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The complement cascade is a principal component of innate immunity. Recent studies have underscored the importance of C5a and other components of the complement system in inflammatory and neuropathic pain, although the underlying mechanisms are largely unknown. In particular, it is unclear how the complement system communicates with nociceptors and which ion channels and receptors are involved. Here we demonstrate that inflammatory thermal and mechanical hyperalgesia induced by complete Freund’s adjuvant was accompanied by C5a upregulation and was markedly reduced by C5a receptor (C5aR1) knock-out or treatment with the C5aR1 antagonist PMX53. Direct administration of C5a into the mouse hindpaw produced strong thermal hyperalgesia, an effect that was absent in TRPV1 knock-out mice, and was blocked by the TRPV1 antagonist AMG9810. Immunohistochemistry of mouse plantar skin showed prominent expression of C5aR1 in macrophages. Additionally, C5a evoked strong Ca\(^{2+}\) mobilization in macrophages. Macrophage depletion in transgenic macrophage Fas-induced apoptosis mice abolished C5a-dependent thermal hyperalgesia. Examination of inflammatory mediators following C5a injection revealed a rapid upregulation of NGF, a mediator known to sensitize TRPV1. Preinjection of an NGF-neutralizing antibody or Trk inhibitor GNF-5837 prevented C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia. Notably, NGF-induced thermal hyperalgesia was unaffected by macrophage depletion. Collectively, these results suggest that complement fragment C5a induces thermal hyperalgesia by triggering macrophage-dependent signaling that involves mobilization of NGF and NGF-dependent sensitization of TRPV1. Our findings highlight the importance of macrophage-to-neuron signaling in pain processing and identify C5a, NGF, and TRPV1 as key players in this cross-cellular communication.
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**Introduction**

The complement system is a critical part of innate immunity and plays an important role in the recognition and clearance of pathogens. It consists of >30 soluble and membrane-bound proteins that are rapidly mobilized through a cascade of enzymatic reactions and participate in host defenses through a range of mechanisms, including direct killing of bacteria, facilitation of phagocytosis, the recr-
utment and activation of immune cells, vasodilation, and an increase in vascular permeability (Monk et al., 2007; Wagner and Frank, 2010; Ricklin and Lambris, 2013; Holers, 2014). Recent studies have highlighted the importance of the complement cascade in the nervous system, particularly in the regulation of nociceptor function and pain processing (Griffin et al., 2007; Jang et al., 2010; Ren and Dubner, 2010; LaCroix-Fralish et al., 2011). An upregulation of several components of the complement system in the periphery, DRG, and spinal cord has been implicated in inflammatory and neuropathic pain, whereas inhibition of the complement cascade has been reported to produce analgesic effects in several animal models of chronic pain (Twining et al., 2005; Griffin et al., 2007; Jang et al., 2011; LaCroix-Fralish et al., 2011).

Among the many components of the complement system, C5a is perhaps best established as a potent regulator of pain processing. C5a is a 74 amino acid polypeptide that is rapidly produced in response to injury or infection by proteolytic cleavage of complement protein C5. Each of the three major complement activation pathways (i.e., classical, lectin, and alternative) can lead to the generation of C5a that acts via a canonical G-protein coupled receptor, C5aR1 (also known as C5aR or CD88), and possibly another recently characterized receptor, C5aR2 (also known as C5L2 or GPR77), to enhance immune responses and produce many other biological effects (Brennan et al., 2012; Klos et al., 2013; Li et al., 2013; Ricklin and Lambris, 2013; Kemper et al., 2014). Numerous studies suggest the importance of C5a in various chronic pain conditions. Indeed, increased production of C5a has been reported in several pathological states associated with pain, including rheumatoid arthritis, pancreatitis, inflammatory bowel disease, and surgical trauma (Fosse et al., 1987; Roxvall et al., 1989; Jose et al., 1990; Kiener et al., 1998; Grant et al., 2002; Bhatia, 2005). Uregulation of C5 and C5a has also been shown in the animal models of post-surgical pain and neuropathic pain (Griffin et al., 2007; Jang et al., 2011), whereas direct administration of C5a produced prominent thermal and mechanical sensitization in rodents (Levine et al., 1985; Jang et al., 2010, 2011; Moriconi et al., 2014). Notably, genetic deletion of the C5a precursor, C5, reduced neuropathic pain (Griffin et al., 2007), and C5aR1 knock-out (KO) decreased thermal and mechanical sensitization induced by incision (Li et al., 2012). Moreover, the administration of C5aR1 antagonists produced analgesic effects in various models of inflammatory and neuropathic pain (Clark et al., 2006; Ting et al., 2008; Jang et al., 2011; Moriconi et al., 2014), highlighting the therapeutic potential of pharmacological targeting of C5a and C5aR1 for chronic pain management.

Despite the growing evidence for the crucial role of C5a in chronic pain, the mechanisms underlying its pronociceptive actions have not been delineated. In particular, it is unclear how C5a and other complement components signal to nociceptors, and which nociceptive ion channels or receptors they target. Here, by using genetic and pharmacological tools, we identify transient receptor potential vanilloid 1 (TRPV1) as a key molecular target of C5a-induced signaling that leads to thermal hyperalgesia. We also demonstrate that macrophages are required for C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia, suggesting that macrophage-to-nociceptor communication is involved. Finally, we show that NGF, a well-established modulator of TRPV1, is also required and acts downstream of C5a and upstream of TRPV1 in the proposed signaling cascade.

Materials and Methods

Animals. All experiments involving the use of mice and the procedures used therein were approved by the University of Iowa Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee and were performed in strict accordance with the National Institutes of Health Guide for the care and use of laboratory animals. Every effort was made to minimize the number of mice used and their suffering. Male C57BL/6J or BALB/C (6–10 weeks of age) mice were housed with food and water ad libitum under a 12 h light/dark cycle. C5aR1 KO (#006845; BALB/C), TRPV1 KO (#003770; C57BL/6J), macrophage Fas-induced apoptosis (MAFIA) (#005070; C57BL/6J), and phospholipase Cβ2 (PLCβ2) KO (#018064; C57BL/6J) mice were purchased from The Jackson Laboratory.

Macrophage depletion. For drug-inducible macrophage depletion experiments, we used transgenic MAFIA mice (Burnett et al., 2004). These mice express a drug-inducible suicide gene under the control of the macrophage/monocyte-specific colony-stimulating factor (CSF) 1 receptor (c-fms) promoter, whose activation by the dimerizing compound AP20187 (Clontech catalog #635069) triggers Fas-induced apoptosis in macrophages and dendritic cells (Burnett et al., 2004; O’Brien et al., 2012; Cho et al., 2014). MAFIA mice do not seem to be depleted of microglia following systemic administration of AP20187, most likely due to poor penetration of the compound through the blood–brain barrier (Burnett et al., 2004; Wang et al., 2013). Male MAFIA mice were administered either 2 mg/kg of AP20187 dissolved in vehicle (10% PEG-400 and 1.7% Tween 80 in PBS) or vehicle alone on a daily basis via intraperitoneal injection for 5 d. Behavioral testing and immunohistochemistry were performed 6 d after the first injection of AP20187 or vehicle.

Behavioral testing. Thermal and mechanical sensitivity was measured by Hargreaves test and von Frey filament threshold calculation, respectively, as described previously (Schnizler et al., 2008; Jang et al., 2010; Loo et al., 2012; Mické et al., 2013b). Briefly, mice were acclimatized to the behavioral testing chambers for 2 h per day beginning 3 d before testing. The Hargreaves testing apparatus was an IITC Plantar Analgesia Meter (IITC Life Sciences) with the glass tabletop heated to a thermo-neutral temperature. Nociceptive thermal sensitivity was measured by focusing a beam of light on the plantar surface of the hindpaw to generate heat. The time required for the stimulus to elicit withdrawal of the hindpaw (paw withdrawal latency) was recorded using the programmable digital timer
of the IITC Plantar Analgesia Meter. Baseline latency was determined shortly (15–30 min) before drug administration, by averaging the results of three tests separated by a 5 min interval. For the von Frey testing, mechanical sensitivity was assayed by calculating the 50% response threshold to 5 presentations each of 0.04, 0.07, 0.16, 0.4, 0.6, 1.0, 1.4, and 2 g von Frey filaments (Stoelting). After baseline measurements were taken, either 500 ng recombinant mouse C5a in 10 μl PBS, 10 ng NGF in 10 μl PBS, or 20 μl of Complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) suspension (1 mg/ml) was injected into the plantar surface of the hindpaw using a 33-gauge needle (26 gauge in the case of CFA) coupled to a Hamilton syringe. NGF neutralizing antibody, IgG (control), or GNF-5837 factor α (TNF-α), transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).

For the experiments involving C5a measurements, 20 μl CFA (1 mg/ml) was administered subcutaneously into the hindpaw as described above. Mice (C57BL/6) were killed by cervical dislocation under isoflurane anesthesia at various time points after injection: 0 (control/baseline), 0.5, 1, 2, 3, and 24 h (4 mice/time point). A sharp scalpel was used to remove a 3 × 8 mm area of skin from the plantar surface of the hindpaw; the skin sample was then cut into small pieces using scissors, and then homogenized in buffer containing 1× Complete Protease Inhibitor Mixture (Roche Applied Science), 100 mM Tris/HCl, 1 mM NaCl, 4 mM EDTA, 2% Triton X-100, 0.1% sodium azide using a Polytron homogenizer device. Homogenates were then centrifuged at 12,000 × g for 15 min at 4°C, and the supernatant was collected and used to perform a Pierce BCA total protein assay (Thermo Scientific) as described by the manufacturer. Samples were then frozen at −80°C until used for ELISA. NGF and prostaglandin E2 (PGE2) measurements were performed using NGF (MD Millipore) and PGE2 (Cayman Chemical) ELISA kits, respectively, according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. Multiplex ELISA was performed using the Stanford Human Immune Monitoring Core 26-plex (Stanford University, Palo Alto, CA) and tested for the following cytokines and growth factors: eotaxin (CCL11), granulocyte colony-stimulating factor (G-CSF), granulocyte-monocyte colony-stimulating factor (GM-CSF), interferon-γ (INF-γ), IFN-γ-inducible protein 10 (IP-10/CXCL10), interleukins IL-1α, IL-1β, IL-2, IL-3, IL-4, IL-5, IL-6, IL-10, IL-12-P40, IL-12-P70, IL-13, IL-17, and IL-23, keratinocyte-derived chemokine (KC/CXCL1), monocyte chemotactant protein 1 (MCP-1/CCL2), monocyte chemoattractant protein 3 (MCP-3/CCL7), macrophage inflammatory protein 1α (MIP-1α/CCL3), RANTES (CCL5), tumor necrosis factor α (TNF-α), transforming growth factor β (TGF-β), and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF).
**Immunohistochemistry.** Immunohistochemical staining and analysis were performed as previously described (Luo et al., 2012; Shepherd and Mohapatra, 2012; Mickel et al., 2015b). In brief, adult male mice were killed and immediately underwent intracardiac perfusion with 4% PFA in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB). After fixation, 3 mm plantar punches were taken using a Harris Micro-Punch (Ted Pella), postfixed for 1 h in 4% PFA with 5% picric acid, incubated for 16 h in decalcification buffer (10% EDTA, 0.07% glycerol, and 15% sucrose in 0.1 M PB), 16 h in cryoprotectant (30% sucrose in 0.1 M PB), embedded in Optimal Cutting Temperature (Sakura Finetek), frozen, and sectioned into 40 µm sections using a cooled cryostat (CM3050 S; Leica Microsystems). The tissue slices were blocked for 1 h with blocking buffer containing either 10% goat (Sigma) or donkey serum (The Jackson Laboratory) and 0.3% Triton X-100 in 0.1 M PBS (4%). (BD Biosciences) was prepared by boiling until the thioglycolate was injected into the peritoneal cavity of C57BL/6J, and then the appropriate cell density was determined by microscopy.

**Primary macrophage culture.** Primary macrophages were isolated and cultured as described by Ray and Dittel (2010). Fluid thioglycolate medium (4%) (BD Biosciences) was prepared by boiling until the thioglycolate was dissolved, and then autoclaved. After cooling, 3 ml of 4% thioglycolate was injected into the peritoneal cavity of C57BL/6J, BALB/C, PLCB2 KO, or C5aR1 KO mice. Six days after injection, the mice were killed, and 5 ml of ice-cold PBS with 3% PBS was injected into the peritoneal cavity with a 27G needle. The peritoneum was gently massaged for 1 min to loosen and detach cells. The fluid was then removed by inserting a 25G needle into the peritoneum and slowly drawing out the fluid. Another 5 ml of PBS + 3% PBS was then injected, the peritoneum was again massaged, and the fluid was removed in the same manner. The cells were then spun down at 1500 RPM for 8 min, the supernatant was removed, and the cells were resuspended in Complete Macrophage Medium (ScienCell Research Laboratories). The cells were then plated at 2 different dilutions on poly-n-lysine (Sigma)-coated glass cover slips using 8 mm cloning cylinders and kept in a 5% CO2 incubator at 37°C for 1 h before adding additional growth media. The cloning cylinders were removed, the macrophages were stained with growth media to remove nonadherent cells, and then the appropriate cell density was determined by microscopy as yield of macrophages versus nonadherent cells varied. A density of ~75% confluence was chosen, and remaining cells were plated at that density. Cells were incubated for 24–48 h before being used for calcium imaging, immunocytochemistry or RT-PCR.

**Transfection of primary macrophages.** Macrophages were isolated as described and plated on 100 mm culture dishes for 24 h. The macrophages were then transfected using a Nucleofector Kit for Mouse Macrophages (catalog #VAPA-1009; Lonza) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Macrophages are a notoriously difficult to transfect cell type; and after experimentation with different transfection techniques, we found that an Amaza/Lonza nucleofection-based protocol provided ~35% transfection efficiency. This was substantially better than the results obtained using Lipofectamine 2000 (ThermoFisher) and magnetofection (Ox Biosciences), which yielded <2% efficiency after extensive optimization (data not shown).

For our transfection protocol, cultured primary macrophages were briefly washed with PBS, placed in 3 ml 0.05% trypsin for 30 min, gently scraped, diluted with 7 ml growth media, and counted. Cells were made into aliquots of 1.0 × 10^6 cells/transfection and spun down at 200 × g for 10 min. The cells were resuspended in 100 µl Nucleofector solution + supplement + 3 µg of plasmid DNA, transferred to an electroporation cuvette, and then transfected using the Y-001 program of the Amaza Nucleofector II device (Lonza). Culture media (600 µl) was added to the cuvette and then transferred to a microcentrifuge tube with 650 µl of pmaxGFP (Lonza) or 2 µg pLKO.1 empty vector DNA (GE Dharmacon) plus 1 µg of pmaxGFP. A set of shRNA plasmids (in pLKO.1 vector) targeting mouse PLCB3 were purchased.
from GE Dharmacon (catalog #RMM4534-EG18797; developed by the Broad Institute’s RNAi Consortium/TRC). Using the NIH-3T3 cells, we identified two shRNA plasmids that produced the highest knockdown (see Fig. 6F), shRNA #1 (ID: TRCN0000076916; 5'-TTTCCACAGGA AACTCATCAG-3') and shRNA #2 (ID: TRCN0000076914; 5'-TTT GATGAACTTACTCCGGC-3'). Ca\(^{2+}\) imaging was performed 48 h after transfection. Transfected cells were identified by maxGFP fluorescence. The described approach resulted in ~95% cotransfection as verified by cotransfecting macrophages with mCherry and maxGFP and then washed 3 times with PBS for 5 min/wash, incubated for 30 min in blocking buffer (5%) goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS), and incubated with rat anti-CSA-R1 (1:500; clone 10/92, AbD Serotec) and rabbit anti-IBA1 (1:500; Wako Chemicals). The cells were washed 3 times with blocking buffer and then incubated with AlexaFluor-488 goat anti-rat and AlexaFluor-555 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (1:1000; Invitrogen) in blocking buffer at room temperature and in darkness for 30 min. Cells were washed with PBS 3 times and then mounted on a slide using an anti-fade reagent Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech) and then mounted on a slide using antifade reagent Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotech).

**Immunocytochemistry.** Cells were washed with PBS and then fixed with 4% PFA for 15–20 min at room temperature. Cells were then washed 3 times with PBS for 5 min/wash, incubated for 30 min in blocking buffer (5% goat serum and 0.1% Triton X-100 in PBS), and incubated with rat anti-CSA-R1 (1:500; clone 10/92, AbD Serotec) and rabbit anti-IBA1 (1:500; Wako Chemicals). The cells were washed 3 times with blocking buffer and then incubated with AlexaFluor-488 goat anti-rat and AlexaFluor-555 goat anti-rabbit secondary antibodies (1:1000; Invitrogen) in blocking buffer at room temperature and in darkness for 30 min. Cells were washed with PBS 3 times and then mounted on a slide using an anti-fade reagent Fluoromount-G (Southern Biotechnology). Images were captured using an Olympus BX61WI microscope equipped with the Fluoview 300 laser-scanning confocal imaging system and a 60× oil-immersion objective (NA 1.40, Olympus) as previously described (Schnizler et al., 2008).

**Western blotting.** Cells were collected in homogenization buffer (100 mM NaCl, 50 mM Tris/HCl, 0.1 mM EDTA, and 1% Triton X-100) containing a protease inhibitor mixture (Sigma, 1:50) and a phosphatase inhibitor (Sigma, 1:100) and homogenized with a 25-gauge needle. Cell lysates were then diluted with Laemmli reducing buffer and heated at 95°C for 5 min before loading 20 μg of protein/sample into an 8% SDS-polyacrylamide gel. Proteins in SDS-PAGE gels were transferred to nitrocellulose membranes (Bio-Rad) using the transfer buffer (1.5 g Tris-HCl, 7.2 g glycine, and 150 ml MeOH dissolved in 1000 ml H₂O). Then, the membrane was incubated with a blocking solution composed of 5% skim milk in TBS (20 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.5, and 150 mM NaCl) for 1 h, washed briefly with TBS, and then incubated with primary antibodies for 4 h. The following primary antibodies were used: rabbit anti-PLCβ2 (1:500; catalog #sc-206; Santa Cruz Biotechnology) and rabbit anti-PLCβ3 (1:500; catalog #sc-403 Santa Cruz Biotechnology). Both antibodies were extensively described and validated (Ali et al., 1997; Tordjmann et al., 1998; Runnels et al., 2002; Galeotti et al., 2006). Grp75 was used as a loading control and probed with a monoclonal anti-Grp75 antibody (1:2000; clone N52A/42, Neuronlab). The membranes were then washed with TBS and incubated either with HRP-conjugated goat anti-rabbit antibodies (1:1000; catalog #A0545, Sigma) or with HRP-conjugated goat anti-mouse antibodies (1:2000; catalog #48-146-H; An−

---

**Figure 4.** CSA-R1 in the skin is expressed primarily in macrophages. Immunohistochemical analysis was performed in skin sections from the mouse hindpaw as described in Materials and Methods, and the images were obtained using either a 20× (A, B; NA = 0.75; Olympus) or a 60× (C, D; oil-immersion, NA = 1.40; Olympus) objective. A, B, Expression of CSA-R1 (red) and IBA1 (green; a molecular marker of macrophages) in skin from wild-type (A) or CSA-R1 KO (B) mice. C, Expression of IBA1 (green) and another macrophage marker, F4/80 (red), in the skin. D, Expression of TRPV1 (green; primary afferent fibers) and IBA1 (red; macrophages) in the skin. Left, Single Z-plane. Right, Z-stack composite image (ΔZ = 15 μm; Z-step = 0.25 μm).
Membranes were then washed and developed with ECL detection kit (GE Healthcare). All the experiments were repeated 3–5 times.

**RT-PCR.** Total RNA was isolated from primary mouse macrophages 24–48 h after plating or from mouse (C57BL/6J) hippocampi using the RNase easy Plus Mini Kit (QIAGEN) with added on-column DNase-I digestion according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The purified RNA was then reverse transcribed and amplified using the one-step RT-PCR kit (QIAGEN). The following primer sets were used: GAPDH forward: 5’-ACCACAGTCTATGATCATCAC-3’; GAPDH reverse: 5’-CACCACCTGTGCTGTAGCC-3’; NGF forward: 5’-ACAGGCAGAAGCGTCTGGAGGC-3’; C5aR1 forward: 5’-CAGGGACCTTCAGGCATCCATT-3’; C5aR1 reverse: 5’-TTTGAGCGTCTTGGTGGAGC-3’.

RT-PCRs were performed using a Biometra T3 thermocycler. The No RT control reaction did not have a reverse transcription step and was used as a control for contamination with genomic DNA. The amplified PCR products were separated by electrophoresis on a 2% agarose gel and were detected using ethidium bromide.

**Reagents.** Recombinant mouse C5a, GNF-5837, AMG9810, U73122, U73343, o-3M3FBS, m-3M3FBS, thapsigargin, cyclopiazonic acid, and gallein were purchased from R&D Systems/Tocris Bioscience. CFA was purchased from Sigma; fura-2 AM was obtained from Invitrogen. NGF neutralizing antibody and IgG control were purchased from ExBiol (catalog #L148M and 0G11, respectively). NGF was from AbD Serotec, and AP20187 (B/B homodimerizer) was from Takara/Clontech. PMX53 (AcF- [OPdChaWR] acetate salt) was synthesized as reported previously (March et al., 2004), purified by reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography, and fully characterized by mass spectrometry.

**Statistical analysis.** Data are expressed as mean ± SEM. The following tests were used for analyzing the data: unpaired Student’s t (comparison

Figure 5. C5a produces a strong \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) response in macrophages. A, Immunostaining in mouse primary macrophages shows coexpression of IBA1 (red) and C5aR1 (green). B, Bright-field image and three images color-coded for \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) represent the same field of mouse primary macrophages loaded with fura-2. The color-coded images show the distribution of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) in macrophages at rest, and at 5 and 15 s after 100 nM C5a was applied. C, Concentration dependence of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) responses to C5a in macrophages. \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) recording was performed simultaneously from several individual macrophages (each cell represented by a different color) in response to 1, 10, or 100 nM C5a applied for 1 min. The \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) responses to each concentration of C5a were recorded using different coverslips with macrophages. D–G, Representative \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) recordings demonstrating the effects of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\)-free extracellular buffer (supplied with 0.1 mM EDTA) (D), SERCA inhibitor cyclopiazonic acid (10 \(\mu\text{M}\); E), structurally distinct SERCA inhibitor thapsigargin (1 \(\mu\text{M}\); F), and G cybersecurity inhibitor gallein (10 \(\mu\text{M}\); G) on \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) elevations induced by 10 nM C5a (1 min) in macrophages. H, Bar graph summarizes the effects of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\)-free extracellular solution, cyclopiazonic acid, thapsigargin, gallein, and C5aR1 KO on the amplitude of \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) responses in macrophages evoked by C5a application (10 nM, 1 min). ***p < 0.001, relative to control (one-way ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test) (n = 54–170 cells). Primary macrophages for immunostaining and all of the \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) recordings were obtained from wild-type C57BL/6J mice, except those obtained from C5aR1 KO mice (H, green bar; BALB/C background). The amplitude of C5a-induced (10 nM, 1 min) \([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i\) elevations in macrophages from wild-type BALB/C mice (799 ± 62 nM; n = 25; data not shown) was similar to that in macrophages from wild-type C57BL/6J mice (891 ± 29 nM; n = 170; p = 0.21, unpaired Student’s t test).
Statistical analyses were performed using the GraphPad Prism 6 software.

Results

C5aR1 significantly contributes to thermal hyperalgesia in the CFA model of inflammatory pain

We first examined the role of C5aR1 in the development and maintenance of inflammatory pain induced by CFA (Stein et al., 1988; Gregory et al., 2013). Intraplantar injection of CFA in wild-type mice produced long-lasting thermal (Fig. 1A) and mechanical (Fig. 1B) hypersensitivity. CFA-induced thermal hyperalgesia was significantly reduced in C5aR1 KO mice, showing recovery to baseline levels by day 3 after CFA injection (Fig. 1A). C5aR1 KO mice also exhibited a significant reduction in mechanical sensitization (Fig. 1B). Assessment of C5a levels by ELISA revealed a significant and prolonged increase at the site of CFA-induced inflammation, with full recovery by day 8 after injection (Fig. 2A). We also examined the effects of the C5aR1 antagonist PMX53 on CFA-induced thermal hyperalgesia (Woodruff et al., 2002, 2006; Proctor et al., 2006). As shown in Figure 2B, daily administration of PMX53 to wild-type animals markedly diminished thermal hyperalgesia (green squares) compared with control (vehicle-treated; black circles) animals. Furthermore, acute treatment with PMX53 on day 3 after CFA injection rapidly and reversibly reduced thermal hyperalgesia (Fig. 2B, red triangles). Similarly, PMX53 administration 1 d after CFA injection significantly reduced mechanical hyperalgesia (Fig. 2C,D). In contrast, administration of PMX53 in C5aR1 KO mice did not affect CFA-induced thermal and mechanical hyperalgesia, which is consistent with the selectivity of PMX53 for C5aR1 under our experimental conditions (Fig. 2E,F). These data suggest that C5aR1 plays a critical role in the development and maintenance of thermal and mechanical hyperalgesia during inflammation.
C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia is mediated by TRPV1

Next, we aimed to identify mechanisms that are responsible for C5aR1-dependent thermal hyperalgesia. In these experiments, thermal hyperalgesia was produced by intraplantar injection of C5a (Fig. 3) as previously described (Jang et al., 2010, 2011). We first examined a dose dependence of C5a with intraplantar injection of 0, 10, 100, 500, or 2500 ng of C5a in wild-type mice (Fig. 3A). As 500 ng showed no significant difference from 2500 ng and lasted much longer than 100 ng, 500 ng was chosen as an optimal dose for further experiments. Two receptors for C5a have been identified, C5aR1 and C5aR2 (Monk et al., 2007; Klos et al., 2013; Kemper et al., 2014). We found that C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia was absent in C5aR1 KO mice (Fig. 3B), suggesting that C5aR1 is responsible for the effects of C5a injection. This is consistent with a previous report that the C5aR1 antagonist PMX53 blocked C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia (Jang et al., 2011).

Next, we sought to identify a nociceptive ion channel or receptor that could mediate the hyperalgesic effect of C5a. TRPV1 was chosen as a likely candidate given that it is a polymodal nonselective cation channel that is expressed in primary nociceptors and is activated by noxious heat, acidic pH, and lipid-derived endovanilloids. The channel is also critical for development of inflammatory thermal hyperalgesia (Caterina et al., 1997; Caterina et al., 2000; Davis et al., 2000; Bhave and Gereau, 2004; Immke and Gavva, 2006; Patapoutian et al., 2009; Mickle et al., 2015a). We thus tested its role in the C5a pronociceptive effect using TRPV1 KO mice (Caterina et al., 2000). We observed a small increase in baseline paw withdrawal latency in TRPV1 KO mice (Fig. 3C) consistent with previous reports of mild deficit in heat sensitivity in these animals (Caterina et al., 2000; Vardanyan et al., 2009). More importantly, C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia was nearly abolished in the TRPV1 KO mice (Fig. 3C,D). Furthermore, coadministration of the TRPV1 antagonist AMG9810 blocked the pronociceptive effect of C5a in wild-type animals (Fig. 3D). These data indicate that TRPV1 is a critical effector of the C5a-induced signaling that leads to thermal hyperalgesia.

Macrophages are required for C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia

To identify the cell type(s) that mediates the effect of C5a, we examined C5aR1 expression in the glabrous skin of the mouse hindpaw. Using a C5aR1-specific antibody, we found a distinct immunoreactivity in skin sections from wild-type mice, but not in those from C5aR1 KO mice (Fig. 4A,B). The majority of the cells that were immunoreactive for C5aR1 also expressed the macrophage marker IBA1 (Imai et al., 1996; Vega-Avelaira et al., 2009; Kwon et al., 2013). The IBA1-positive cells expressed another macrophage marker, F4/80, confirming that the described cells are macrophages (Davies et al., 2013) (Fig. 4C). These macrophages were found in close proximity to TRPV1-positive fibers that innervate the skin (Fig. 4D), consistent with a potential role of these cells in activating nociceptive signaling.

We also examined C5aR1 expression in macrophages at a functional level. Intracellular Ca2+ signals control many aspects of macrophage activation and function, including migration and cytokine expression and secretion (Onozaki et al., 1983; Underwood and Riches, 1992; Yamamoto et al., 2008; Fric et al., 2012; Desai and Leitinger, 2014). Therefore, we used Ca2+ imaging in primary mouse macrophages to test their response to C5a. Like macrophages in the skin, the cultured primary macrophages prominently expressed C5aR1 (Fig. 5A). We found that brief application of C5a (1–100 nm, 1 min) produced a dose-dependent increase in the intracellular Ca2+ concentration ([Ca2+]i) in all macrophages (Fig. 5B,C). This C5a-evoked elevation of [Ca2+]i was absent in macrophages obtained from C5aR1 KO mice, indicating that the effect was mediated by C5aR1. Removing extracellular Ca2+ reduced the amplitude of C5a-induced [Ca2+]i response by ~30% (Fig. 5D). Depletion of intracellular Ca2+ stores using the inhibitors of the sarcendermoplasmic reticulum Ca2+-ATPase (SERCA), cyclopiazonic acid (10 μM), or thapsigargin (1 μM), produced a much stronger effect, diminishing the [Ca2+]i response by ~80% and ~95%, respectively (Fig. 5D,E). These findings suggest that intracellular Ca2+ stores are a major source of C5a-induced Ca2+ mobilization in macrophages.

C5aR1 is a Gαi-coupled receptor whose activation triggers multiple signaling events, including Gβγ-dependent activation of PLCβ (Monk et al., 2007; Klos et al., 2013). We focused on the Gβγ-PLC signaling pathway because it is well known to lead to the synthesis of inositol 1,4,5-trisphosphate (IP3) and IP3-induced release of Ca2+ from intracellular stores in various cell types (Berridge et al., 2003; Clapham, 2007). We found that the C5a-induced [Ca2+]i increase in macrophages was significantly
diminished by application of either the Gβγ inhibitor gallein (10 μM; Fig. 5G) (Lehmann et al., 2008) or the PLC inhibitor U73122 (1 μM; Fig. 6A) (Blecadsale et al., 1990). The inactive analog of U73122, U73343, had no effect on C5a-induced [Ca2+]i elevations (1 μM; Fig. 6B). Similar to C5a, a selective PLC activator, m-3M3FBS (25 μM; Fig. 6C), but not its inactive analog o-3M3FBS (25 μM; Fig. 6D), produced [Ca2+]i increase in macrophages that was blocked by depleting intracellular Ca2+ stores with 1 μM Tg. Indeed, under control conditions, the amplitude of m-3M3FBS-elicted [Ca2+]i elevation was 1043 ± 90 nm (n = 45), whereas after a pretreatment with Tg it was diminished by 137 ± 44 nm (p < 0.001, unpaired Student’s t test). Furthermore, treatment with m-3M3FBS, but not with o-3M3FBS, prevented a further [Ca2+]i elevation upon C5a application (Fig. 6C,D), suggesting that C5a and the PLC activator m-3M3FBS use a common signaling pathway leading to [Ca2+]i increase in macrophages.

Previous studies have reported that the PLC isoforms B2 and β3 are primarily responsible for C5a-induced signaling in macrophages (Wang et al., 2008; Rebres et al., 2011). Using the PLCβ2- and PLCβ3-specific antibodies, we also found that both PLC isoforms are expressed in macrophages (Fig. 6F,G). Examination of cultured macrophages from PLCβ2 KO mice showed that the C5a-induced [Ca2+]i response in these cells was reduced by ~50% compared with that in wild-type macrophages (Fig. 6E,F). An additional shRNA-based knockdown of PLCβ3 in PLCβ2 KO macrophages virtually eliminated (~94% reduction compared with wild-type macrophages) the C5a-induced [Ca2+]i elevation in macrophages (Fig. 6A,F). Collectively, these data suggest that C5a produces a strong [Ca2+]i response in macrophages by triggering Gβγ- and PLCβ-dependent Ca2+ release from intracellular (most likely IP3-sensitive) Ca2+ stores, as well as Ca2+ entry via the plasma membrane.

Next, we tested the role of macrophages in C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia by using the MAFIA mouse, a transgenic model of drug-inducible macrophage ablation (Burnett et al., 2004). These mice express a suicide gene that leads to f-Fas ligand-mediated apoptosis and is controlled by the macrophage/macrophage monocytic promoter c-fms (Yue et al., 1993; Sasmono et al., 2003; Burnett et al., 2004). Daily administration of the transgene activator, AP20187, to MAFIA mice for 5 d decreased macrophage staining (IBA1) in the plantar skin by 85% relative to vehicle-treated controls (Fig. 7A–C). In vehicle-treated MAFIA mice, intraplantar injection of C5a elicited a rapid and strong thermal hyperalgesia (Fig. 7D, black circles) similar to that observed in wild-type mice (Fig. 3). In contrast, intraplantar injection of C5a produced no changes in thermal sensitivity in the macrophage-depleted MAFIA mice (Fig. 7D, red squares). Thus, resident macrophages (and potentially monocytes) are required for the development of C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia.

NGF plays a critical role in C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia

Based on the data described above, a plausible scenario is that subcutaneously administered C5a acts on macrophages in the skin to trigger macrophage-to-nociceptor signaling that ultimately leads to TRPV1 sensitization and the development of thermal hyperalgesia. To identify signaling molecules that might mediate this cross-cellular communication, we examined how intraplantar injection of C5a alters the expression profiles of cytokines and other inflammatory mediators in the skin at the site of injection, using a mouse multiplex cytokine analysis kit and ELISA. We found that C5a injection induced a time-dependent upregulation of numerous cytokines, as well as NGF and PGE2 (Fig. 8; Table 1). Given our finding that TRPV1 plays a key role in C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia, we focused our attention on inflammatory mediators that are known to sensitize this receptor, such as PGE2, NGF, IL-6, TNF-α, MCP-1 (also known as CCL2), and MIP-1α (also known as CCL3) (Lopshire and Nicol, 1998; Zhang et al., 2005a, b; White et al., 2007; Constantin et al., 2008; Jung et al., 2008; Khan et al., 2008; Schnizler et al., 2008; Andratsch et al., 2009; Russell et al., 2009). Among these mediators,
only expression of NGF paralleled the rapid development of C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia (30 min) in behavioral testing (Figs. 3, 7). Indeed, NGF levels reached their peak within 30 min after C5a injection (Fig. 8D). In contrast, all other inflammatory mediators were upregulated at a much slower rate, showing essentially no increase at 30 min and reaching their peak values within 2–3 h after C5a injection (Fig. 8; Table 1). Thus, the rapid time course of NGF upregulation combined with the fact that NGF sensitizes TRPV1 through multiple signaling mechanisms (Chuang et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2005b; Stein et al., 2006; Zhu and Oxford, 2007), and that it is expressed and released by macrophages (Mallat et al., 1989; Garaci et al., 1999; Marcinkiewicz et al., 1999; Obata et al., 2002), makes NGF a likely candidate for mediating the C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia. Consistent with the latter findings, RT-PCR analysis demonstrated that NGF was expressed in our primary cultures of mouse macrophages (Fig. 9A).

To determine the role of NGF in C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia, we first examined its effect on thermal sensitivity. We found that intraplantar injection of NGF in wild-type mice induced strong thermal hyperalgesia that lasted for at least 3 h (Fig. 9B). As in the case of C5a (Fig. 3), the effect of NGF was absent in TRPV1 KO mice (Fig. 9B). Thus, TRPV1 is a crucial effector of signaling by both C5a and NGF in the context of thermal hyperalgesia. Next, we examined the effects of an NGF-neutralizing antibody (anti-NGF) that had been validated by others (Wild et al., 2007; Cheng et al., 2009; Cheng et al., 2010), and found that it prevented NGF-induced thermal hyperalgesia (data not shown). Moreover, administering anti-NGF, but not a control IgG, 30 min before C5a administration blocked C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia (Fig. 9C). This finding validates the critical role of NGF in mediating the hyperalgesic effect of C5a (Fig. 9C). Neither IgG nor anti-NGF treatment affected the baseline (before C5a injection) paw withdrawal latency (Fig. 9C).

NGF is thought to sensitize TRPV1 via the tropomyosin-related kinase A (TrkA) receptor (Chuang et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2005b; Zhu and Oxford, 2007). Therefore, we also tested the role of Trk signaling in C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia. Because of the lack of commercially available isoform-selective Trk inhibitors (Wang et al., 2008; McCarthy and Walker, 2014), we used a potent pan-Trk inhibitor, GNF-5837 (Albaugh et al., 2012), in these experiments. As a positive control, we demonstrated that pretreatment with GNF-5837 inhibited NGF-induced thermal hyperalgesia (Fig. 9D). We found that GNF-5837 inhibited the effect of C5a in a dose-dependent manner (Fig. 9E).

Finally, if NGF functions downstream of macrophage activation in the C5a-induced signaling cascade, its pronociceptive action should theoretically be independent of macrophages. To test this idea, we examined the effect of intraplantar administration of NGF in macrophage-depleted mice. We found that NGF produced a rapid and strong thermal hyperalgesia in macrophage-depleted AP20187-treated MAFIA mice and that this effect was similar to that produced by NGF in wild-type mice (Fig. 10). In contrast, C5a administration had no effect in macrophage-depleted mice (Figs. 7, 10).

Together, these data indicate that NGF is required for C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia and that it acts downstream of macrophage activation and upstream of TRPV1.
Discussion

In this study, we identify mechanisms that underlie C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia (Fig. 11). Our findings suggest that C5a generated in response to injury or inflammation acts via macrophages to initiate an intercellular signaling cascade that ultimately causes sensitization of nociceptors to heat. This macrophage-to-nociceptor signaling cascade recruits TRPV1 as a key nociceptive channel that mediates C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia. In turn, NGF serves as an essential mediator in this cascade that acts downstream of C5aR1 and macrophages, sensitizing TRPV1 to heat via previously identified signaling mechanisms coupled to TrkA activation (Chuang et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2005b; Zhu and Oxford, 2007). The pathway described here represents a novel signaling module that underlies interactions between the complement system and nociceptive neurons in eliciting pain hypersensitivity (Fig. 11).

There has been growing recognition of the importance of the complement cascade in the nervous system (Brennan et al., 2012; Stephan et al., 2012). Several of its components contribute to the regulation of neuronal development, synapse plasticity, and remodeling (Stephan et al., 2012). However, excessive activation of the complement system and overproduction of C5a, as well as C3a, have been linked to neurotoxic processes that occur after stroke, spinal cord injury, and in several chronic neurological disorders, such as multiple sclerosis, amyotrophic lateral sclerosis, and Alzheimer’s disease (Veerhuis et al., 2011; Brennan et al., 2012).

The role of the complement system is particularly important in regulating nociceptor function and pain processing (Li et al., 2007; Ren and Dubner, 2010). Many components of the complement cascade are upregulated in chronic pain states, and pharmacologic or genetic targeting of the complement system produce analgesic effects (Clark et al., 2006; Levin et al., 2008; Wang et al., 2011; Moriconi et al., 2014). Here, we demonstrated an important role of the complement fragment C5a in the CFA model of inflammatory pain. CFA-induced hyperalgesia was ac-

Figure 9. NGF signaling is required for C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia. A, RT-PCR shows expression of C5aR1 and NGF in primary macrophages (the housekeeping gene GAPDH serves as a control). No RT indicates the negative control reaction for which a reverse transcription step was omitted. Hippocampal mRNA was used as a control for NGF expression (Collins and Crutcher, 1985; Shelton and Reichardt, 1986; Ayer-LeLievre et al., 1988). B, Intraplantar injection of NGF (10 ng) produced thermal hyperalgesia in wild-type (C57BL/6J) mice (black circles; n = 16), but not in TRPV1 KO mice (red squares; n = 8). ***p < 0.001, relative to wild-type (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test). C, Intraplantar administration of C5a (500 ng) induced thermal hyperalgesia (black triangles; n = 10), and this was blocked by pretreatment with the NGF neutralizing antibody (1 μg, 30 min before C5a injection; red circles; n = 16), but not by control IgG (1 μg; 30 min before C5a injection; gray squares; n = 10). All tests were performed using wild-type C57BL/6J mice. *p < 0.05, relative to C5a (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test). **p < 0.01, relative to C5a (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test). D, NGF-induced thermal hyperalgesia (10 ng NGF; black squares; n = 4) was prevented by pretreatment with the Trk inhibitor, GNF-5837 (500 ng; 30 min before NGF injection; red squares; n = 4). All tests were performed using wild-type C57BL/6J mice. **p < 0.01, relative to NGF + Sham (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test). **p < 0.01, relative to NGF + Sham (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test). E, Thermal hyperalgesia induced by intraplantar C5a (500 ng; black circles; n = 8) was diminished by pretreatment (30 min before C5a injection) with the Trk inhibitor GNF-5837 in a dose-dependent manner (50 ng, red squares, n = 11; 500 ng, green triangles, n = 7). All tests were performed using wild-type C57BL/6J mice. *p < 0.05, relative to C5a + Sham (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test). ***p < 0.001, relative to C5a + Sham (two-way repeated-measures ANOVA with Bonferroni’s post hoc test). Red and green asterisks indicate the 50 and 500 ng doses of GNF-5837, respectively.
accompanied by significant upregulation of C5a in the affected area (Fig. 2A), and both KO and pharmacological inhibition of C5aR1 markedly diminished CFA-induced thermal and mechanical hyperalgesia, although with a slightly different time dependence (Fig. 1). This difference suggests that C5a/C5aR1 play distinct roles during the onset and maintenance of CFA-induced mechanical and thermal sensitization, respectively. Although the doses of C5a required to induce thermal hyperalgesia (Fig. 3) are consistent with the previous work (Jang et al., 2010; Moriconi et al., 2014), they exceed the levels of C5a found in the skin after incision (Jang et al., 2011) or CFA treatment (Fig. 2A) (1–1.7 ng/mg protein). However, a number of confounding factors, such as C5a diffusion, its rapid metabolism by serum and cell-surface peptidases, and C5a internalization upon binding to its receptor (Oppermann and Götze, 1994; Monk et al., 2007; Manthey et al., 2009), help to explain why administration of relatively high doses of C5a is required. Overall, our findings corroborate previous studies that highlight a critical role of C5a in pain processing (Levine et al., 1985; Clark et al., 2006; Griffin et al., 2007; Jang et al., 2011; Liang et al., 2012; Moriconi et al., 2014).

How does C5a produce a state of pain hypersensitivity? Our results suggest that resident macrophages are the first cells to respond to C5a elevation in the periphery, and that they are major players in mediating the pronociceptive effects of C5a. First, using the C5aR1 KO-validated antibody, we showed that in skin tissue C5aR1 was present almost exclusively in macrophages (Fig. 4). Second, using Ca²⁺ imaging, we demonstrated that functional C5aR1 is expressed in primary macrophages. Specifically, C5aR1 activation was coupled to a robust Gβγ- and PLC-dependent [Ca²⁺] response (Figs. 5, 6). Third, and most importantly, conditional ablation of macrophages in transgenic mice expressing a suicide gene in macrophages/monocytes abolished C5a-induced hyperalgesia (Fig. 7). It has been previously proposed that the pronociceptive action of C5a depends on neutrophils (Ting et al., 2008). This report relied on systemically administered cytotoxic agent vinblastine to deplete neutrophils. However, this agent is highly toxic to a broad range of cell types in addition to neutrophils and is known to kill many other immune cells, including monocytes and macrophages (Chen and Schooley, 1970; Martin et al., 1981). In contrast, the MAFIA mouse model used in our study allows specific and reversible elimination of monocytes and monocyte-derived cells (e.g., macrophages), but not of neutrophils or other immune cells (Burnett et al., 2004; Lindauer et al., 2009; Cho et al., 2014). By treating MAFIA mice with the dimerizing compound AP20187, we achieved ~85% depletion of resident skin macrophages (Fig. 7). Macrophage-depleted mice displayed normal baseline sensitivity to noxious heat (Fig. 7D) and developed strong NGF-induced thermal hyperalgesia (Fig. 10), suggesting that the described treatment did not impair the ability of these mice to sense and respond to noxious heat. Yet, C5a-induced sensitization to heat was eliminated by macrophage ablation. Combined with the observation that subcutaneous C5aR1 is expressed primarily in macrophages, these findings imply that macrophages are essential for C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia. Future studies will determine whether macrophages also play a crucial role in C5a-induced mechanical sensitization.
Macrophages have been implicated in various aspects of inflammatory and neuropathic pain (Sorkin and Schafer, 2007; Ren and Dubner, 2010). They can profoundly affect nociceptor excitability by releasing soluble factors that either activate or sensitize nociceptive neurons. Our findings suggest that NGF serves as a crucial mediator of macrophage-to-nociceptor signaling in the case of C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia. First, NGF is expressed in macrophages (Figure 9A) (Mallat et al., 1989; Garaci et al., 1999; Marcinkiewicz et al., 1999). Second, out of a broad panel of inflammatory mediators (28 total) tested in our work, only NGF was rapidly upregulated in the affected area in response to C5a, reaching its maximum within 30 min after the C5a injection (Fig. 8; Table 1). This time course parallels the rapid development of C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia (Figs. 3, 7, 9, 10).

Other inflammatory mediators monitored in the same assay either reached their peak levels at much later times (2–3 h after C5a injection) or were not affected by C5a (Fig. 8; Table 1). Third, subcutaneously administered NGF mimicked the development of thermal hyperalgesia triggered by C5a, including its critical dependence on TRPV1 (Figs. 3, 7, 9, 10). Thus, C5a and NGF target the same nociceptive channel. Fourth, and most importantly, C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia was nearly abolished by pretreatment with an NGF-neutralizing antibody (Fig. 9C). Fifth, a Trk inhibitor, GNF-5837, strongly diminished the effect of C5a (Fig. 9E). Finally, NGF-induced thermal hyperalgesia was insensitive to macrophage depletion (Fig. 10), indicating that NGF acts downstream of macrophages in the C5a-induced pronociceptive signaling cascade (Fig. 11).

The finding that NGF serves as a critical mediator of C5a hyperalgesic signaling is consistent with the importance of NGF in many aspects of acute and chronic pain (Stucky et al., 1999; Ji et al., 2002; Pezet and McMahon, 2006). On a long-time scale (days/weeks), NGF contributes to pain hypersensitivity by regulating expression of nociceptive ion channels and neuropeptides, by triggering oxidative mechanisms, and by stimulating aberrant axonal sprouting (Pezet and McMahon, 2006; Mantyh et al., 2011; Eskander et al., 2015). On a short-time scale (seconds/minutes), NGF sensitizes nociceptors to heat by regulating the surface expression and activation properties of TRPV1 (Chuang et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2005b). It is likely that NGF-dependent potentiation of TRPV1 is an essential component of the C5a-induced signaling that ultimately leads to heat sensitization described here (Fig. 11). Indeed, TRPV1 was required for the hyperalgesic effects of C5a (Fig. 3), and NGF acted downstream of C5a and upstream of TRPV1 (Fig. 9). Mechanisms underlying the NGF-dependent sensitization of TRPV1 have been well established by others, and hence have not been additionally investigated in our work. They involve activation of the phosphatidylinositol 3-kinase and PLC signaling cascades downstream of TrkA, which facilitates TRPV1 trafficking to the plasma membrane and also sensitizes the receptor to heat, capsaicin, and other activating stimuli (Chuang et al., 2001; Zhang et al., 2005b; Zhu and Oxford, 2007).

Our findings that NGF is required for C5a-induced thermal hyperalgesia do not rule out a potential involvement of other inflammatory mediators upregulated by C5a (Fig. 8; Table 1). Indeed, some of these mediators, such as TNF-α, IL-1β, IL-6, MCP-1, MIP-1α, and PGE2, are known to be secreted by macrophages and to sensitize nociceptive neurons by acting on TRPV1 and other ion channels (Nathan, 1987; Gold et al., 1996; Marchand et al., 2005; Ji et al., 2014). Therefore, it is possible that, in addition to NGF, some of these mediators also contributed to the observed hyperalgesic effects of C5a, although likely at later time points (Fig. 8). Future studies will determine their roles in the pronociceptive effects of C5a.

In conclusion, we have shown that the complement system product C5a induces a hyperalgesic response by triggering macrophage-to-nociceptor signaling and by recruiting two prominent pain molecules, NGF and TRPV1. Pharmacologic targeting of C5aR1 may prove to be a useful strategy for treating various chronic pain conditions, including those associated with aberrant activity of NGF and TRPV1.
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