 8.1, at 25°C) and 0.1 mM Na$_3$EDTA. TAE buffer was prepared from a 50× concentrated stock (G-Biosciences; St. Louis, MO, USA; catalog # R023) which was diluted to a 0.5× strength resulting in a solution of 20 mM Tris-Acetate (pH 8.3), 0.5 mM Na$_3$EDTA.

Protein purification

wtSSB and the SSB LD proteins were purified as described (35) with the addition of a double-stranded DNA cellulose column to remove a potential nuclease contaminant (22) and the inclusion of 1× final concentration of a protease inhibitor cocktail (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA, Product # S8820) prior to lysing the cells. The proteins SSB LD (αΔW), SSB LD (αΔW F) and SSB LD (βΔW) were purified in the same way, except that they were loaded onto
the single-stranded DNA cellulose column in [50 mM Tris (pH 8.3), 1 mM Na$_3$EDTA, 100 mM NaCl and 10% glycerol] instead of the same buffer with 300 mM NaCl used to purify wtSSB and SSB LD. Protein concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically using $\varepsilon_{260} = 1.13 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ (tetramer) cm$^{-1}$ for wtSSB (10), $\varepsilon_{280} = 1.08 \times 10^2$ M$^{-1}$ (4-OB folds) cm$^{-1}$ for SSB LD (30), and $\varepsilon_{280} = 6.78 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ (4-OB folds) cm$^{-1}$ for SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$F) and SSB LD ($\beta\Delta W$), as determined from their protein sequences (36).

DNA
The oligodeoxynucleotides (dT)$_{35}$, (dT)$_{70}$ and Cy5-(dT)$_{68}$-Cy3-dT, were synthesized and purified as described (27). Poly(dT) was purchased from Midland Certified Reagent Company (Midland, TX, USA; catalog # N4040S). All ssDNA concentrations were determined spectrophotometrically using the extinction coefficient $\varepsilon_{260} = 8.1 \times 10^3$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for oligo(dT) and poly(dT) (37), $\varepsilon_{260} = 4.9 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for Cy3, $\varepsilon_{260} = 1.0 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for Cy5 and $\varepsilon_{259} = 7370$ M$^{-1}$ cm$^{-1}$ for M13 DNA (38).

Analytical sedimentation velocity
Sedimentation velocity experiments were performed using an Optima XL-A Analytical Ultracentrifuge equipped with an An50Ti rotor (Beckman Coulter; Fullerton, CA, USA) at 25°C. We measured the sedimentation properties of 750 nm (4-OB folds) of the proteins in Buffer T with both 2 mM NaCl (T2) and 300 mM NaCl (T300). The proteins were centrifuged at 42 000 rpm (25°C). The continuous sedimentation coefficient $c(s)$ profiles, and the $s_{20,w}$ derived from these profiles, were calculated using the program SEDFIT (39).

Fluorescence measurements
Titrations of wtSSB, SSB LD, SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$), SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$F) and SSB LD ($\beta\Delta W$) with (dT)$_{35}$ and poly(dT) were performed by monitoring quenching of the intrinsic tryptophan fluorescence of the proteins upon binding DNA using a PTI-QM-2000 spectrofluorometer (PTI Inc.; Lawrenceville, NJ, USA) using the excitation wavelength of 296 nm and monitoring emission fluorescence intensity at 350 nm as described (40–42).

Isotherms of the (dT)$_{35}$ titrations were analyzed using either a single-site model or a two-site sequential model. The single-site model is given by Equation (1):

$$Q_{obs} = Q_{max} \frac{K_{obs}[X]}{1 + K_{obs}[X]}$$

(1)

Here, $[X]$ is free ligand concentration, $Q_{obs}$ is the observed fluorescence tryptophan quenching at the $i$th titration point defined by $(F_0 - F_i)/F_0$, $Q_{max}$ is the fluorescence quenching at saturation of the macromolecule with ligand [in this case, the macromolecule is our SSB variant and the ligand is (dT)$_{35}$] and $K_{obs}$ is the observed association equilibrium constant. The concentration of free (dT)$_{35}$, $[X]$, is determined from the mass conservation given in Equation (2):

$$[X]_{tot} = [X] + [X]_{bound} = [X] + \frac{K_{obs}[X]}{1 + K_{obs}[X]}[M]_{tot}$$

(2)

Where $[X]_{tot}$ and $[M]_{tot}$ are the total concentrations of (dT)$_{35}$ and SSB, respectively.

The two-site sequential model is described by Equation (3):

$$Q_{obs} = \frac{Q_1K_{1,obs}[X] + Q_2K_{1,obs}K_{2,obs}[X]^2}{1 + K_{1,obs}[X] + K_{1,obs}K_{2,obs}[X]^2}$$

(3)

Where $Q_1$ and $Q_2$ are the fluorescence quenching corresponding to one and two molecules of (dT)$_{35}$ bound, respectively. $K_{1,obs}$ and $K_{2,obs}$ are the observed stepwise macroscopic association equilibrium constants for the first and second binding events. The concentration of free ligand was determined from Equation (4):

$$[X]_{tot} = [X] + [X]_{bound} = [X] + \frac{K_{1,obs}[X] + 2K_{1,obs}K_{2,obs}[X]^2}{1 + K_{1,obs}[X] + K_{1,obs}K_{2,obs}[X]^2}[M]_{tot}$$

(4)

Binding parameters were obtained from non-linear least squares fittings of the isotherms to Equations (1)–(4), performed using SCIENTIST (Micromath, St. Louis, MO, USA). Model-independent binding isotherms for the binding of SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$F) to Cy5-(dT)$_{68}$-Cy3-dT were performed and analyzed as described (42,43).

Isothermal titration calorimetry
ITC experiments were performed using a VP-ITC microcalorimeter (GE Inc.; Piscataway, NJ, USA) by titrating our SSB variants in the cell [0.93–2.96 µM (4-OB folds protein)] with DNA in the syringe [14.8–27.7 µM (molecules (dT)$_{35}$)] (44,45). Prior to the experiment, the protein and DNA were extensively dialyzed into buffer T with NaCl concentrations as indicated. The reference heats were measured by titrating DNA into the appropriate buffer in the cell.

The ITC titration curves were fit either to a one-site model or a two-site sequential model to determine binding parameters. In the one-site model ligand $[X = (dT)_{35}]$ is bound to the macromolecule $[M = SSB$ variant] to determine the the association equilibrium constant, $K_{obs}$, and binding enthalpy, $\Delta H_{obs}$. In the two-site sequential model, the binding events are described by the macroscopic association constants, $K_{1,obs}$ and $K_{2,obs}$, and corresponding enthalpies, $\Delta H_{1,obs}$ and $\Delta H_{2,obs}$. The data were fit using software provided by the ITC manufacturer as described (44,45).

ssDNA wrapping
To monitor the wrapping of ssDNA around our SSB variants, we titrated Cy5-(dT)$_{68}$-Cy3-dT with SSB in buffer T.
with 300 mM NaCl at 25°C. The Cy3 fluorophore was excited at 515 nm and the Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) to the Cy5 fluorophore emission was monitored at 670 nm as a function of SSB concentration. The resulting curves were analyzed with Equations (1)–(4). In this case, \( X \) is the free SSB concentration, \( M \) is the Cy5-(dT)68-Cy3-dT and the \( Q \) terms are the normalized Cy5 fluorescence enhancement, where \( Q_{\text{obs}} = (F_i - F_0)/F_0 \).

### Agarose gel electrophoresis

Cooperativity of SSB-M13 ssDNA complexes was assessed by agarose gel electrophoresis as described (46) with one change (noted below). Briefly, increasing concentrations of protein were added to a constant amount of M13 ssDNA under the solution conditions indicated in the text. Electrophoresis in a 0.5% agarose gel was carried out in 0.5 × TAE buffer at room temperature (22°C) with constant voltage (∼1 V cm⁻¹) for 3.5–4 h. The ssDNA was then stained for 30 min in 0.5 × TAE buffer with ethidium bromide (2 μg/ml) then destained for 30–60 min in 0.5 × TAE. We omitted a high-salt SSB dissociation step prior to ethidium bromide staining and imaged the gel with a TyphoonTRIO, Variable Mode Imager (GE Healthcare Life Sciences; Piscataway, NJ, USA) using the green (532 nm) excitation laser and the 610 BP 30 emission filter.

### In vivo complementation experiments

SSB complementation (‘bumping’) experiments were performed as described (47). RPD317 is an *E. coli* strain with a Kan\(^R\) cassette in place of the *wtssb* gene deleted from the chromosome. Since SSB is an essential protein, it is necessary to express wtSSB ectopically from a helper plasmid with a Tet\(^R\) marker in order for RDP317 to survive. Plasmids expressing the SSB mutants of interest and containing an Amp\(^R\) marker were transformed into RDP317. We selected transformants that grew on the LB agar plates with ampicillin (Amp, 50 μg ml⁻¹) and kanamycin (Kan, 50 μg ml⁻¹) and grew them overnight at 37°C in 2 ml LB media containing Amp and Kan. We then diluted this culture 1:1000 for growth the following day in fresh media. This was repeated at least four times; after the final passage the cultures were diluted and spread onto LB agar plates with either Kan and Amp or Kan and tetracycline (Tet, 10 μg ml⁻¹). In this assay, SSB mutants that can complement loss of wtSSB, and therefore ‘bump’ the helper plasmid, display the Tet\(^R\) phenotype. Finally, mutant SSB expression plasmids from successfully bumped strains were sequenced to verify that no compensatory mutations had been made to the SSB mutant gene during the passages. Bumping experiments were performed at least twice for each variant.

### UV sensitivity measurements

Strains expressing the mutants of interest that resulted from the bumping experiments were tested for UV sensitivity. Overnight cultures were grown from freshly streaked plates and were spotted in 5 μl aliquots in serial dilutions onto LB plates supplemented with the appropriate antibiotics and were allowed to dry into the plate. The plates were then exposed to UV light from a Mineral Light Lamp (Model: UVGL-25, set to 254 nm) placed 4 inches above the surface of the plate. UV doses were calculated from the exposure time and the applied power of the 1.8 W m⁻² measured at this distance. After exposure, the plates were incubated overnight at 37°C.

### Methyl methanesulfonate (MMS) sensitivity measurements

Sensitivities to chronic exposure of MMS were assessed as for UV sensitivity, except the overnight cultures were spotted onto plates that were dosed with the indicated concentrations of MMS and were then incubated overnight at 37°C.

### RESULTS

#### Design of SSB variants that reduce ssDNA affinity to only two OB folds

To eliminate DNA binding in only two of the four ssDNA binding sites within the four OB-fold homotetrameric wtSSB we used an SSB construct in which two of the OB-folds are covalently linked. This construct, referred to as SSB linked dimer (SSB LD), was used previously to examine how many C-terminal tails are required for SSB function *in vivo* (30). SSB LD is based on the structure of the *Deinococcus radiodurans* SSB (DradSSB) that, like EcSSB, has four OB-folds, but is a homodimer rather than a homotetramer (Figure 2A) (48,49). Thus, while the OB-folds of EcSSB and DradSSB share significant structural homology (7–9,48,49) each DradSSB subunit contains two covalently linked OB-folds, but only one C-terminal tail (Figure 2A). In our SSB LD construct, one EcSSB N-terminal OB-fold is covalently linked to a full-length EcSSB subunit by a Drad C-terminal linker. This produces a subunit possessing two OB-folds with identical sequences that differ only in their proximity to the C-terminal tail. The N-terminal OB fold (α-OB) is attached directly to the Drad linker by its C-terminal end, while the C-terminal OB-fold (B-OB) maintains a wild-type C-terminal tail (Figure 2A) (30). The SSB LD variant complements wtSSB function *in vivo* (30).
The ssDNA binding site within one OB-fold of EcSSB includes a number of aromatic and basic amino acids (8). Residues of particular importance are: W40, W54, W88, F60, R3, R84, R86, K43, K62, K73 and K87. Individual mutations of these residues reduce SSB binding to ssDNA (50–54). W40 and W54 are observed to form stacking interactions with DNA bases in a co-crystal structure (8) and have been implicated in stacking interactions via spectroscopic methods (51,52). Mutation of W54 to serine produces a protein that complements loss of wild-type SSB in vivo but results in a strain that is impaired in growth and more sensitive to UV irradiation (55,56). SSB W54S forms a stable homotetramer in solution but has a biased preference for the (SSB)35 mode in vitro (53,57). While W88 is not implicated in stacking interactions, mutations of W88 influence binding stoichiometries with poly(dT), at least in the presence of a W54 mutation (53). In addition, a Δssb strain expressing the ssbW88T gene on a plasmid is hypersensitive to UV irradiation, underscoring its functional importance (55). F60 is positioned to interact with ssDNA (8) and has been shown to be involved with ssDNA binding via crosslinking (58) and mutational studies (50,59).

### Mutants in which ssDNA binding is inhibited in two OB folds bind only one molecule of (dT)35

To eliminate ssDNA binding in only two OB-folds within SSB LD, we introduced three mutations, W40A, W54A, W88A, in either the α-OB folds, SSB LD (αΔW) or the β-OB folds, SSB LD (βΔW), (Figure 2B and C). We note that a triple mutant (W40T, W54S and W88T) present in all four OB folds of SSB binds weakly to poly(dT) in 0.3 M NaCl (53). In the second variant, we combined the three ΔW mutations with an F60A mutation in the α-OB fold to create SSB LD (αΔW F) (Figure 2B and C). We overexpressed and purified wtSSB, SSB LD, SSB LD (αΔW), SSB LD (βΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) (Supplementary Figure S1). Sedimentation velocity studies at [4-OB folds] = 750 nM, in Buffer T under both low salt (2 mM NaCl) and high salt (300 mM NaCl) conditions at 25°C indicate that all variants have hydrodynamic properties similar to SSB LD and wtSSB (Table 1). Hence, these linked-dimer mutants form homodimers in solution with wild-type-like configurations of their DNA binding cores.

The four OB folds in the wtSSB tetramer can bind two molecules of (dT)35 at saturation (13,14,20,45). Binding of the first (dT)35 occurs with high affinity while the second (dT)35 binds with lower affinity due to intra-tetramer negative cooperativity that becomes more pronounced at lower salt concentrations (13,14,20). Therefore, the second molecule of (dT)35 binds more readily at higher salt concentrations that favor the fully wrapped (SSB)65 mode, than at lower salt concentrations that favor the (SSB)35 mode. If the SSB variants successfully eliminate ssDNA binding to two OB folds, we expect to observe binding of only one (dT)35 under all solution conditions, even at high salt concentrations that promote exclusive binding of wtSSB in the (SSB)65 mode.

We first performed titrations of (dT)35 into wtSSB, SSB LD, SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) under low salt (2 mM NaCl) conditions and monitored binding by the quenching of the intrinsic SSB tryptophan fluorescence (Figure 3A, Table 2). Under these conditions, we observe only a single, high affinity binding event with wtSSB (K1obs ≥ 1014 M⁻¹), consistent with previous reports (13,14,20), as well as with SSB LD and SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) (Figure 3A and Table 2). SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) show maximum Trp quenchings of 76.8 ± 0.1% and 77.8 ± 0.3%, respectively, upon binding one (dT)35. The higher extent of quenching is consistent with a higher fraction of Trp residues affected by binding.

Since mutation of the Trp residues in the two OB folds in SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) could render binding of a second (dT)35 insensitive to Trp quenching, we also examined (dT)35 binding using ITC (45). At 2 mM NaCl, all variants showed binding of one (dT)35 (Figure 3B and Table 3). Consistent with our fluorescence titrations, the binding...
of (dT)35 to wtSSB, SSB LD and SSB LD (αΔW) are all stoichiometric (Kobs ≥ 10^{10} M^{-1}), whereas the binding of SSB LD (αΔW F) is slightly weaker [Kobs = (1.6 ± 0.3) × 10^{9} M^{-1}]. The values of ΔH for the binding of one (dT)35 were similar for wtSSB (−65.7 ± 0.1 kcal mol^{-1}) and SSB LD (−63.3 ± 0.1 kcal mol^{-1}), but lower in magnitude for SSB LD (αΔW) (−56.2 ± 0.2 kcal mol^{-1}) and SSB LD (αΔW F) (−56.2 ± 0.3 kcal mol^{-1}). These differences in ΔH suggest that the mutations partially disrupt the binding to (dT)35 or that the interface for (dT)35 binding is different than for wtSSB.

At high salt (300 mM NaCl) wtSSB exclusively forms a fully wrapped (SSB)45 complex with (dT)35, and due to the decrease in negative cooperativity it can readily bind two molecules of (dT)35 (13, 14, 20). By Trp fluorescence quenching (Figure 3C and Table 2), wtSSB binds tightly to the first (dT)35 (K1,obs ≥ 10^{10} M^{-1}) but with lower affinity to the second (dT)35, K2,obs = (2.1 ± 0.8) × 10^{8} M^{-1}, consistent with previous studies (13, 14, 20). SSB LD also binds two molecules of (dT)35, the first one tightly, although the affinity for the second (dT)35 is decreased almost two orders in magnitude compared to the wtSSB, K2,obs = (1.6 ± 0.2) × 10^{6} M^{-1}, (Figure 3C and Table 2).

In contrast, SSB LD (αΔW) binds only one molecule of (dT)35 with Kobs = (1.7 ± 0.2) × 10^{8} M^{-1}, with no evidence for binding of a second (dT)35. In addition, while Trp quenching is ~50% for wtSSB and SSB LD for binding the first (dT)35 and near 90% for binding the second (dT)35 (Figure 3C, Table 2), the Trp quenching of SSB LD (αΔW) for binding one (dT)35 is 73.2 ± 0.1%. This degree of quenching, and 1:1 stoichiometry at 300 mM NaCl, is similar to that observed for (dT)35 binding to SSB LD (αΔW) in 2 mM NaCl (Figure 3A and Table 2). SSB LD (αΔW F) also shows 1:1 binding to (dT)35 with essentially the same degree of quenching, 73.2 ± 0.2%, but with a slightly lower affinity of Kobs = (3.8 ± 0.2) × 10^{7} M^{-1} (Figure 3A and Table 2).

ITC titrations in 300 mM NaCl yielded binding stoichiometries and affinities that agree well with the fluorescence titrations (Figure 3D and Table 3). While we cannot accurately measure the affinity of the first molecule of (dT)35 to wtSSB (K1,obs ≥ 10^{10} M^{-1}), we can obtain the binding enthalpy [ΔH1 = (−60.7 ± 0.5) kcal mol^{-1}], the binding enthalpy of the second (dT)35 to SSB LD is [ΔH2 = (−63.9 ± 0.7) kcal mol^{-1}], consistent with previous studies (45). The binding enthalpy for the first (dT)35 to SSB LD is essentially the same as the binding enthalpy for the second (dT)35 to SSB LD (ΔH2 = (−63.9 ± 0.7) kcal mol^{-1}), while the binding enthalpy for the second (dT)35 to SSB LD is less enthalpically favorable [ΔH2 = (−43.4 ± 0.4) kcal mol^{-1}] with a much lower affinity for the second (dT)35 to SSB LD (Kobs = (2.0 ± 0.5) × 10^{6} M^{-1}) relative to wtSSB, which is also consistent with fluorescence data (Table 2).

The ΔH for binding of one (dT)35 to SSB LD (αΔW) [ΔHobs = (−48.8 ± 0.1) kcal mol^{-1}] and SSB LD (αΔW F) [ΔHobs = (−52.0 ± 0.2) kcal mol^{-1}] are less favorable than for binding to wtSSB and SSB LD. This as well as decreasing affinities suggest that the DNA binding interface is somewhat altered in these mutations. However, these results indicate that SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) bind only one molecule of (dT)35 at low and high NaCl concentrations as expected if binding to two of the OB-folds has been eliminated.

### Table 1. Hydrodynamic analysis of SSB variants at 25°C in buffer T

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>300 mM NaCl</th>
<th>2 mM NaCl</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>520, w</td>
<td>f/f0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>520, w</td>
<td>f/f0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wtSSB</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW)</td>
<td>4.02</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW F)</td>
<td>3.81</td>
<td>1.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>βΔAW</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>1.43</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analysis was performed via analytical ultracentrifugation sedimentation velocity at [4-OB]H9252. These experiments were performed in buffer T at 25.0°C with 0.5 µM 4-OB of protein. The curves and fits for SSB, SSB LD, SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (βΔW F) are shown in Figure 3A and C.

### Table 2. Intrinsic tryptophan quenching titrations show that SSB variants with two-OB folds mutated to block ssDNA binding can only bind to one molecule of (dT)35

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>[NaCl] (mM)</th>
<th>Q1,obs (%)</th>
<th>K1,obs (M^{-1})</th>
<th>Q2,obs (%)</th>
<th>K2,obs (M^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wtSSB</td>
<td>One to one</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>50.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>≥10^{10}</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wtSSB</td>
<td>Two to one</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>50†</td>
<td>≥10^{10}</td>
<td>90†</td>
<td>(2.1 ± 0.8) × 10^{8}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD</td>
<td>One to one</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>53.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td>≥10^{10}</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD</td>
<td>Two to one</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>53.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>≥10^{10}</td>
<td>89.1 ± 0.7</td>
<td>(1.6 ± 0.2) × 10^{6}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW)</td>
<td>One to one</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>76.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td>≥10^{10}</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW)</td>
<td>One to one</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>73.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td>(1.7 ± 0.2) × 10^{8}</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW F)</td>
<td>One to one</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>77.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>≥10^{10}</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW F)</td>
<td>One to one</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>73.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td>(3.8 ± 0.2) × 10^{7}</td>
<td>—</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

†Indicates values that were fixed during model fitting.

These experiments were performed in buffer T at 25.0°C with 0.5 µM 4-OB of protein. The curves and fits for SSB, SSB LD, SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) in 2 mM NaCl are shown in Figure 3A and Table 2. SSB LD (αΔW F) also shows 1:1 binding to (dT)35 with essentially the same degree of quenching, 73.2 ± 0.2%, but with a slightly lower affinity of Kobs = (3.8 ± 0.2) × 10^{7} M^{-1} (Figure 3A and Table 2).
Table 3. Isothermal titration calorimetry demonstrates that only one molecule of (dT)_{35} can bind with SSB variants that have two of the four OB folds mutated to block ssDNA binding.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Protein</th>
<th>[NaCl] (mM)</th>
<th>Model</th>
<th>$n_{(1,2)}$ Sites</th>
<th>$K_{(1,2)}$ M$^{-1}$</th>
<th>$\Delta H_{(1,2)}$ kcal mol$^{-1}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>wtSSB</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>One Site</td>
<td>0.99 ± 0.01</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{10}$</td>
<td>(−65.7 ± 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>wtSSB</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Two Sites</td>
<td>1$^\dagger$</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{10}$</td>
<td>(−60.7 ± 0.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>One Site</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.01</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{10}$</td>
<td>(−63.3 ± 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>Two Sites</td>
<td>1$^\dagger$</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{10}$</td>
<td>(−59.6 ± 0.7)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>One Site</td>
<td>0.86 ± 0.01</td>
<td>$\geq 10^{10}$</td>
<td>(−56.2 ± 0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>One Site</td>
<td>0.93 ± 0.01</td>
<td>(2.36 ± 0.10) × 10$^8$</td>
<td>(−48.8 ± 0.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW F)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>One Site</td>
<td>0.83 ± 0.01</td>
<td>(1.6 ± 0.3) × 10$^8$</td>
<td>(−56.2 ± 0.3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSB LD (αΔW F)</td>
<td>300</td>
<td>One Site</td>
<td>0.85 ± 0.01</td>
<td>(8.7 ± 0.5) × 10$^7$</td>
<td>(−52.0 ± 0.2)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 Indicates values that were fixed during model fitting.

We also performed titrations of (dT)_{35} into SSB LD (βΔW) in buffer T with 2 and 300 mM NaCl using both tryptophan fluorescence quenching and ITC methods. This construct gave inconsistent results (not shown) and was thus excluded from further study.

**Inhibiting ssDNA binding in two OB folds prevents formation of a fully wrapped (SSB)$_{65}$ complex**

We next examined whether SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) can form a fully wrapped (SSB)$_{65}$ complex using a Förster resonance energy transfer (FRET) based assay (15,30,60,61) (Figure 4A). This assay uses a (dT)$_{68}$ labeled with a Cy5 fluorophore (the FRET acceptor) on its 5' end and a Cy3 fluorophore (the FRET donor) on its 3' end. The (dT)$_{68}$ is long enough to bind only one SSB tetramer in the (SSB)$_{65}$ mode. When Cy5-(dT)$_{68}$-Cy3-dT binds wtSSB in the fully wrapped (SSB)$_{65}$ mode, the Cy3 and Cy5 fluorophores are brought in close proximity and display a high FRET signal (Figure 4A). If conditions favor the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode then two SSB tetramers can bind resulting in a Cy5 enhancement that is intermediate between free DNA and the fully wrapped complex (Figure 4A).

Under conditions that exclusively populate the (SSB)$_{65}$ mode (300 mM NaCl) wtSSB binds tightly to Cy5-(dT)$_{68}$-Cy3-dT with a 1:1 binding stoichiometry (Figure 4B). Furthermore, upon excitation of the Cy3 donor fluorochrome, the Cy5 fluorescence reaches a maximum normalized enhancement of 5.16 ± 0.01 at saturation. Upon further addition of wtSSB, the enhancement remains at the maximum indicating formation of a stable, fully wrapped complex that does not subsequently form a 2:1 complex at higher SSB concentrations. Conversely, although SSB LD binding to Cy5-(dT)$_{68}$-Cy3-dT also shows a maximum Cy5 fluorescence at a 1:1 binding stoichiometry, this is followed by a decrease in Cy5 fluorescence upon further addition of protein. The similar maximum fluorescence enhancement observed for wtSSB and SSB LD suggests that SSB LD forms a fully wrapped complex similar to wtSSB. The subsequent decrease in enhancement, to 2.34 ± 0.05, indicates that a second molecule of SSB LD can bind at higher protein concentrations under these conditions. This is consistent with the observation that the removal of two C-terminal tails shifts the binding mode transition toward the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode (30). Titration of Cy5-(dT)$_{68}$-Cy3-dT with SSB LD (αΔW) yields a maximum Cy5 fluorescence, 2.24 ± 0.04, at a 1:1 binding stoichiometry, followed by only a slight decrease in Cy5 fluorescence upon further addition of protein. Significantly, the maximum Cy5 enhancement (2.24 ± 0.04) is only ~40% of that observed for wtSSB suggesting that the ends of Cy5-(dT)$_{68}$-Cy3-dT are not brought as close together as
in the fully wrapped wtSSB and SSB LD complexes. Hence, SSB LD (αΔW) does not form a fully wrapped ssDNA complex in 300 mM NaCl.

SSB LD (αΔW F) displays weaker binding to Cy5-(dT)₆₈-Cy₃-dT (50 nM DNA) (Figure 4B). Hence, in order to estimate binding parameters we performed a second titration at a higher concentration of DNA (200 nM) and fit the data globally to a two-site binding model (Figure 4C). This analysis shows that two moles of SSB LD (αΔW F) can bind to one Cy5-(dT)₆₈-Cy₃-dT and that binding of the first SSB LD (αΔW F) results in a Cy5 fluorescence enhancement of 1.18 ± 0.06, or ~25% of the maximum enhancement observed for wtSSB and SSB LD. This value agrees with the fluorescence enhancement determined using a model-independent analysis (43) (Figure 4C, inset).

At 25 °C, we next examined binding of SSB LD (αΔW F) to poly(dT). At 25 °C, wtSSB transitions through three binding modes on poly(dT) as a function of salt concentration that display three different occluded site sizes. At low [NaCl] (< 10 mM) wtSSB has an occluded site size of 35 nts/tetramer while at moderate-to-high [NaCl] (> 200 mM) it has a site size of 65 nts/tetramer. A third occluded site size of 56 nts occurs at intermediate [NaCl] (10, 11, 30, 61). The site-size transitions of wtSSB LD follow a similar pattern at 25 °C, but the transitions are shifted to higher [NaCl]; the ∼35 nt mode forms at [NaCl] < 10 mM and the 65 nt mode forms at [NaCl] ≥ 700 mM (30).

Under conditions that stabilize wtSSB in the (SSB)₅₅ mode ([NaCl] = 2 mM), SSB LD (αΔW) displays an occluded site size of ~28 nucleotides with a maximum tryptophan fluorescence quenching of 72% (Figure 5A). Similarly, SSB LD (αΔW F) displays a site size of ~26 nts with 73% tryptophan quenching (Figure 5B). Both of these occluded site sizes are less than the 33–35 nt site size observed for wtSSB and SSB LD suggesting that the variants may not form some of the contacts that form in the wtSSB (SSB)₅₅ mode. This is consistent with our observation that SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) bind to (dT)₅₅ with slightly different binding enthalpies under these conditions (Figure 3B).

Under moderate salt concentrations (200 mM NaCl), the observed occluded site sizes of both SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) increase to 41 and 38 nts, respectively at 200 nM (4-OB fold) protein, with maximum Trp fluorescence quenchings of 87 and 84%, respectively (Figure 5C and D). To determine if the affinities of SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔW F) for poly(dT) are high enough under these conditions to obtain accurate site size estimates, we also performed titrations at 400 and 600 nM protein. All three binding curves overlap indicating that these represent accurate site size estimates (Figure 5C and D).

Upon increasing the [NaCl] to 300 mM, the apparent occluded site size for SSB LD (αΔW) increases to 46 nts at 200 nM protein. Additional titrations at higher protein concentrations, however, give non-overlapping isotherms. As the concentration of SSB LD (αΔW) is increased to 400 nM and 500 nM the site size decreases to 43 and 42 nts, respectively, indicating that 42 nucleotides is the maximum estimate of the site size at 300 mM NaCl. As expected, the poly(dT) titrations performed with different concentrations of SSB LD (αΔW F) in 300 mM NaCl are not stoichiomet-
ric. In these experiments, the apparent occluded site size of SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) decreases from $\sim$42 nts at 200 nM protein, to $\sim$39 nts at 400 nM protein. These results suggest that the occluded site sizes of SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$) and SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) on poly(dT) are $\sim$40 nts at both 200 mM and 300 mM NaCl. Even though there is an increase in occluded site size from $\sim$26–29 nts at 2 mM NaCl to $\sim$40 nts at 200 mM NaCl, these are well below the fully wrapped site sizes of 56 and 65 nts indicating that neither SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$) nor SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) bind to poly(dT) in the fully wrapped (SSB)$_{56}$ or (SSB)$_{65}$ modes even at high salt concentrations.

The apparent differences in the occluded site size measured at low salt (2 mM NaCl, 26–29 nts) and moderate salt (200 mM NaCl, 40 nts) could result from differences in cooperative binding of these proteins to poly(dT). A protein with the same site size will show a slightly higher apparent site size if it binds with low cooperativity due to its inability to eliminate DNA gaps between bound proteins even at high protein binding densities (62). This possibility is supported by experiments discussed below.

SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$) and SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) display salt-dependent transitions between highly cooperative and low cooperative binding to ssDNA

In its (SSB)$_{56}$ mode, wtSSB binds to long ssDNA with high cooperativity to form protein clusters on ssDNA (19,27,29,46). This highly cooperative binding is eliminated at higher salt concentrations that favor the (SSB)$_{65}$ mode (29,46). We therefore examined whether the SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$) and SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) variants retain the ability to bind with high cooperativity to ssDNA. For example, if a specific ssDNA binding pathway is required for high cooperativity and the SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$) and SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) mutations block its formation, this might inhibit highly cooperative binding. Conversely, destabilization of the fully wrapped (SSB)$_{56}$ mode might facilitate highly cooperative binding even under high salt conditions.

We examined cooperative binding to M13 mp18 phage ssDNA ($\sim$7.25 kilobases) using an electrophoretic mobility shift assay (EMSA) (46). In this assay, non-cooperative, or limited-cooperative binding of SSB to the DNA results in a random binding distribution of SSB molecules such that all ssDNA molecules have essentially the same amount of SSB bound. The resulting population of ssDNA migrates as a single diffuse band at all SSB to DNA ratios. On the other hand, at sub-saturating concentrations of SSB, highly cooperative binding displays a biphatic population of ssDNA: one population that is nearly saturated with protein and another population with little bound protein (46).

Increasing concentrations of protein were mixed with M13 ssDNA in buffer T with 2 mM NaCl, allowed to equilibrate for an hour at 22°C and were then subjected to electrophoresis in an agarose gel in 0.5x TAE buffer (see Materials and Methods). While the electrophoresis buffer does not match the buffer used to form the complexes, and therefore may influence the binding properties of the complexes, we and others have found good qualitative agreement between these EMSAs and similar observations of SSB-M13 complexes made with analytical sedimentation velocity experiments where the buffers are constant (29,46). Consistent with previous studies (29,46), wtSSB and SSB LD form a bimodal banding pattern that is indicative of highly cooperative binding (Figure 6A and B). A similar bimodal distribution is also observed for SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$) and SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) under these conditions (Figure 6C and D). Conversely, when the salt concentration is raised to 300 mM NaCl all four proteins showed a more random distribution of SSB on the ssDNA substrate indicating a low level of cooperativity (Figure 6E–H). Hence, upon increasing NaCl concentration SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$) and SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) retain the wild-type-like transition from high cooperativity to low cooperativity. This suggests that the apparent increase in occluded site size on poly(dT) from 27 ± 2 nts at 2 mM NaCl to $\sim$40 at 200–300 mM NaCl may be partly due to a loss of highly cooperative binding. Thus, the 40 nt site size observed at 200–300 mM NaCl should be viewed as a maximum estimate.

Complementation and recovery from genotoxic stress of the SSB variants in vivo

Our studies indicate that the SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W$) and SSB LD ($\alpha\Delta W\ F$) proteins are unable to form the fully wrapped (SSB)$_{56}$ or (SSB)$_{65}$ modes in vitro. We next determined if the fully wrapped states are essential for SSB function in vivo. Because wtSSB is essential for E. coli viability, we used an established ‘bumping’ assay (63) to determine if the variants could complement loss of wtSSB. This assay uses an E. coli strain, RDP317 (63) in which the wtSSB gene has been deleted from the chromosome and thus survival is dependent on expressing a viable SSB protein ectopically from a plasmid with a tetracycline resistance marker (63). The SSB variants to be tested are expressed under the control of the native ssb promoter from a second, low-copy number plasmid with an ampicillin resistance marker. E. coli strains containing both plasmids are then grown for a period of several days in the presence of ampicillin but in the absence of tetracycline. If the SSB variant is able to complement loss of wtSSB the bacteria will eventually lose the helper plasmid encoding wtSSB rendering the strain sensitive to tetracycline. The plasmid expressing the variant SSB that successfully ‘bumped’ the wtSSB plasmid is then purified, and the gene sequenced to determine if any compensatory mutations occurred during the growth phase. Finally, since it is possible that the ssb gene may be inserted elsewhere in the genome, or a portion of the unsequenced plasmid, we performed a western blot on whole cell extracts of these strains.
using antibodies raised against EcSSB (Supplementary Figure S2). We found no evidence for expression of wtSSB in these bumped strains.

When we performed this series of assays plasmids expressing wtSSB and SSB LD were able to complement loss of the wtSSB helper plasmid as demonstrated previously (30). In addition, both SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔWF) were able to complement loss of wtSSB. This suggests that the ability to form a fully wrapped SSB-ssDNA complex is not essential for E. coli survival.

We also tested the ability of E. coli expressing either the SSB LD (αΔW) or SSB LD (αΔWF) variants to recover from challenges from genotoxic agents. For these experiments we spotted serial dilutions of the appropriate ‘bumped’ strains onto LB agar and either exposed the strains transiently to UV light or chronically to MMS (Figure 7). Exposure to UV irradiation causes DNA breaks and the formation of base crosslinks (64). As previously demonstrated, E. coli RDP317 expressing SSB LD shows a similar response to UV irradiation as E. coli RDP317 expressing wtSSB (30). In the current study, SSB LD (αΔW) also has a similar response to UV irradiation while SSB LD (αΔWF) is more sensitive (Figure 7) suggesting that the additional mutation of αF60A may interfere with DNA repair pathways. Interestingly, however, when the strains are exposed to MMS, an alkylating agent, SSB LD and SSB LD (αΔWF) show hyper-resistance to the toxin relative to the wtSSB control (Figure 7). SSB LD (αΔW F) is also hyper-resistant to MMS but to a lesser degree (Figure 7).

In a previous study, we demonstrated that a strain expressing SSB LD grows faster than a strain expressing wtSSB (30). It was hypothesized that the increased growth rate was due to a decrease in the ability of SSB LD to support DNA repair during replication. This was supported by the demonstration that SSB LD is better able to survive a challenge from rifampicin than wtSSB suggesting that SSB LD accumulates more compensatory spurious mutations. It is likely that the enhanced resistance of SSB LD (αΔW) and SSB LD (αΔWF) to MMS is due to a similar mechanism.

**DISCUSSION**

Due to their central roles in DNA metabolism and genome maintenance, SSB proteins are ubiquitous throughout all domains of life. The vast majority of SSBs use multiple OB-folds to interact with ssDNA (65) with two known exceptions (66,67). The oligomeric states and number of OB-folds across the SSB family are variable, however. Bacteriophages and viruses often use SSBs with one or two OB folds. For example, gene 32 protein from T4 bacteriophage is a monomeric SSB with one OB-fold (67) while gene 2.5 protein from T7 bacteriophage forms a homodimer with two OB-folds (68). The major eukaryotic SSB, replication protein A (RPA) (4), forms a heterotrimer with six OB-folds, four of which are principal ssDNA-binding domains (65,69,70). The bacterial SSBs from E. coli and D. radiodurans both contain four OB-folds, but form a homotetramer and homodimer, respectively (3,7–9,48). The presence of multiple ssDNA binding sites per SSB enables it to bind ssDNA in different binding modes. Indeed, in addition to Ec-SSB (3) similar behavior has been shown for yeast RPA (71), human RPA (25), DradSSB (72) and Thermus aquaticus SSB (73). However, an understanding of how these modes are used in their biological context is lacking. We are using the EcSSB protein to probe these questions due to the extensive studies that have been undertaken on its different ssDNA binding modes. Toward this goal, we have begun to create a library of EcSSB variants that are biased toward one binding mode at equilibrium. In addition to providing clues to molecular mechanisms, these variants can also be used to examine whether the different binding modes are essential for EcSSB function *in vivo*.

In our current study, we constructed two SSB variants designed to inhibit formation of the fully wrapped (SSB)65 and (SSB)35 binding modes in which ssDNA contacts all four OB folds. Based on the extensive characterization reported here, the SSB LD (αΔW) and the SSB LD (αΔWF) variants have this property. Under physiological salt concentrations *in vitro*, wtSSB is in a dynamic equilibrium populating all of its binding modes. Under these conditions, the protein to DNA stoichiometry largely determines the distribution of SSB binding modes. One can force SSB–DNA complexes to form homogeneous populations of the (SSB)65 or (SSB)35 binding modes by going to extremes of monovalent salt concentrations, > 300 mM NaCl versus < 10 mM NaCl, respectively (10,11,13,14). Although neither of these conditions is physiologically relevant, we used these extremes of [NaCl] to simplify characterization of the SSB mutants described in our study. The rationale is that if a mutant SSB protein is unable to form the fully wrapped (SSB)65 mode at high salt (300 mM NaCl), conditions under which wtSSB exclusively forms this mode, then it will not populate this mode at physiological conditions, at least at equilibrium. Although we have used high [NaCl] (300 mM) as a simple means to exclusively populate the (SSB)65 mode *in vitro*, this mode can also be populated *in vitro* under conditions that are more physiological. For example, low concentrations of Mg2+ (5–10 mM), and even micromolar concentrations of polyamines, such as spermine, that are physiologically relevant, both favor formation of the (SSB)65 binding mode *in vitro* (11,17). Hence, wtSSB is likely to populate all of its binding modes, including the (SSB)65 binding mode, *in vivo*.

Interestingly, the low salt modes for SSB LD (αΔW) or SSB LD (αΔWF) appear to be slightly altered relative to those formed by wtSSB since they possess occluded site sizes that are smaller than the 33–35 nts observed for wtSSB.
and SSB LD. When combined with our ITC data, these results suggest that the ssDNA contacts for these variants in the low salt modes are altered relative to wtSSB. This is consistent with the proposed pathway for ssDNA binding of wtSSB in the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode where ssDNA makes full contact with one subunit and only partial contact with two other subunits for an average of two subunits (Figure 1C) (8,12). This model for ssDNA binding in the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode is consistent with ssDNA passing between tetramers in such a configuration. If ssDNA normally makes at least partial contact with three OB-folds in the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode, disrupting DNA binding in two of the four OB-folds would likely partially disrupt this binding pathway.

The subtle salt-dependent changes in apparent occluded site size observed for SSB LD ($\alpha$W) and SSB LD ($\alpha$WF) may also be related to the loss of highly cooperative binding as the salt concentration is increased. The "unlimited" inter-tetramer positive cooperativity of wtSSB in its (SSB)$_{35}$ mode can be regulated by the length, composition and number of intrinsically disordered C-terminal tails (29). In addition, the acidic tips of these C-terminal tails can interact weakly with the ssDNA binding sites (28,74). These observations suggested a model for highly cooperative ssDNA binding in the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode in which an SSB tetramer binds ssDNA using only an average of two OB-folds and can interact via its C-terminal tails with the two unoccupied OB-folds in an adjacent SSB tetramer (29). Although the SSB LD ($\alpha$W) or SSB LD ($\alpha$WF) variants are unable to form fully wrapped ssDNA complexes, they still show a salt-dependent transition between highly cooperative binding at low [NaCl] and low cooperativity at higher [NaCl]. This indicates that formation of a partially wrapped SSB-ssDNA complex does not ensure highly cooperative ssDNA binding. The loss of highly cooperative binding at increased NaCl concentrations does indicate, however, an electrostatic component to this cooperativity and is consistent with the suggestion that it may involve interactions between the acidic tip (MDFDDDFIP) from a C-terminal tail on one tetramer and an unoccupied basic ssDNA binding site on an adjacent tetramer (29). Further, even though the mutations of W40, W54, W88 and F60 disrupt ssDNA binding, the mutated ssDNA binding sites still contain Lys and Arg residues that may facilitate electrostatic interactions with the acidic tip. SSB variants related to those described in this study with mutations to the basic residues in two of the OB-folds can be used to test this hypothesis.

The more difficult question is whether the different binding modes and inter-tetramer cooperativities affect biological function. The most basic question we can ask is whether SSB variants that are biased toward specific binding properties are able to complement wtSSB function in vivo. In this study we demonstrate that _E. coli_ strains expressing only SSB LD ($\alpha$W) or SSB LD ($\alpha$WF) can survive demonstrating that full ssDNA wrapping is not an essential property for SSB function. We note, however, that this does not preclude a non-essential use of this mode in vivo.

The results reported here add to our prior observations concerning the functional roles of the SSB C-terminal tails (29,30). One study showed that an SSB possessing only one C-terminal tail does not complement wtSSB in vivo and shows defects in DNA replication and replication restart in vitro (29). In another study, we produced two SSB variants to examine the effects of the length and composition of the C-terminal tails on SSB function (28). The first variant was a C-terminal truncation mutant, SSB-GG, in which 56 aa of the IDL domain are removed leaving only two glycines to connect the N-terminal core to the C-terminal acidic tip (29). This mutant is able to transition from the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode to an (SSB)$_{65}$-like mode in low and moderate salt, respectively (29). It does not, however, display the high cooperativity that is characteristic of wtSSB in the low salt binding mode (29). Surprisingly, this protein can complement loss of wtSSB in vivo, demonstrating that the highly cooperative binding property of the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode is not essential for EcSSB function.

A second SSB variant, EcPfEc, was constructed with the N-terminal DNA-binding core of EcSSB connected to the C-terminal acidic tip of EcSSB with the intrinsically disordered linker of _Plasmodium falciparum_ SSB (PiSSB) (29). PiSSB shares structural (75) and functional (61) homology with EcSSB but is unable to form a stable, highly cooperative (SSB)$_{35}$ mode in vitro (61). These properties appear to be transferred to EcPfEc variant because this protein is unable to bind poly(dT) with a (SSB)$_{35}$-like site size, and is also unable to form a highly cooperative complex on ssDNA (29). Interestingly, this variant is also able to complement loss of wtSSB in vivo, suggesting that neither the (SSB)$_{35}$ mode nor the highly cooperative state are essential for EcSSB function.

Our current study showed that a strain expressing SSB LD ($\alpha$W) shares a similar UV-irradiation phenotype with wtSSB and SSB LD. This result suggests that the fully wrapped complex is not a requirement for UV damage repair. On the other hand, SSB LD ($\alpha$WF) is hypersensitive to UV-irradiation relative to wtSSB making the interpretation of this wrapping data more nuanced. It is possible that certain contacts between SSB and ssDNA are required to achieve the wild-type phenotype in UV-irradiation survival. The small differences between SSB LD ($\alpha$WF) and SSB LD ($\alpha$W) in ssDNA binding could straddle this threshold. Alternatively, the hypersensitivity to UV damage of a strain expressing SSB LD ($\alpha$WF) could be unrelated to the wrapping phenotype. Another interesting observation is that SSB LD and SSB LD ($\alpha$W), and to a smaller degree SSB LD ($\alpha$WF), share a hyper-resistant phenotype when exposed to MMS. The close comparison between SSB LD and SSB LD ($\alpha$W) indicates that the inability to form the fully wrapped binding mode does not appear to affect this outcome.

Our results suggest that neither the high 'unlimited' inter-tetramer cooperativity nor either the (SSB)$_{65}$ or the (SSB)$_{35}$ modes are essential for SSB function. This may reflect the fact that SSB can function using any of its DNA binding modes. However, we note that our tests of the ability of the SSB variants that we have created to complement loss of wtSSB were performed under optimal growth conditions for _E. coli_. Hence, the possibility exists that a subset of the properties exhibited by the different binding modes may be essential for _E. coli_ survival under non-optimal or stressed conditions. A further complication arises from the fact that SSB is involved in so many different aspects of genome maintenance. Further studies will be required to determine...
which properties of SSB are required for proper function in any particular pathway.
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